

Lecture 20 Pointer Analysis

- Basics
- Design Options
- Pointer Analysis Algorithms
- Pointer Analysis Using BDDs
- Probabilistic Pointer Analysis

(Slide content courtesy of Greg Steffan, U. of Toronto)

Pros and Cons of Pointers

- Many procedural languages have pointers
 - e.g., C or C++: `int *p = &x;`
- Pointers are powerful and convenient
 - can build arbitrary data structures
- Pointers can also hinder compiler optimization
 - hard to know where pointers are pointing
 - must be conservative in their presence
- Has inspired much research
 - analyses to decide where pointers are pointing
 - many options and trade-offs
 - open problem: a scalable accurate analysis

Pointer Analysis Basics: Aliases

- Two variables are *aliases* if:
 - they *reference the same memory location*
- More useful:
 - *prove variables reference different locations*

Alias sets:

```
int x,y;  
int *p = &x;  
int *q = &y;  
int *r = p;  
int **s = &q;
```

The Pointer Alias Analysis Problem

- Decide for *every pair of pointers at every program point*:
 - *do they point to the same memory location?*
- A difficult problem
 - shown to be *undecidable* by Landi, 1992
- *Correctness*:
 - report all pairs of pointers which do/may alias
- *Ambiguous*:
 - two pointers which *may or may not* alias
- *Accuracy/Precision*:
 - *how few pairs of pointers* are reported while *remaining correct*
 - i.e., reduce ambiguity to improve accuracy

Many Uses of Pointer Analysis

- **Basic compiler optimizations**
 - register allocation, CSE, dead code elimination, live variables, instruction scheduling, loop invariant code motion, redundant load/store elimination
- **Parallelization**
 - instruction-level parallelism
 - thread-level parallelism
- **Behavioral synthesis**
 - automatically converting C-code into gates
- **Error detection and program understanding**
 - memory leaks, wild pointers, security holes

Challenges for Pointer Analysis

- **Complexity:** huge in **space** and **time**
 - compare every pointer with every other pointer
 - at every program point
 - potentially considering all program paths to that point
- **Scalability vs accuracy trade-off**
 - different analyses motivated for different purposes
 - many useful algorithms (adds to confusion)
- **Coding corner cases**
 - pointer arithmetic (*p++), casting, function pointers, long-jumps
- **Whole program?**
 - most algorithms require the entire program
 - library code? optimizing at link-time only?

Pointer Analysis: Design Options

- Representation
- Heap modeling
- Aggregate modeling
- Flow sensitivity
- Context sensitivity


Representation

- Track **pointer aliases**
 - $\langle *a, b \rangle, \langle *a, e \rangle, \langle b, e \rangle,$
 $\langle **a, c \rangle, \langle **a, d \rangle, \dots$
 - **More precise, less efficient**
- Track **points-to** information
 - $\langle a, b \rangle, \langle b, c \rangle, \langle b, d \rangle,$
 $\langle e, c \rangle, \langle e, d \rangle$
 - **Less precise, more efficient**

```
a = &b;  
b = &c;  
b = &d;  
e = b;
```


Heap Modeling Options

- **Heap merged**
 - i.e. "no heap modeling"
- **Allocation site** (any call to malloc/calloc)
 - Consider each to be a unique location
 - Doesn't differentiate between multiple objects allocated by the same allocation site
- **Shape analysis**
 - Recognize linked lists, trees, DAGs, etc.




Aggregate Modeling Options

Arrays



Structures



Flow Sensitivity Options

- **Flow insensitive**
 - The order of statements doesn't matter
 - Result of analysis is the same regardless of statement order
 - Uses a single global state to store results as they are computed
 - Not very accurate
- **Flow sensitive**
 - The order of the statements matter
 - Need a control flow graph
 - Must store results for each program point
 - Improves accuracy
- **Path sensitive**
 - Each path in a control flow graph is considered

Flow Sensitivity Example

(assuming allocation-site heap modeling)

```
S1: a = malloc(...);
S2: b = malloc(...);
S3: a = b;
S4: a = malloc(...);
S5: if (c)
    a = b;
S6: if (!c)
    a = malloc(...);
S7: ... = *a;
```


Flow Insensitive
 $a_{S7} \rightarrow$

Flow Sensitive
 $a_{S7} \rightarrow$

Path Sensitive
 $a_{S7} \rightarrow$

Context Sensitivity Options

- Context insensitive/sensitive
 - whether to consider **different calling contexts**
 - e.g., what are the possibilities for **p** at **s6**?



