NFS & AFS

Dave Eckhardt
de0u@andrew.cmu.edu

Synchronization

- Lecture Friday?
- Today
  - NFS, AFS
  - Partially covered by textbook: 12.9, 16.6
  - Chapter 16 is short, why not just read it?

Outline

- VFS interception
- NFS & AFS
  - Architectural assumptions & goals
  - Namespace
  - Authentication, access control
  - I/O flow
  - Rough edges

VFS interception

- VFS provides “pluggable” file systems
- Standard flow of remote access
  - User process calls read()
  - Kernel dispatches to VOP_READ() in some VFS
  - nfs_read()
    - check local cache
    - send RPC to remote NFS server
    - put process to sleep

VFS interception

- Standard flow of remote access (continued)
  - server interaction handled by kernel process
    - retransmit if necessary
    - convert RPC response to file system buffer
    - store in local cache
    - wake up user process
  - nfs_read()
    - copy bytes to user memory

NFS Assumptions, goals

- Workgroup file system
  - Small number of clients
  - Very small number of servers
- Single administrative domain
  - All machines agree on “set of users”
    - ...which users are in which groups
  - Client machines run mostly-trusted OS
    - “User #37 says read(...)”

NFS Assumptions, goals

- "Stateless" file server
  - Files are "state", but...
  - Server ***exports*** files without creating extra state
    - No list of "who has this file open"
    - No "pending transactions" across crash
  - Result: crash recovery "fast", protocol "simple"
- Some "stateful" operations
  - File locking
  - Handled by separate service outside of NFS

AFS Assumptions, goals

- Global distributed file system
  - Uncountable clients, servers
  - “One AFS”, like “one Internet”
    - Why would you want more than one?
- Multiple administrative domains
  - username***@cellname***
  - davide@cs.cmu.edu de0u@andrew.cmu.edu

AFS Assumptions, goals

- Client machines are un-trusted
  - Must ***prove*** they act for a specific user
    - Secure RPC layer
  - Anonymous “system:anyuser”
- Client machines have disks
  - Can cache whole files over long periods
- Write/write and write/read sharing are rare
  - Most files updated by one user, on one machine

AFS Assumptions, goals

- Support ***many*** clients
  - 1000 machines could cache a single file
  - Some local, some (very) remote

NFS Namespace

- Constructed by client-side file system mounts
  - mount server1:/usr/local /usr/local
- Group of clients ***can achieve*** common namespace
  - Every machine executes same mount sequence at boot
  - If system administrators good
- Auto-mount process based on maps
  - /home/dae means server1:/home/dae
  - /home/owens means server2:/home/owens

NFS Security

- Client machine presents Unix process credentials
  - user #, list of group #s
- Server accepts or rejects credentials
  - "root squashing"
    - map uid 0 to uid -1 unless client on special machine list
- Kernel process on server "adopts" credentials
  - Sets user #, group vector
  - Makes system call (e.g., read()) with those credentials

AFS Namespace

- Assumed-global list of AFS cells
- Everybody sees same files in each cell
  - Multiple servers inside cell invisible to user
- Group of clients ***can achieve*** private namespace
  - Use custom cell database

AFS Security

- Client machine presents Kerberos ticket
  - Arbitrary binding of (machine,user) to (realm,principal)
    - davide on a cs.cmu.edu machine can be de0u@andrew.cmu.edu
    - iff the password is known!
- Server checks against ***access control list***

AFS ACLs

- Apply to directory, not to file
- Format
  - de0u rlidwka
  - davide@cs.cmu.edu rl
  - de0u:friends rl
- Negative rights
  - Disallow “joe rl” even though joe is in de0u:friends

NFS protocol architecture

- root@client executes mount RPC
  - returns “file handle” for root of remote file system
- RPC for each pathname component
  - /usr/local/lib/emacs/foo.el
    - h = lookup(root-handle, “lib”)
    - h = lookup(h, “emacs”)
    - h = lookup(h, “foo.el”)
  - Allows disagreement over pathname syntax
    - Look, Ma, no “/”!

NFS protocol architecture

- I/O RPCs are ***idempotent***
  - multiple repetitions have same effect as one
  - lookup(h, “emacs”)
  - read(file-handle, offset, length)
  - write(file-handle, offset, buffer)
- RPCs do not create server-memory state
  - no open()/close() RPC
  - write() succeeds (to disk) or fails before RPC completes

NFS file handles

- Goals
  - Reasonable size
  - Quickly map to file on server
  - “Hard” to forge
- Implementation
  - inode # - small, fast for server
  - “inode generation #” - must match value stored in inode
    - (re)initialized to random number

NFS Directory Operations

- Primary goal
  - Insulate clients from server directory format
- Approach
  - readdir(dir-handle, cookie, nbytes) returns list
    - name, inode # (for ls -l), cookie

