Topics in Wireless and Mobile **Networking**

David A. Maltz*Carnegie Mellon University dmaltz@cs.cmu.edu* 15-441 11/3/04

Why Wireless is Different

Wireless and mobile networks break many assumptions

Media access control is a solved problem Distributed coordination is hard (802.11 MAC)

Wired links have low *bit error rate (BER)* (10^{-9} or less) Wireless links range from 10^{-4} to 10^{-7} (802.11 MAC)

IP subnets have well defined borders Radio cells overlap (802.11 MAC, Mobile IP)

All machines on a subnet have a common prefix What about mobile machines visiting the subnet? (Mobile IP)

Wired Carrier Sense Multiple Access (CSMA)

How to share a common channel?

- Listen for *carrier* before transmitting
- Carrier is just energy from another transmission
- While you hear carrier, wait before transmitting

Wired Collision Detect (CD)

- \bullet Listen while transmitting
- \bullet If what you hear isn't what you're sending, then *collision*:
	- Abort transmission of current packet
	- Try again after a random delay
	- Each collision for same packet doubles average delay

Wireless CSMA

CSMA can be used in wireless, but has problems

- • *wired* network: signal strength at sender and receiver are essentially the same
- • *wireless* network: *inverse square law* (or worse) applies (P $_{\rm recv}$ = P $_{\rm xmit}$ /D $^{\rm k}$, k > 2)

CSMA does not give the right information in wireless:

- •Carrier sense detects signals at the *transmitter*
- •But collisions occur *at the receiver*

Issue 1: Wireless Collision Detect

Wireless can't do collision detect like Ethernet Can't effectively listen while you send:

- \bullet In some systems, the hardware isn't flexible enough:
	- Transmit and receive are on different frequencies
	- Transc eiver might be half-duplex

- **Distance**
- • In any case, all you could hear is yourself any way:
	- The inverse square law
	- Your own signal strength at your own antenna is *much* stronger than anybody else's signal

Issue 2: The Hidden Terminal Problem

Consider the following situation:

- A is sending to B
- C i s *out of range* of A's transmissions to B
- C wants to send (to anybody)

$$
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\n\hline\nA & B & ? & \text{- C}\n\end{array}
$$

CSMA doesn't work well for wireless here:

- •C can't know to wait since it can't hear carrier from A
- B can hear both A and C, thus collision at B
- •A is "hidden" to C

Issue 3: The Exposed Terminal Problem

Consider the following situation:

- B is sending to A
- C i s *in range* of B's transmissions to A
- C wants to send to anybody but B

CSMA doesn't work well for wireless here either:

- •C thinks it should wait since it can hear carrier from B
- If A is out of range of C, then C waits needlessly
- C is "exposed" to B

Partial Solution: Virtual Carrier Sense

Packet types:

- • *Request-to-Send* (RTS): Sender sends to receiver before sending a data packet
- *Clear-to-Send* (CTS): Receiver replies if ready for data packet to be sent
- *Acknowledgment* (ACK): receiver sends if data is received successfully

All packets contain:

- Address of the *sender* of the intended data packet
- Address of the *receiver* of the intended data packet
- \bullet *Duration* of the remainder of the transmission

Virtual Carrier Sense - 3

• Hidden terminal problem is avoided:

C waits to send since it hears B's CTS

• Exposed terminal problem is avoided:

C does not wait to send since it does not hear A's CTS Does (and cannot) *not* prevent all collisions!

IEEE 802.11 Usage Model

Host computer sees an "ethernet interface"

- •Just like a wired LAN
- •Uses 48-bit 802.3 MAC addresses
- All hosts "in range" of each other see common shared channel
- Supports ARP, broadcast, LAN multicast
- Can directly communicate with neighbors

802.11 Carrier Sensing

802.11 uses both *physical* and *virtual* carrier sensing:

- •Physical carrier sense provided by PHY
- \bullet Virtual carrier sense provided by MAC

Virtual carrier sensing:

- •Maintained by station through *Network Allocation Vector (NA V)*
- \bullet NAV records prediction of future traffic on medium
- \bullet Counter that counts down busy time at uniform rate
- \bullet Set based on Duration field in received packets (e.g., RTS, CTS)
- \bullet When nonzero, virtual carrier sense thinks medium is busy

Carrier sense mechanism combines both mechanisms:

- \bullet Medium considered busy whenever either indic ates carrier
- \bullet Medium also considered busy whenever our own transmitter is on

Use of RTS and CTS

Other data senders must wait until entire RTS/CTS/Data/ACK finished

RTS/CTS only used for data packets larger than some threshold --- You can tune this!

