15-780: Graduate Artificial **Intelligence**

Bayesian networks: Construction and inference

Bayesian networks: Notations

Bayesian networks are directed acyclic graphs.

Constructing a Bayesian network

- How do we go about constructing a network for a specific problem?
- Step 1: Identify the random variables
- Step 2: Determine the conditional dependencies
- Step 3: Populate the CPTs

Can be learned from observation data!

A example problem

- An alarm system
	- B Did a burglary occur?
	- E Did an earthquake occur?
	- A Did the alarm sound off?
	- M Mary calls
	- J John calls
- How do we reconstruct the network for this problem?

Factoring joint distributions

• Using the chain rule we can always factor a joint distribution as follows:

```
P(A,B,E,J,M) =
```

```
P(A | B, E, J, M) P(B, E, J, M) =P(A | B, E, J, M) P(B | E, J, M) P(E, J, M) = P(A | B,E,J,M) P(B | E, J,M) P(E | J,M) P(J,M)
P(A | B, E, J, M) P(B | E, J, M) P(E | J, M) P(J | M) P(M)
```
• This type of conditional dependencies can also be represented graphically.

A Bayesian network

Number of parameters:

A: 2^4

B: 2^3

E: 4

J: 2

M: 1

A total of 31 parameters

A better approach

- An alarm system
	- B Did a burglary occur?
	- E Did an earthquake occur?
	- A Did the alarm sound off?
	- M Mary calls
	- J John calls
- Lets use our knowledge of the domain!

Reconstructing a network

A total of 10 parameters

By relying on domain knowledge we saved 21 parameters!

Constructing a Bayesian network: **Revisited**

- Step 1: Identify the random variables
- Step 2: Determine the conditional dependencies
	- Select on ordering of the variables
	- Add them one at a time

- For each new variable X added select the minimal subset of nodes as parents such that X is independent from all other nodes in the current network given its parents.

• Step 3: Populate the CPTs

- We will discuss this when we talk about density estimations

Reconstructing a network

Bayesian network: Inference

- Once the network is constructed, we can use algorithm for inferring the values of unobserved variables.
- For example, in our previous network the only observed variables are the phone call and the radio announcement. However, what we are really interested in is whether there was a burglary or not.
- How can we determine that?

Inference

- Lets start with a simpler question
	- How can we compute a joint distribution from the network?
	- For example, $P(B, \neg E, A, J, \neg M)$?
- Answer:
	- That's easy, lets use the network

Computing: P(B, ¬E,A,J, ¬M)

 $P(B, \neg E, A, J, \neg M) =$ $P(B)P(\neg E)P(A | B, \neg E)$ $P(J | A)P(\neg M | A)$ $= 0.05*0.9*0.85*.7*.2$

 $= 0.005355$

Computing: P(B, ¬E,A,J, ¬M)

Inference

- We are interested in queries of the form: $P(B | J, \neg M)$
- This can also be written as a joint: • How do we compute the new joint? $P(B | J, \neg M) = \frac{P(B, J, \neg M)}{P(B, J, \neg M) + P(\neg B, J, \neg M)}$ $\neg M) + P(\neg B, J, \neg$ ¬ $\neg M)$ = **A J M B E**

Computing partial joints

$$
P(B|J, \neg M) = \frac{P(B, J, \neg M)}{P(B, J, \neg M) + P(\neg B, J, \neg M)}
$$

Sum all instances with these settings (the sum is over the possible assignments to the other two variables, E and A)

Computing: P(B,J, ¬M)

 $P(B,J, \neg M) =$ $P(B,J, \neg M,A,E)+$ $P(B,J, \neg M, \neg A,E)$ + $P(B,J, \neg M, A, \neg E)$ + $P(B, J, \neg M, \neg A, \neg E) =$

0.0007+0.00001+0.005+0. 0003 = 0.00601

Computing partial joints $P(B | J, \neg M) = \frac{P(B, J, \neg M)}{P(B, J, \neg M) + P(\neg B, J, \neg M)}$ $\neg M) + P(\neg B, J, \neg$ ¬ $\neg M)$ =

Sum all instances with these settings (the sum is over the possible assignments to the other two variables, E and A)

• This method can be improved by re-using calculations (similar to dynamic programming)

• Still, the number of possible assignments is exponential in the unobserved variables?

• That is, unfortunately, the best we can do. General querying of Bayesian networks is NP-complete

Inference in Bayesian networks if NP complete (sketch)

- Reduction from 3SAT
- Recall: 3SAT, find satisfying assignments to the following problem: $(a \lor b \lor c) \land (d \lor \neg b \lor \neg c) \dots$

Other inference methods

- Convert network to a polytree
	- In a polytree no two nodes have more than one path between them
	- We can convert arbitrary networks to a polytree by clustering (grouping) nodes. For such a graph there is a algorithm which is linear in the number of nodes
	- However, converting into a polytree can result in an exponential increase in the size of the CPTs

Stochastic inference

- We can easily sample the joint distribution to obtain possible instances
- 1. Sample the free variable
- 2. For every other variable:
	- If all parents have been sampled, sample based on conditional distribution
- We end up with a new set of assignments for B,E,A,J and M which are a random sample from the joint

Stochastic inference

- We can easily sample the joint distribution to obtain possible instances
- 1. Sample the free variable
- 2. For every other variable:
	- If all parents have been sampled, sample based on conditional

Its always possible to carry out this sampling procedure, why?

Using sampling for inference

- Lets revisit our problem: Compute P(B | J, M)
- Looking at the samples we can cound:
	- *N*: total number of samples
	- $-N_c$: total number of samples in which the condition holds $(J, -M)$
	- $-N_B$: total number of samples where the joint is true (B,J, $-M$)
- For a large enough N
	- $-N_c / N \approx P(J, \neg M)$
	- $-N_B / N \approx P(B, J, \neg M)$
- And so, we can set

 $P(B | J, \neg M) = P(B, J, \neg M) / P(J, \neg M) \approx N_B / N_c$

Using sampling for inference

- Lets revisit our problem: Compute P(B | J, M)
- Looking at the samples we can cound:
	- *N*: total number of Problem: What if the condition rarely happens?
	- N_c : total number
	- *N_B*: total number **WVE would fleed lots and lots of joint is the joint in the joint is the set of t** We would need lots and lots of
- \cdot For a large enough samples, and most would be wasted
	- $-N_c / N \approx P(J, \neg M)$
	- $-N_B / N \approx P(B, J, \neg M)$
- And so, we can set

$$
P(B | J, \neg M) = P(B, J, \neg M) / P(J, \neg M) \approx N_B / N_c
$$

Weighted sampling

- Compute $P(B | J, \neg M)$
- We can manually set the value of J to 1 and M to 0
- This way, all samples will contain the correct values for the conditional variables
- Problems? **A**

Weighted sampling

- Compute $P(B | J, \neg M)$
- Given an assignment to parents, we assign a value of 1 to J and 0 to M.
- We record the *probability* of this assignment ($w = p_1^* p_2$) and we weight the new joint sample by *w*

Weighted sampling algorithm for computing P(B | J,-M)

- Set N_B , $N_c = 0$
- Sample the joint setting the values for *J* and *M*, compute the weight, *w,* of this sample

•
$$
N_c = N_c + w
$$

• If
$$
B = 1
$$
, $N_B = N_B + w$

• After many iterations, set $P(B | J, \neg M) = N_B / N_c$

Bayesian networks for cancer detection

Important points

- Bayes rule
- Joint distribution, independence, conditional independence
- Attributes of Bayesian networks
- Constructing a Bayesian network
- Inference in Bayesian networks