Lecture 23
Security - Applications

Peter Steenkiste

School of Computer Science

Carnegie Mellon University

15-441 Networking

Mutilated by Dave Eckhardt, Fall 2004

Outline

- Key management examples
  - Kerberos
  - SSL
  - PGP
- Breaking into hosts
- DOS
- Firewalls

Web Security



(a) Network Level



(b) Transport Level



(c) Application Level

Kerberos

- Uses symmetric cryptosystem (DES).
  - Key derived by one-way function from user's password.
- Kerberos 5 is an Internet Standard.
  - Export restrictions apply
- Kerberos is an example of a centralized key distribution center.
  - Performance of private key cryptography without need to maintain N^2 key pairs
  - Every user shares a private key with a key distribution center
    - Called a Kerberos Authentication Server (AS)
  - When Bob and Alice want to communicate securely, Bob requests a one time (shared) session key from the KDC
  - The session key is distributed only to Bob and Alice

Kerberos Overview



All Those Tickets...?

```
Credentials cache: FILE:/tkt/4435-0000-419b6602.krb5
        Principal: davide@CS.CMU.EDU
  Issued           Expires          Principal
Nov 17 09:53:57  Nov 18 11:20:18  krbtgt/CS.CMU.EDU@CS.CMU.EDU
Nov 17 09:53:57  Nov 18 11:20:18  afs@CS.CMU.EDU
Nov 17 09:54:16  Nov 18 11:20:18  krbtgt/ANDREW.CMU.EDU@CS.CMU.EDU
Nov 17 09:54:16  Nov 18 11:20:18  afs@ANDREW.CMU.EDU
Nov 17 09:54:25  Nov 18 11:20:18  host/piper.nectar.cs.cmu.edu@CS.CMU.EDU
Nov 17 13:22:42  Nov 18 11:20:18  imap/imap.srv.cs.cmu.edu@CS.CMU.EDU

v4-ticket file: /tkt/4435-0000-419b6602
Principal:      davide@CS.CMU.EDU
  Issued           Expires          Principal
Nov 17 09:53:57  Nov 18 11:20:18  krbtgt.CS.CMU.EDU@CS.CMU.EDU
Nov 17 09:54:25  Nov 18 09:42:03  rcmd.piper.nectar.cs.cmu.edu@CS.CMU.EDU
Nov 17 09:55:46  Nov 18 09:43:24  zephyr.zephyr@CS.CMU.EDU
Nov 17 13:22:37  Nov 18 10:11:34  krbtgt.ANDREW.CMU.EDU@CS.CMU.EDU
Nov 17 13:23:30  Nov 18 10:12:27  rcmd.serviceberry.srv.cs.cmu.edu@CS.CMU.EDU
```

Kerberos Protocol

- Bob tells AS that he wants to talk to Alice.
  - Encrypted using Bob's private key
- AS authenticates Bob, checks he has access privileges for Alice, and generates a session key for communication between Bob and Alice.
- AS generates a ticket intended for Alice.
  - Bob's name, the session key, and a timestamp
  - The ticket is encrypted using Alice's private key
- AS sends Bob the ticket plus session key.
  - Encrypted using Bob's key
- Bob then contacts Alice with the ticket plus an encrypted timestamp.
  - Alice decrypts the ticket, plus timestamp and sends back the timestamp plus one (nonce)



Secure Socket Layer
SSL

- **Goal**
  - **Establish secure channel between two parties who do not share a secret (e.g., a private key).**
- **Further challenge (just for fun)**
  - **Assume there is no globally-believed directory of public keys (good assumption)**
  - **Assume further that new trusted servers are added to the network every hour (also good)**
- **How would you get this to work?**

SSL Plan

- **Key concept: *certificate***
  - **“To whom it may concern, the private key matching public key 2398898ca76fe676bbabe67867d00d7987bad is held by the owner of www.FJALJFDSL.org.”**
- **Plan (conceptual)**
  - **Contact a server you *suspect* is www.FJALJFDSL.org**
  - **It will send you a certificate containing its public key**
  - **You will generate a random symmetric-cipher session key and encrypt it with the server's public key**
  - **Only www.FJALJFDSL.org can decrypt the message and obtain the session key**
- **Done!**
  - **?**

Trusting Certificates?

