15-451
Algorithms

Theory of NP-Completeness

Randal E. Bryant
April 11, 2001

Topics:

- Turing Machines
- Cook's Theorem
- Implications

Turing Machine

Formal Model of Computer

- Very primitive, but computationally complete



Turing Machine Components

Tape

- Conceptually infinite number of "squares" in both directions
- Each square holds one "symbol"
  - From a finite alphabet
- Initially holds input + copies of blank symbol 'B'

Tape Head

- On each step
  - Read current symbol
  - Write new symbol
  - Move Left or Right one position

Components (Cont.)

Controller

- Has state between 0 and m-1
  - Initial state = 0
  - Accepting state = m-1
- Performs steps
  - Read symbol
  - Write new symbol
  - Move head left or right

Program

- Set of allowed controller actions
- Current State, Read Symbol $\rightarrow$ New State, Write Symbol, L|R

Turing Machine Program Example

Language Recognition

- Determine whether input is string of form 0^n1^n

Input Examples



- Should reach state m-1



- Should never reach state m-1

Algorithm

- Keep erasing 0 on left and 1 on right
- Terminate and accept when have blank tape



Program

States

- 0 Initial
- 1 Check Left
- 2 Scan Right
- 3 Check Right
- 4 Scan Left
- 5 Accept

Read Symbol

Current State	B	0	1
0	1,B,R	—	—
1	5,B,R	2,B,R	—
2	3,B,L	2,0,R	2,1,R
3	—	—	4,B,L
4	1,B,R	4,0,L	4,1,L
5	—	—	—

'—' means no possible action from this point

Deterministic TM: At most one possible action at any point

Non Deterministic Turing Machine

Language Recognition

- Determine whether input is string of form *xx*
- For some string $x \in \{0,1\}^*$

Input Examples



- Should reach state m-1



- Should never reach state m-1

Nondeterministic Algorithm



- Record leftmost symbol and set to B



- Scan right, stopping at arbitrary position with matching symbol, and mark it with 2



- Scan left to end, and run program to recognize $x2^+x$



Nondeterministic Algorithm

- Might make bad guess





- Program will never reach accepting state

Rule

- String accepted as long as reach accepting state for *some* sequence of steps

Nondeterministic Program

States

- 0 Initial
- 1 Record
- 2 Look for 0
- 3 Look for 1
- 4 Scan Left
- 5+ Rest of program

Read Symbol

Current State	B	0	1
0	1,B,R	–	–
1	accept,B,R	2,B,R	3,B,R
2	–	2,0,R 4,2,L	2,1,R
3	–	3,0,R	3,1,R 4,2,L
4	5,B,R	4,0,L	4,1,L

Nondeterministic TM: ≥ 2 possible actions from single point

Turing Machine Complexity

Machine M Recognizes Input String x

- Initialize tape to x
- Consider all possible execution sequences
- Accept in time t if can reach accepting state in t steps
  - t(x): Length of shortest accepting sequence for input x

Language of Machine L(M)

- Set of all strings that machine accepts
- x ∉ L when no execution sequence reaches accepting state
  - Might hit dead end
  - Might run forever

Time Complexity

- $T_M(n)$ = Max { t(x) | x ∈ L |x| = n }
  - Where |x| is length of string x

P and NP

Language L is in P

- There is some *deterministic* TM M
  - L(M) = L
  - $T_M(n) \leq p(n)$ for some polynomial function p

Language L is in NP

- There is some *nondeterministic* TM M
  - L(M) = L
  - $T_M(n) \leq p(n)$ for some polynomial function p
- Any problem that can be solved by intelligent guessing

Example: Boolean Satisfiability

Problem

- Variables: $x_1, \ldots, x_k$
- Literal: either x_i or $\neg x_i$
- Clause: Set of literals
- Formula: Set of clauses
- Example: $\{x_3,\neg x_3\}\ \{x_1,x_2\}\ \{\neg x_2,x_3\}\ \{x_1,\neg x_3\}$
  - Denotes Boolean formula $x_3 \vee \neg x_3 \wedge x_1 \vee x_2 \wedge \neg x_2 \vee x_3 \wedge x_1 \vee \neg x_3$