Context Insensitive:

Context Sensitive:

Pointer Alias Analysis Algorithms

References:

- "Points-to analysis in almost linear time", Steensgaard, POPL 1996
- "Program Analysis and Specialization for the C Programming Language", Andersen, Technical Report, 1994
- "Context-sensitive interprocedural points-to analysis in the presence of function pointers", Emami et al., PLDI 1994
- "Pointer analysis: haven't we solved this problem yet?", Hind, PASTE 2001
- "Which pointer analysis should I use?", Hind et al., ISSTA 2000

Address Taken

- Basic, fast, ultra-conservative algorithm
 - flow-insensitive, context-insensitive**
 - often used in production compilers
- Algorithm:
 - Generate the set of all variables whose addresses are assigned to another variable.
 - Assume that any pointer can potentially point to any variable in that set.
- Complexity: $O(n)$ - linear in size of program
- Accuracy: very imprecise

Address Taken Example

```

T *p, *q, *r;

int main() {
  S1: p = alloc(T);
  f();
  g(&p);
  S4: p = alloc(T);
  S5: ... = *p;
}
    
```

```

void f() {
  S6: q = alloc(T);
  g(&q);
  S8: r = alloc(T);
}
    
```

```

g(T **fp) {
  T local;
  if(...)
  S9: p = &local;
}
    
```

P_{S5} =

Andersen's Algorithm

- Flow-insensitive, context-insensitive, iterative
- Representation:
 - one **points-to** graph for entire program
 - each node represents exactly one location
- For each statement, build the **points-to** graph:

$y = \&x$	y points-to x
$y = x$	if x points-to w then y points-to w
$*y = x$	if y points-to z and x points-to w then z points-to w
$y = *x$	if x points-to z and z points-to w then y points-to w

- Iterate until graph no longer changes
- Worst case complexity: $O(n^3)$, where n = program size

Andersen Example

```
T *p, *q, *r;

int main() {
  S1: p = alloc(T);
    f();
    g(&p);
  S4: p = alloc(T);
  S5: ... = *p;
}
```

```
void f() {
  S6: q = alloc(T);
    g(&q);
  S8: r = alloc(T);
}
```

```
g(T **fp) {
  T local;
  if (...)
  S9:  p = &local;
}
```

$P_{S5} =$

Steensgaard's Algorithm

- Flow-insensitive, context-insensitive
- Representation:
 - a **compact points-to** graph for entire program
 - each node can represent **multiple locations**
 - but **can only point to one other node**
 - i.e. every node has a fan-out of 1 or 0
- **union-find** data structure implements fan-out
 - "unioning" while finding **eliminates need to iterate**
- **Worst case complexity**: $O(n)$
- **Precision**: less precise than Andersen's

Steensgaard Example

```
T *p, *q, *r;

int main() {
  S1: p = alloc(T);
    f();
    g(&p);
  S4: p = alloc(T);
  S5: ... = *p;
}
```

```
void f() {
  S6: q = alloc(T);
    g(&q);
  S8: r = alloc(T);
}
```

```
g(T **fp) {
  T local;
  if (...)
  S9:  p = &local;
}
```

$P_{S5} =$

Example with Flow Sensitivity

```

T *p, *q, *r;

int main() {
S1: p = alloc(T);
    f();
    g(&p);
S4: p = alloc(T);
S5: ... = *p;
}

void f() {
S6: q = alloc(T);
    g(&q);
S8: r = alloc(T);
}

g(T **fp) {
    T local;
    if(...)
S9: p = &local;
}
    
```

$P_{S5} =$


$P_{S9} =$

Pointer Analysis Using BDDs

References:

- "Cloning-based context-sensitive pointer alias analysis using binary decision diagrams", Whaley and Lam, PLDI 2004
- "Symbolic pointer analysis revisited", Zhu and Calman, PDLI 2004
- "Points-to analysis using BDDs", Berndt et al, PDLI 2003

Binary Decision Diagram (BDD)



BDD-Based Pointer Analysis


- Use a BDD to represent transfer functions
 - encode procedure as a function of its calling context
 - compact and efficient representation
- Perform context-sensitive, inter-procedural analysis
 - similar to dataflow analysis
 - but across the procedure call graph
- Gives accurate results
 - and scales up to large programs

