Lecture 5

Introduction to Data Flow Analysis

I. Structure of data flow analysis

II. Example 1: Reaching definition analysis

III. Example 2: Liveness analysis

IV. Framework

Review: Expression DAG

Example 1:

- **grammar (for bottom-up parsing): E -> E + T | E – T | T, T -> T*F | F, F -> (E) | id**
- **expression: `a+a*(b-c)+(b-c)*d`**



Parse tree



Expression DAG

Review: Value Numbering

```
Data structure:
     VALUES = Table of
        expression      /* [OP, valnum1, valnum2] */
        var             /* name of variable currently holding expr */

var2value()             /* variable's current value number */
```

```
a = b+c        t1 = b + c
               a = t1
b = a-d        t2 = t1 - d
               b = t2
c = b+c        t3 = t2 + c
               c = t3
d = a-d        d = t2
```



What is Data Flow Analysis?

- **Local analysis (e.g. value numbering)**
  - analyze effect of each instruction
  - compose effects of instructions to derive information from beginning of basic block to each instruction

- **Data flow analysis**
  - analyze effect of each basic block
  - compose effects of basic blocks to derive information at basic block boundaries
  - from basic block boundaries, apply local technique to generate information on instructions

[ALSU 9.2]

What is Data Flow Analysis? (Cont.)

- **Data flow analysis:**
  - Flow-sensitive: sensitive to the control flow in a function
  - Intraprocedural analysis
- **Examples of optimizations:**
  - Constant propagation
  - Common subexpression elimination
  - Dead code elimination



For each variable x, determine:

Value of x?

Which "definition" defines x?

Is the definition still meaningful (live)?

Static Program vs. Dynamic Execution



- **Statically**: Finite program
- **Dynamically**: Can have infinitely many possible execution paths
- **Data flow analysis abstraction:**
  - For each point in the program:
    combines information of all the instances of the same program point.
- **Example of a data flow question:**
  - Which definition defines the value used in statement “b = a”?

Effects of a Basic Block

- Effect of a statement: **`a = b+c`**
  - **Use**s source variables (b and c)
  - **Kill**s an old definition (old definition of a)
  - Defines a new **definition** (a)

- Compose effects of statements -> Effect of a basic block
  - A **locally exposed use** in a b.b. is a use of a data item that is not preceded in the b.b. by a definition of the data item.
  - Any definition of a data item in the b.b. **kills** all other definitions of the same data item.
  - A **locally available definition** = last definition of data item in b.b.

```
t1 = r1+r2
r2 = t1
t2 = r2+r1
r1 = t2
t3 = r1*r1
r2 = t3
if r2>100 goto L1
```



locally exposed uses? `r1`

kills any definitions? **Any other defn of `t2` in program**

locally available definition? `t2`

II. Reaching Definitions

ALSU 9.2.4



- Every assignment is a **definition**
- A **definition** *d* **reaches** a point *p*
  if **there exists** path from the point immediately following *d* to *p*
  such that *d* is not killed (overwritten) along that path.
- Problem statement
  - For each point in the program, determine if each definition in the program reaches the point
  - A bit vector per program point, vector-length = #defs

Reaching Definitions



- Every assignment is a **definition**
- A **definition** *d* **reaches** a point *p*
  if **there exists** path from the point immediately following *d* to *p*
  such that *d* is not killed (overwritten) along that path.
- Problem statement
  - For each point in the program, determine if each definition in the program reaches the point
  - A bit vector per program point, vector-length = #defs

Reaching Definitions: Another Example



Data Flow Analysis Schema



- Build a flow graph (nodes = basic blocks, edges = control flow)
- Set up a set of equations between in[b] and out[b] for all basic blocks b
  - Effect of code in basic block:
    - Transfer function f_b relates in[b] and out[b], for same b
  - Effect of flow of control:
    - relates out[b], in[b'] if b and b' are adjacent
- Find a solution to the equations

Effects of a Statement



- f_s : A transfer function of a statement
  - abstracts the execution with respect to the problem of interest
- Consider Reaching Definitions. For a statement s (e.g., d: x = y + z):
  out[s] = f_s(in[s]) = Gen[s] U (in[s]-Kill[s])
  - **Gen[s]**: definitions generated: Gen[s] = {d}
  - **Propagated** definitions: in[s] - Kill[s],
    where **Kill[s]**=set of all other defs to x in the rest of program