Encoding Boolean Formula

Represent each clause as string of 2k 0's and 1's

- 1 bit for each possible literal
- First bit: variable, Second bit: Negation of variable
- $\{x_3,\neg x_3\}$: 000011 $\{x_1,x_2\}$: 101000
- $\{\neg x_2,x_3\}$: 000110 $\{x_1,\neg x_3\}$: 100001

Represent formula as clause strings separated by '$'

- 000011$101000$000110$100001

SAT is NP

Claim

- There is a NDTM M such that L(M) = encodings of all satisfiable Boolean formulas

Algorithm

- Phase 1: Determine k and generate some string {01,10}
  - Append to end of formula
  - This will be a guess at satisfying assignment
  - E.g., 000011$101000$000110$100001*$100110*
- Phase 2: Check each clause for matching 1
  - E.g., 000011$101000$000110$100001*$100110*

SAT is NP-complete

Cook's Theorem

- Can generate Boolean formula that checks whether NDTM accepts string in polynomial time

Translation Procedure

- Given
  - NDTM M
  - Polynomial function p
  - Input string x

- Generate formula F
  - F is satisfiable iff M accepts x in time p(|x|)
- Size of F is polynomial in |x|
- Procedure generates F in (deterministic) time polynomial in |x|

Construction

Parameters

- |x| = n
- m states
- v tape symbols (including B)

Formula Variables

- Q[i,k] $0 \le i \le p(n)$, $0 \le k \le m-1$
  - At time i, M is in state k
- H[i,j] $0 \le i \le p(n)$, $-p(n) \le j \le p(n)$
  - At time i, tape head is over square j
- S[i,j,k] $0 \le i \le p(n)$, $-p(n) \le j \le p(n)$, $1 \le k \le v$
  - At time i, tape square j holds symbol k

Key Observation

- For bounded computation, can only visit bounded number of squares

Clause Groups

- Formula clauses divided into "clause groups"

Uniqueness

- At each time i, M is in exactly one state
- At each time i, tape head over exactly one square
- At each time i, each square j contains exactly one symbol

Initialization

- At time 0, tape encodes input x, head in position 0, controller in state 0

Accepting

- At some time i, state = m-1

Legal Computation

- Tape/Head/Controller configuration at each time i+1 follows from that at time i according to some legal action

Implications of Cook's Theorem

Suppose There Were an Efficient Algorithm for Boolean Satisfiability

- Then could take any problem in NP, convert it to Boolean formula and solve it quickly!
- Many "hard" problems would suddenly be easy

Big Question P =? NP

- Formulated in 1971
- Still not solved
- Most believe not

Complements of Problems

Language Complement

- Define ~L = { x | x ∉ L}
- E.g., ~SAT
  - Malformed formulas (easy to detect)
  - Unsatisfiable formulas

P Closed Under Complementation

- If L is in P, then so is ~L
  - Run TM for L on input x for p(|x|) steps
    - » Has unique computation sequence
  - If haven't reached accepting state by then, then x ∉ L

NP vs. co-NP (cont.)

Is NP = co-NP?

- Having NDTM for ~L doesn't help for recognizing L
  - Would have to check all computation sequences of length ≤ p(|x|).
  - Could have exponentially many sequences

Proper Terminology

- Generally want algorithm that can terminate with "yes" or "no" answer to decision problem
- If underlying problem (or its complement) is NP, then full decision problem is "NP-Hard"

Showing Problems NP-Complete

To show Problem X is NP-complete

1. Show X is in NP

- Can be solved by "guess and check"
- Generally easy part

2. Show known NP-complete problem Y can be reduced to X

- Devise translation procedure
- Given arbitrary instance y of Y, can generate problem x in X such that $y \in L_y$ iff $x \in L_X$
  - L_x: set of all strings x for which decision problem answer is "yes"
- Size of x must be polynomial in y, and must be generated by (deterministic) polynomial algorithm.