- **Key concept: *certificate***
  - **“To whom it may concern, the private key matching public key 2398898ca76fe676bbabe67867d00d7987bad is held by the owner of www.FJALJFDSL.org.”**
- **Key problem: how do you trust the certificate?**
  - **No global directory (and it would be out of date if you had one)**
- **Solution**
  - **Certificates are *signed* (by “very trustworthy” organizations)**

Signed Certificates

- **Key concept: *signed* certificate**
  - **To whom it may concern, the private key matching public key 2398898ca76fe676bbabe67867d00d7987bad is held by the owner of www.FJALJFDSL.org.**
  - **--Sincerely, Baltimore Cybertrust**
  - **Hash: 469341329473a6755e5f5675a65b**
  - **Signature: 5fe65765865ca765b58675e5655a65c567586e65**
- **What could go wrong?**

Quid custodit ipsos custodes?

- **What could go wrong?**
  - Maybe Baltimore CyberTrust didn't claim exactly that (maybe the domain name was different, maybe the key was different...)
    - Server could provide bogus certificate
  - Who is Baltimore CyberTrust anyway?
    - How do I know ***their*** public key?
    - How do I know ***they*** aren't crooks?
- **One approach – insert a level of indirection**
  - Server provides www.FJALJFDSL.org certificate
  - Server also provides Baltimore CyberTrust certificate
    - "To whom it may concern, the private key matching public key ... is held by the owner of Baltimore CyberTrust...Signed, ReallyTrustworthyPeople."
  - "Certificate Chain"

Browser CA List

- **This indirection must bottom out *eventually!***
  - » **List of CA's (certificate authorities) stored in your browser**
    - – **Default set compiled into executable**
    - – **You can add, delete via “Security Preferences” dialogue**
    - – **You probably installed “CMU CA” when you arrived here**
    - – **Now you know what you did on that fateful day**
  - » **Your responsibility to periodically scan CA list to make sure it's up to date**
    - – **You do that, right?**

Secure Socket Layer Protocol

- **Lots of complexities**
  - » **Crypto handshake**
    - – **Client and server each list their possible and preferred symmetric ciphers and key-size limits**
    - – **Protocol derives a “good” compromise**
  - » **Many kinds of certificates**
    - – **Server certificates, signing certificates, authority certificates...**
  - » **Certificate details**
    - – **Expiration time, crypto protocol limits**
- **Browser will tell you when something is wrong**
  - » **Weird confusing dialogue box**
  - » **You will just click “ok” no matter what it says...**

SSL Discussion

- **SSL offers good secrecy.**
  - » If Trudy intercepts the server's first message, she only gets access to the server's public key, which will not allow her to decrypt the session key
    - – Requires the server's *private* key
- **SSL offers authentication but still requires trust in the server.**
  - » The certificate certifies that the server is who it claims to be
  - » This does not necessarily mean that the server can be trusted
  - » However, the same problem exists when dealing with sales people over the phone or even in person
- **Used in secure HTTP**

Pretty Good Privacy Goals and Approach

- Provide support for authentication, secrecy, and message integrity for e-mail
- Do not rely on any centralized key authority
  - Not even a medium-sized number of SSL CA's
  - Originally deliberately-subversive software artifact
- Uses a combination of standards.
  - MD5 or SHA, triple-DES/BlowFish/ElGamal, RSA/DH
- Starting point: every user keeps a private and public key pair.
  - Private key is kept private (really, really private)
  - Public key is advertised: web page, e-mail messages, ..

PGP Options

- **Secrecy**
  - » Encrypt message with symmetric cipher, using random session key
  - » Include session key, encrypted with receiver's public key, in message
    - – Iterate as necessary for multiple recipients
  - » Only receivers can retrieve session key and thus the message
  - » Simple public key cryptography is too slow for long messages

PGP Options

- **Authentication and integrity**
  - » Sender includes a digest of the message, signed with his private key, in the message
  - » "Proves" that only the sender could have sent the message, and exactly that message (integrity)
- **Secrecy, authentication, *and* integrity (common)**
  - » Combine the methods
    - – Transmit signed hash for authentication and integrity
    - – Transmit public-key encrypted symmetric session key
    - – Transmit symmetric-encrypted data

Pretty Good Privacy (PGP)



Distributed Public-Key Management: The PGP Approach

- "Trust no one"
  - Why should I trust VeriSign, RSA, or any of the Certification Authorities?
- The PGP approach: "web of trust"
  - If I believe a key is really Bob's public key (e.g. get a disk from Bob), then I digitally sign the key to certify it
  - If I "trust" Mulder, and Mulder digitally signed Alice's public key, then I will believe the key is really Alice's public key
    - Assume I have Mulder's public key, so I can verify his signature
- Of course, you may think, "why bother?"
  - If I get Bob's public key from his web page, it's "probably" his