Effects of a Basic Block



- Transfer function of a statement s:
  - $out[s] = f_s(in[s]) = Gen[s] \cup (in[s] - Kill[s])$
- Transfer function of a basic block B:
  - Composition of transfer functions of statements in B
- $out[B] = f_B(in[B]) = f_{d2} f_{d1} f_{d0}(in[B])$

  $= Gen[d_2] \cup ((Gen[d_1] \cup ((Gen[d_0] \cup (in[B] - Kill[d_0])) - Kill[d_1])) - Kill[d_2])$

  $= Gen[d_2] \cup (Gen[d_1] \cup (Gen[d_0] - Kill[d_1]) - Kill[d_2]) \cup (in[B] - (Kill[d_0] \cup Kill[d_1] \cup Kill[d_2]))$

  $= Gen[B] \cup (in[B] - Kill[B])$
  - Gen[B]: locally available definitions (defined locally & reaches end of bb)
  - Kill[B]: set of definitions killed by B

Reaching Definitions Example



f	Gen	Kill
1	{1,2}	{0,2,3,4,5}
2	{3,4}	{0,1,2,5}
3	{5,6}	{1,3}

Subtlety: d0 & d2 kill each other, but d2 is still generated

- **transfer function** f_b of a basic block b: OUT[b] = f_b(IN[b])
  incoming reaching definitions -> outgoing reaching definitions

- A basic block b
  - **generates** definitions: Gen[b],
    - set of definitions in b that reach end of b
  - **kills** definitions: in[b] - Kill[b],
    where Kill[b]=set of defs killed by defs in b
- **out[b] = Gen[b] U (in(b)-Kill[b])**

Effects of the Edges (acyclic)



- out[b] = f_b(in[b])
- Join node: a node with multiple predecessors
- **meet** operator:

  in[b] = out[p_1] U out[p_2] U ... U out[p_n], where

  p_1, ..., p_n are all the predecessors of b

in[exit] = out[B2] U out[B3]

Cyclic Graphs



- Equations still hold
  - out[b] = f_b(in[b])
  - in[b] = out[p_1] U out[p_2] U ... U out[p_n], p_1, ..., p_n pred.
- Find: fixed point solution

in[B2] = out[B1] U out[B3]

Reaching Definitions: Iterative Algorithm

```
input: control flow graph CFG = (N, E, Entry, Exit)

// Boundary condition
   out[Entry] = ∅

// Initialization for iterative algorithm
   For each basic block B other than Entry
      out[B] = ∅

// iterate
   While (changes to any out[] occur) {
      For each basic block B other than Entry {
         in[B] = U(out[p]), for all predecessors p of B
         out[B] = f_B(in[B])     // out[B]=gen[B] U (in[B]-kill[B])
      }
```

Reaching Definitions: Worklist Algorithm

```
input: control flow graph CFG = (N, E, Entry, Exit)

// Initialize
    out[Entry] = ∅          // could set out[Entry] to special def
                            //  if reaching then undefined use
    For all nodes i
        out[i] = ∅          // could optimize by out[i]=gen[i]
    ChangedNodes = N

// iterate
    While ChangedNodes ≠ ∅ {
        Remove i from ChangedNodes
        in[i] = U(out[p]), for all predecessors p of i
        oldout = out[i]
        out[i] = f_i(in[i])      // out[i]=gen[i] U(in[i]-kill[i])
        if (oldout ≠ out[i]) {
            for all successors s of i
                add s to ChangedNodes
        }
    }
```

Reaching Definitions Example

IN[b] = U(OUT[pred(b)])

OUT[b] = Gen[b] U (IN(b)-Kill[b])

Init: OUT[b]=∅



	First Pass	Second Pass
IN[B1]	000 00 0 0	**000 00 0 0**
OUT[B1]	**111 00 0 0**	**111 00 0 0**
IN[B2]	**111 00 0 0**	**111 01 1 1**
OUT[B2]	**001 11 0 0**	**001 11 1 0**
IN[B3]	**001 11 0 0**	**001 11 1 0**
OUT[B3]	**000 11 1 0**	**000 11 1 0**
IN[B4]	**001 11 1 0**	**001 11 1 0**
OUT[B4]	**001 01 1 1**	**001 01 1 1**
IN[exit]	**001 01 1 1**	**001 01 1 1**

Fixed point!

A valid solution to Reaching Definitions?