Multirate Support in 802.11

To enable sharing the media among many nodes:

- All control information must be transmitted at rate understood by all stations
- After control information, transceivers change to rate agreed on by sender and receiver
- Preamble and header sent at lowest coding rate
	- and the state of the 1 Mbps in .11b/g
	- and the state of the 6 Mbps in .11a

802.11 "Standards"

802.11b

- In theory: 1,2,5.5,11 Mbps
- Reality: 5-6 Mbps

802.11a

- In theory: 54 Mbps
- Reality: 20-24 Mbps

802.11g

- Specification: 54Mbps, Claims: 108 Mbps
- Reality: 20-70Mbps
- 1 in 4 new devices fails compliance testing they're probably marketed anyway http://news.com.com/2100-7351-5139499.html

Check Your Understanding

You are node B running the 802.11 MAC protocol

A

B

You received RTS from node A indicating a 1KB pkt waiting for you

С

D

What do you do now?

- 1. Immediately transmit CTS, so exchange completes before neighbor's timers run out
- 2. Virtually sense carrier, transmit CTS if clear
- 3. Physically sense carrier, transmit CTS if clear
- 4. Physically and virtually sense carrier, transmit CTS if clear
- 5. Sense for collision, retransmit if needed

802.11 solves the hidden terminal problem, even if D has a more powerful transmitter than B? (True or False? Explanation?)

Mobility Support in the Network Layer

Routers only know the way to each network

If a host moves, its packets will still go to its home!

Why Not Change Addresses?

- Must notify all hosts with open connections
	- –Used to identify endpoints of connections
	- –Used within some transport protocols
- Must also notify all *hosts* using connectionless protocols
- Cannot change hosts with "well known" addresses
- Name server must be updated:
	- –Caching of addresses used for scalabilty
	- –Too expensive to update quickly

Location Registry

Mobile nodes away from home must record their current location somewhere

- A database that is explicitly queried:
	- Can be stored anywhere, use special lookup protocol
	- Problem: non-mobile-aware nodes cannot use it?
	- Problem: must look up all addresses, whether or not destination is away from home?
- Send packet normally to the home network:
	- Internetwork routing already knows how to get there
	- Intercept packet there and tunnel to mobile node
	- Problem: Long trip to home for every packet?
	- Problem: Location is unavailable if home is down?

IETF Mobile IP Requirements

RFC 3344

- Transparency:
	- A mobile node continues to use its home address
	- Can still communicate after disconnect and reconnect
	- Can change its point of attachment

• Compatibility:

- Supports any lower layer that IP runs on
- No changes required to ordinary hosts and routers
- Mobile node can communicate with unaware nodes

• Security:

- All registration messages must be authenticated

The Mobile Node

Each mobile node has a *home network*

May move and connect to a *foreign network*

The Home Agent

Home agent keeps track of mobile node's care-of address

Intercepts and forwards packets to that address

The Foreign Agent

Foreign agent assists with mobile node's registration

Delivers forwarded packets locally to the mobile node

The Care-of Address

May be foreign agent's own IP address

May be local address for mobile node (e.g., through DHCP)

A mobile node recognizes that it has returned home when it discovers its own home agent

Registration

Registration is used:

- To inform home agent of mobile node's care-of address, and
- To inform foreign agent that the mobile node is visiting

Registration establishes a *mobility binding*:

- Mobile node's *home address* and current *care-of address*
- \bullet Remaining *lifetime* of this registration:
	- Period after which registration expires and is deleted
	- Negotiated during registration
	- Mobile node should reregister before expiration

Registration Through a Foreign Agent

This use of encapsulation known as *tunneling* Path from encapsulator to decapsulator known as tunnel Intermediate routers *need not know* about Mobile IP!

The Need for Authenication

Must authenticate new location information for mobile node

Otherwise, *anybody* could reroute packets *anywhere*:

- Passive eavesdropping:
	- Update registry to route somebody's packets to yourself
	- Simply look at them before forwarding to real destination
- Altering messages:
	- Same, but alter them before forwarding them on

• Denial of service:

- Reroute them to yourself, but don't forward them on
- Or just reroute them to a bogus address

Check Your Understanding

- When a Mobile Node sends packets, what source IP address should it use? Why?
- 1.Its own home-address
- 2. Its care-of address

Check Your Understanding

My laptop is a visiting mobile node (MN) at Stanford. A Stanford node (S) is communicating with my laptop. What path do the packets take?

- 1. S to laptop to S
- 2. S to CMU to CMU HA to Stanford FA to laptop to S
- 3. S to FA to laptop to S
- 4. S to CMU to CMU HA to Stanford FA to laptop to Stanford HA to Stanford FA to S

Mobile IP and Cellular Telephony

The requirements for Mobile IP and mobile telephones are similar!

Can we sketch a protocol for cell phones using our Mobile IP knowledge?

IS-41 Protocol (GSM)