Breaking Into Hosts

- Guessing passwords
- Port scans, …
- Stack overflow
- TCP hijacking, SYN attack

Evolution of Tools and Attackers



Identify Targets

- **Is a host alive?**
  - **Use `ping` (ICMP ECHO request and reply)**
- **Is a host running, say, a `telnet` server?**
  - **Port scan (most servers listen on well-known ports)**
    - **TCP: try `connect()` on all ports (`ECONNREFUSED`)**
    - **UDP: try `sendto()` on all ports (`ICMP_UNREACH_PORT`)**
  - **"Stealth scan"**
    - **E.g. `nmap` (www.insecure.org)**
- **What OS is a host running?**
  - **Different OS reacts differently to special packets**

Popular Port Scanners

- **NMAP** - *http://www.insecure.org/nmap*
  - TCP scans - connect to every port with 3-way handshake
  - UDP scans
  - SYN scans using IP fragments
  - ACK and FIN scans
  - *designed to by-pass firewalls and intrusion detection tools*
- **QueSO** - http://www.apostols.org/projectz/queso
  - TCP scans with various combinations of TCP flags: SYN, SYN+ACK,FIN, FIN+ACK,SYN+FIN
  - can determine various types of the operating systems, kernel versions

Gain Access

- **Direct access**
  - **Backdoor**
  - **Use the passwords obtained from packet sniffing**
  - **Password guessing**
    - **E.g. use “dictionary attack” on `/etc/password`**
  - **Bribery, blackmail, torture, etc.**
- **Exploit vulnerability to gain access**
  - **Protocol vulnerability**
    - **E.g. TCP sequence number prediction**
  - **Software vulnerability**
    - **E.g. buffer overflow, format string, etc.**

TCP Sequence Number Prediction

- **Problem if a server uses IP/hostname based authentication**
  - **E.g. "`.rhost`" for `rlogin`**



- **Make sure the initial sequence number is "hard" to predict**
- **(Note: the cracker is also doing "spoofing")**

Session Hijacking

- *Allows an attacker to steal, share, terminate, monitor and log any terminal session that is in progress*
- *Session stolen across the network*
- What can be hijacked:

  - telnet , rlogin , rsh , ftp

  - Simple Session hijacking scenario:
    - A telnets to B to get some work done
    - Attacker resets connection to A
    - Attacker kicks off A and takes over the session to B.

Buffer Overflows

- One of the most used “hacking“ techniques
- Advantages
  - » Very effective
    - – attack code runs with privileges of exploited process
  - » can be exploited locally and remotely
    - – interesting for network services
- Disadvantages
  - » Architecture/OS Version dependent
    - – directly inject assembler code / call system functions
  - » some guess work involved (correct addresses)

Process Structure

- **Three memory areas**
  - **Stack segment**
    - **local variables**
    - **procedure calls**
  - **Data segment**
    - **static (global) variables (bss)**
    - **dynamic variables (heap)**
  - **Code/Text segment**
    - **program instructions**
    - **usually read-only**



Stack Frame


previous frame
function arguments
return address (IP)
previous frame pointer
local variables
frame pointer
stack pointer
current frame

Stack Overflow Attack

- **Data is copied into local variables without proper bound checking**
  - » **vulnerable functions: *strcpy, strcat, gets, fgets, sprintf ..***
- **Data "overflows" allocated buffer and overwrites stack data (especially return address)**
  - » **If done with a random data, this usually creates a segmentation fault**
- **Carefully overwrite content and set return address to user defined value**
  - » **causes a jump to user defined code – modify execution flow**
  - » **have this code executed with privileges of running process**

Buffer Overflow Example

- **Corrupt the stack by writing past the end of a local array in a function**

```
foo(char* str) {
  char buf[96];
  strcpy(buf, str);
}
```



Stack Overflow: Code

- **What code should be placed in the buffer?**
  - **Assembly instructions, system calls, alignment, ..**
  - **Different variations for different platforms**
  - **Do not know addresses**
- **Usually, a shell is started.**
  - **Use system call (execve) to spawn shell**
  - **Linux system calls are invoked by passing arguments on the stack or in registers and calling 0x80 interrupt**
  - **Runs with same priviledges as application**