Probabilistic Pointer Analysis

References:

- "A Probabilistic Pointer Analysis for Speculative Optimizations", DaSilva and Steffan, ASPLOS 2006
- "Compiler support for speculative multithreading architecture with probabilistic points-to analysis", Shen et al., PPOPP 2003
- "Speculative Alias Analysis for Executable Code", Fernandez and Espasa, PACT 2002
- "A General Compiler Framework for Speculative Optimizations Using Data Speculative Code Motion", Dai et al., CGO 2005
- "Speculative register promotion using Advanced Load Address Table (ALAT)", Lin et al., CGO 2003

Pointer Analysis: Yes, No, & Maybe



- Do pointers a and b point to the same location?
 - Repeat for every pair of pointers at every program point
- How can we optimize the "maybe" cases?

Let's Speculate



- Implement a **potentially unsafe** optimization
 - **Verify** and **Recover** if necessary

```
int *a, x;
...
while(...)
{
    x = *a;
    ...
}
```

a is *probably* loop invariant

```
int *a, x, tmp;
...
tmp = *a;
while(...)
{
    x = tmp;
    ...
}
<verify, recover?>
```

Data Speculative Optimizations

- EPIC Instruction sets
 - Support for speculative load/store instructions (e.g., Itanium)
- Speculative compiler optimizations
 - Dead store elimination, redundancy elimination, copy propagation, strength reduction, register promotion
- Thread-level speculation (TLS)
 - Hardware and compiler support for speculative parallel threads
- Transactional programming
 - Hardware and software support for speculative parallel transactions

Heavy reliance on detailed profile feedback

Can We Quantify "Maybe"?

- Estimate the potential benefit for speculating:

Ideally "maybe" should be a probability.

Carnegie Mellon
Todd C. Mowry

15-745: Pointer Analysis 29

Conventional Pointer Analysis

- Do pointers **a** and **b** point to the same location?
 - Repeat for every pair of pointers at every program point

Carnegie Mellon
Todd C. Mowry

15-745: Pointer Analysis 30

Probabilistic Pointer Analysis

- Potential advantage of Probabilistic Pointer Analysis:
 - it doesn't need to be safe

Carnegie Mellon
Todd C. Mowry

15-745: Pointer Analysis 31

PPA Research Objectives

- Accurate points-to probability information
 - at every static pointer dereference
- Scalable analysis
 - Goal: entire SPEC integer benchmark suite
- Understand scalability/accuracy tradeoff
 - through flexible static memory model

Improve our understanding of programs

Carnegie Mellon
Todd C. Mowry

15-745: Pointer Analysis 32

Algorithm Design Choices

Fixed:

- Bottom Up / Top Down Approach
- Linear transfer functions (for scalability)
- One-level context and flow sensitive

Flexible:

- Edge profiling (or static prediction)
- Safe (or unsafe)
- Field sensitive (or field insensitive)



Traditional Points-To Graph

```
int x, y, z, *b = &x;
void foo(int *a) {
```

```
  if(...)
    b = &y;
```

```
  if(...)
    a = &z;
  else(...)
    a = b;
```

```
  while(...) {
    x = *a;
    ...
  }
}
```



Results are inconclusive



Probabilistic Points-To Graph

```
int x, y, z, *b = &x;
void foo(int *a) {
```

```
  if(...) ⇒0.1 taken(edge profile)
    b = &y;
```

```
  if(...) ⇒0.2 taken(edge profile)
    a = &z;
  else
    a = b;
```

```
  while(...) {
    x = *a;
    ...
  }
}
```



Results provide more information

Probabilistic Pointer Analysis Results Summary

- Matrix-based, transfer function approach
 - SUIF/Matlab implementation
- Scales to the SPECint 95/2000 benchmarks
 - One-level context and flow sensitive
- As accurate as the most precise algorithms
- Interesting result:
 - ~90% of pointers tend to point to only one thing

Pointer Analysis Summary

- Pointers are hard to understand at compile time!
 - accurate analyses are large and complex
- Many different options:
 - Representation, heap modeling, aggregate modeling, flow sensitivity, context sensitivity
- Many algorithms:
 - Address-taken, Steensgarde, Andersen, Emami
 - BDD-based, probabilistic
- Many trade-offs:
 - space, time, accuracy, safety
- Choose the right type of analysis given how the information will be used