Fixed point: another iteration of algorithm won't change in/out values

- Is the solution a fixed point? **yes** Is it valid? **no**
- Will the worklist algorithm generate this answer? **no**
- What if add control flow edge shown in red? **yes**

III. Live Variable Analysis

- **Definition**
  - A variable **v** is **live** at point *p* if
    - the value of **v** is used along some path in the flow graph starting at *p*.
  - Otherwise, the variable is **dead**.
- **Motivation**
  - e.g. register allocation

```
for i = 0 to n
    … i …
…
for i = 0 to n
    … i …
```



- **Problem statement**
  - For each basic block
    - determine if each variable is live in each basic block
  - Size of bit vector: one bit for each variable

Live Variables: Effects of a Basic Block (Transfer Function)

- **Insight: Trace uses backwards to the definitions**

an execution path



control flow



backward analysis

- **A basic block b can**
  - generate live variables: **Use[b]**
    - set of locally exposed uses in b
  - propagate incoming live variables: **OUT**[b] - **Def[b]**,
    - where Def[b]= set of variables defined in b.b.
- **transfer function** for block b:

in[b] = Use[b] U (out[b]-Def[b])

example

```
d4: d = 1
d5: c = a
d6: a = 4
```

IN[b] =

{a} U (OUT[b] – {a,c,d})

Flow Graph



f	Use	Def
1	{}	{a,b}
2	{b}	{a,c}
3	{a}	{b,d}

- in[b] = f_b(out[b])
- Join node: a node with multiple successors
- **meet** operator:

  out[b] = in[s_1] U in[s_2] U ... U in[s_n], where

  s_1, ..., s_n are all successors of b

Live Variables: Iterative Algorithm

```
input: control flow graph CFG = (N, E, Entry, Exit)

// Boundary condition
   in[Exit] = ∅

// Initialization for iterative algorithm
   For each basic block B other than Exit
      in[B] = ∅

// iterate
   While (changes to any in[] occur) {
      For each basic block B other than Exit {
         out[B] = ∪(in[s]), for all successors s of B
         in[B] = f_B(out[B])     // in[B]=Use[B]∪(out[B]-Def[B])
      }
```

Live Variables Example

OUT[b] = U (IN[succ(b)])

IN[b] = Use[b] U (OUT(b)-Def[b])

Init: IN[b]=∅



	First Pass	Second Pass
OUT[entry]	{m,n,u1,u2,u3}	{m,n,u1,u2,u3}
IN[B1]	{m,n,u1,u2,u3}	{m,n,u1,u2,u3}
OUT[B1]	{i,j,u2,u3}	{i,j,u2,u3}
IN[B2]	{i,j,u2,u3}	{i,j,u2,u3}
OUT[B2]	{u2,u3}	{j,u2,u3}
IN[B3]	{u2,u3}	{j,u2,u3}
OUT[B3]	{u3}	{j,u2,u3}
IN[B4]	{u3}	{j,u2,u3}
OUT[B4]	{}	{i,j,u2,u3}

Fixed point!

IV. Framework

	Reaching Definitions	Live Variables
Domain	Sets of definitions	Sets of variables
Direction	forward: $out[b] = f_b(in[b])$ $in[b] = \wedge\ out[pred(b)]$	backward: $in[b] = f_b(out[b])$ $out[b] = \wedge\ in[succ(b)]$
Transfer function	$f_b(x) = Gen_b \cup (x - Kill_b)$	$f_b(x) = Use_b \cup (x - Def_b)$
Meet Operation ($\wedge$)	$\cup$	$\cup$
Boundary Condition	$out[entry] = \varnothing$	$in[exit] = \varnothing$
Initial interior points	$out[b] = \varnothing$	$in[b] = \varnothing$

Other Data Flow Analysis problems fit into this general framework, e.g., Available Expressions [ALSU 9.2.6]

Key Questions

- **Correctness**
  - equations are satisfied, if the analysis algorithm terminates.
- **Precision: how good is the answer?**
  - is the answer ONLY a union of all possible executions?
- **Convergence: will the analysis terminate?**
  - or, could there always be some nodes that change?
- **Speed: how fast is the convergence?**
  - how many times will we visit each node?

lecture

Friday



Today's Class

I. Structure of data flow analysis

II. Example 1: Reaching definition analysis

III. Example 2: Liveness analysis

IV. Framework

Monday's Class

- Foundations of Data Flow Analysis
  - ALSU 9.3