After Gaining Access

- **Obtain confidential information**
  - **E.g. emails, credit card numbers, etc.**
- **Destroy files, prevent login, …**
- **Use the host as a base for future attacks**
  - **Use it for a DDoS attack**
  - **Use it to gain access to other machines in a corporate network**
  - **Install "rootkit": modified system tools, for example:**
    - `ps`**: won't display certain processes**
    - `ls`**: won't display certain files**
    - `netstat`**: won't display certain network connections**
  - **Run packet sniffer to obtain more information (e.g. passwords)**
  - **…**

A Social Engineering Attack

- **An attempt by a computer hacker to persuade a legitimate system user to reveal information.**
- **Most common way hackers break into systems**
- **“If you give me your logon ID and password, I can fix it in a few minutes, you can change your password when I am done”…..**
  - ***A real help desk person will never ask you this !!***
- **Hacker takes advantage of the organization size - people do not know each other.**
- **Ignorance is a big help (to the attacker)!**

Detecting Attacks: Intrusion Detection

- **What to detect?**
  - » Intrusion attempts
  - » Successful intrusions, i.e. compromised hosts
- **Detecting intrusion attempts**
  - » Filter and log certain packets
  - » Analyze the logs
  - » Example: snort
    - – www.snort.org

Detecting Compromised Hosts

- Certain files on a compromised host may be modified
  - E.g. cracker installs “rootkit”
- “Integrity check”
  - Construct a database that stores an encrypted hash of each important file
  - Check all the files periodically (e.g. every day)
  - Example: tripwire
    - www.tripwire.org

Denial of Service Attacks

- **Make services unavailable.**
- **Typically achieved by wasting resources associated with the service.**
  - » **Network bandwidth, memory, CPU cycles**
  - » **Challenge: make the defense cheap**
- **Common attacks.**
  - » **SYN attack, SMURF, ..**
- **IP traceback.**

Denial of Service (DoS)

- There are countless DoS attacks out there today

ftp://info.cert.org/pub/tech_tips/denial_of_service

- Various forms:
  - SYN Flooding
  - Land (and similar)
  - Teardrop (and similar)
  - Smurf, papasmurf
  - Ping of Death

DOS: TCP SYN Flooding

- TCP is subject to SYN Flooding
- TCP based on 3-way handshake *(ISN - initial sequence number)*
  - *A ------SYN(A,ISN_A)---------->B*
  - *A <----ACK(A,ISN_A),SYN(B,ISN_B)-------B*
  - *A ------ACK(B,ISN_B)---------->B*
- Systems must allocate resources for each SYN to come in
- SYN attack scenario
  - Attacker sends several SYN packets to a victim from a spoofed (fake) machine SYN(X,ISN_x).
  - Connection cannot be ACK'd and waits for timeout.
  - The queue will fill up and the machine can go down or does not serve more requests.

SMURF

ICMP echo (spoofed source address of victim)
Sent to IP broadcast address

ICMP echo reply



IP Traceback



Firewalls

- **The goal of the firewall is to control what traffic enters and leaves a network.**
  - » **Creates a trust boundary: people outside of the firewall are trusted less than people inside the firewall**
  - » **Similar to putting a guard and the door and checking ids**
- **Firewalls alone do not offer sufficient security.**
  - » **Still have to be concerned about security breaches from within the organization**
  - » **Every organization has material that require different levels of secrecy**
  - » **But firewall limits how much traffic has to be monitored**
  - » **Can also help with denial of service attacks (e.g. SYN flooding)**

Filter-based Gateways

- A filter classifies packets based on the header.
  - IP addresses
  - port numbers
  - Protocol and message types
  - Connection information
- Filter decides what packets go through and packets are dropped.
  - No telnet, only outgoing web connections, ...



Application Gateways

- The application-level connection is terminated at the gateway and a separate connection is established over the external network.
- The gateway can monitor contents of messages since it “understands” the application.
  - » Application header versus data
- Can be combined with the use of filters.
  - » E.g., the filter only forwards connections from an application gateway



AAA

- **Authentication, Authorization, Accounting.**
  - Process used whenever users access a commercial ISP
  - ISP wants to know who you are
  - ISP will verify that you are allowed to get service
  - ISP will want to keep track of your use of the network for charging and auditing purposes
- **Example protocol is RADIUS.**
  - Example uses: dialup access to large access providers
  - IETF standard