AFS protocol architecture

- ***Volume*** = miniature file system
  - One user's files, project source tree, ...
  - Directory tree
    - ***Mount points*** are pointers to other volumes
  - Unit of disk quota administration, backup
- Client machine has Cell-Server Database
  - /afs/andrew.cmu.edu is a ***cell***
  - ***protection server*** handles authentication
  - ***volume location server*** maps volumes to servers

AFS protocol architecture

- Volume location is ***dynamic***
  - Moved between servers transparently to user
- Volumes may have multiple ***replicas***
  - Increase throughput, reliability
  - Restricted to “read-only” volumes
    - /usr/local/bin
    - /afs/andrew.cmu.edu/usr

AFS Callbacks

- Observations
  - Client disks can cache files indefinitely
    - Even across reboots
  - Many files nearly read-only
    - Contacting server on each open() is wasteful
- Server issues ***callback promise***
  - If this file changes in 15 minutes, I will tell you
    - ***callback break*** message
  - 15 minutes of free open(), read()

AFS file identifiers

- Volume number
  - Each file lives ***in a volume***
  - Unlike NFS “server1's /usr0”
- File number
  - inode # (as NFS)
- Uniquifier
  - allows inodes to be re-used
  - Similar to NFS file handle inode generation #s

AFS Directory Operations

- Primary goal
  - Don't overload servers!
- Approach
  - Server stores directory as hash table on disk
  - Client fetches whole directory as if a file
  - Client parses hash table
    - Directory maps name to fid
  - Client caches directory (indefinitely, across reboots)
    - Server load reduced

AFS access pattern

- open("/afs/andrew.cmu.edu/service/systypes", ...)
- VFS layer hands off /afs to AFS client module
- Client maps andrew.cmu.edu to pt & vldb servers
- Client authenticates to pt server
- Client locates root.cell volume
- Client fetches "/" directory
- Client fetches "service" directory
- Client fetches "systypes" file

AFS access pattern

- open("/afs/andrew.cmu.edu/service/newCSDB")
- VFS layer hands off /afs to AFS client module
- Client fetches "newCSDB" file
- open("/afs/andrew.cmu.edu/service/systypes")
  - Assume
    - File is in cache
    - Server hasn't broken callback
    - Callback hasn't expired
  - Client can read file with ***no server interaction***

AFS access pattern

- Data transfer is by ***chunks***
  - Minimally 64 KB
  - May be whole-file
- Write***back*** cache
  - Opposite of NFS “every write is sacred”
  - Store chunk back to server
    - When cache overflows
    - On last user close()

AFS access pattern

- Is writeback crazy?
  - Write conflicts “assumed rare”
  - Who wants to see a half-written file?

NFS “rough edges”

- Locking
  - Inherently stateful
    - lock must ***persist across client calls***
      - lock(), read(), write(), unlock()
  - “Separate service”
    - Handled by same server
    - Horrible things happen on server crash
    - Horrible things happen on client crash

NFS “rough edges”

- Some operations not really idempotent
  - unlink(file) returns “ok” ***once***, then “no such file”
  - server caches “a few” client requests
- Cacheing
  - No real consistency guarantees
  - Clients typically cache attributes, data “for a while”
  - No way to know when they're wrong

NFS “rough edges”

- Large NFS installations are brittle
  - Everybody must agree on ***many*** mount points
  - Hard to load-balance files among servers
    - No volumes
    - No atomic moves
- Cross-realm NFS access basically nonexistent
  - No good way to map uid#47 from an unknown host

AFS “rough edges”

- Locking
  - Server refuses to keep a waiting-client list
  - Client cache manager refuses to poll server
  - User program must invent polling strategy
- Chunk-based I/O
  - No real consistency guarantees
  - close() failures surprising

AFS “rough edges”

- ACLs apply to ***directories***
  - “Makes sense” if files will inherit from directories
    - Not always true
  - Confuses users
- Directories inherit ACLs
  - Easy to expose a whole tree accidentally
  - What else to do?
    - No good solution known
    - DFS horror

AFS “rough edges”

- Small AFS installations are punitive
  - Step 1: Install Kerberos
    - 2-3 servers
    - Inside locked boxes!
  - Step 2: Install ~4 AFS servers (2 data, 2 pt/vldb)
  - Step 3: Explain Kerberos to your users
    - Ticket expiration!
  - Step 4: Explain ACLs to your users

Summary - NFS

- Workgroup network file service
- Any Unix machine can be a server (easily)
- Machines can be both client & server
  - My files on my disk, your files on your disk
  - Everybody in group can access all files
- ***Serious*** trust, scaling problems
- "Stateless file server" model only partial success

Summary – AFS

- Worldwide file system
- Good security, scaling
- Global namespace
- “Professional” server infrastructure per cell
  - Don't try this at home
  - Only ~190 AFS cells (2003-02)
    - 8 are cmu.edu, 14 are in Pittsburgh
- “No write conflict” model only partial success