15-780: Graduate Artificial **Intelligence**

Hidden Markov Models (HMMs)

What's wrong with Bayesian networks

- Bayesian networks are very useful for modeling joint distributions
- But they have their limitations:
	- Cannot account for temporal / sequence models
	- Dag's (no self or any other loops)

This is not a valid Bayesian network!

Hidden Markov models

- Model a set of observation with a set of hidden states
	- Robot movement

Observations: range sensor, visual sensor

Hidden states: location (on a map)

- Speech processing Observations: sound signals Hidden states: parts of speech, words
- Biology

Observations: DNA base pairs

Hidden states: Genes

Hidden Markov models

- Model a set of observation with a set of hidden states
	- Robot movement

Observations: range sensor, visual sensor

 \leqslant Hidden states: location (on a map)

- 1. Hidden states generate observations
- O Llidden states transition to 2. Hidden states transition to other hidden states

Examples: Speech processing

Example: Biological data

ATGAAGCTACTGTCTTCTATCGAACAAGCATGCG ATATTTGCCGACTTAAAAAGCTCAAG TGCTCCAAAGAAAAACCGAAGTGCGCCAAGTGT CTGAAGAACAACTGGGAGTGTCGCTAC TCTCCCAAAACCAAAAGGTCTCCGCTGACTAGG GCACATCTGACAGAAGTGGAATCAAGG CTAGAAAGACTGGAACAGCTATTTCTACTGATTT TTCCTCGAGAAGACCTTGACATGATT

Contents

Example: Gambling on dice outcome

- Two dices, both skewed (output model).
- Can either stay with the same dice or switch to the second dice (transition mode).

A Hidden Markov model

• A set of states $\{s_1 ... s_n\}$

 - In each time point we are in exactly one of these states denoted by q_t

- Π_i , the probability that we start at state s_i
- A transition probability model, $P(q_t = s_i | q_{t-1} = s_j)$
- A set of possible outputs Σ
	- In time point t we emit a symbol $\sigma \in \Sigma$
- An emission probability model, $p(o_t = \sigma | s_i)$

The Markov property

• A set of states $\{s_1 ... s_n\}$

 - In each time point we are in exactly one of these states denoted by q_t

- Π_i , the probability that we start at state s_i
- A transition probability model, $P(q_t = s_i | q_{t-1} = s_j)$

• A set of possible outputs Σ q_{t+1} is conditionally independent of q_{t-1} (and any earlier time points) given q_t An important aspect of this definitions is the Markov property:

0.2 More formally $P(q_{t+1} = s_i | q_t = s_j) = P(q_{t+1} = s_i | q_t = s_j, q_{t-1} = s_j)$

What can we ask when using a HMM?

A few examples:

- "What dice is currently being used?"
- "What is the probability of a 6 in the next role?"
- "What is the probability of 6 in any of the next 3 roles?"

Inference in HMMs

• Computing $P(Q)$ and $P(q_t = s_i)$

- If we cannot look at observations

• Computing $P(Q | O)$ and $P(q_t = s_i | O)$

 - When we have observation and care about the last state only

- Computing argmax_o $P(Q | O)$
	- When we care about the entire path

What dice is currently being used?

- There where t rounds so far
- We want to determine $P(q_t = A)$
- Lets assume for now that we cannot observe any outputs (we are blind folded)
- How can we compute this?

$P(q_t = A)?$

• Simple answer:

$P(q_t = A)?$

• Simple answer:

 1. Lets determine P(Q) where Q is any path that ends in A $Q = q_1, \ldots q_{t-1}, A$ $P(Q) = P(q_1, ..., q_{t-1}, A) = P(A | q_1, ..., q_{t-1}) P(q_1, ..., q_{t-1}) =$ $P(A | q_{t-1}) P(q_1, ..., q_{t-1}) = ... = P(A | q_{t-1}) ... P(q_2 | q_1) P(q_1)$

$$
2. P(q_t = A) = \Sigma P(Q)
$$

where the sum is over all sets of t sates that end in A

$P(q_t = A)?$

• Simple answer:

 1. Lets determine P(Q) where Q is any path that ends in A $Q = q_1, \ldots q_{t-1}, A$ $P(Q) = P(q_1, ..., q_{t-1}, A) = P(A | q_1, ..., q_{t-1}) P(q_1, ..., q_{t-1}) =$ $P(A | q_{t-1}) P(q_1, ..., q_{t-1}) = ... = P(A | q_{t-1}) ... P(q_2 | q_1) P(q_1)$

2. $P(q_t = A) = \Sigma P(Q)$ where the sum is over all sets of t sates that end in A Q: How many sets Q are there? A: A lot! (2^{t-1}) Not a feasible solution

$P(q_t = A)$, the smart way

- Lets define $p_t(i)$ = probability state i at time $t = p(q_t = s_i)$
- We can determine $p_t(i)$ by induction
- 1. $p_1(i) = \Pi_i$ 2. $p_t(i) = ?$

$P(q_t = A)$, the smart way

- Lets define $p_t(i)$ = probability state i at time $t = p(q_t = s_i)$
- We can determine $p_t(i)$ by induction 1. $p_1(i) = \Pi_i$ 2. $p_t(i) = \sum_j p(q_t = s_i | q_{t-1} = s_j) p_{t-1}(j)$

$P(q_t = A)$, the smart way

- Lets define $p_t(i)$ = probability state i at time $t = p(q_t = s_i)$
- We can determine $p_t(i)$ by induction 1. $p_1(i) = \Pi_i$ 2. $p_t(i) = \sum_j p(q_t = s_i | q_{t-1} = s_j) p_{t-1}(j)$

This type of computation is called dynamic programming

Complexity: $O(n^{2*}t)$

Number of states in our HMM

Inference in HMMs

- Computing $P(Q)$ and $P(q_t = s_i)$
- Computing $P(Q | O)$ and $P(q_t = s_i | O)$
- Computing argmax_{O} $P(Q)$

But what if we observe outputs?

- So far, we assumed that we could not observe the outputs
- In reality, we almost always can.

But what if we observe outputs?

- So far, we assumed that we could not observe the outputs
- In reality, we almost a Does observing the sequence

5, 6, 4, 5, 6, 6

Change our belief about the state?

But what if we observe outputs?

- So far, we assumed that we could not observe the outputs
- In reality, we almost a Does observing the sequence

6 $\vert .1 \vert 3$ following structure: represented by the HMMs are often $2 \mid 2 \mid 1$ $1 \t|3 \t|1$ $v \mid P(v | A) \mid P(v | B)$

5, 6, 4, 5, 6, 6

Change our belief about the state?

$P(q_t = A)$ when outputs are observed

- We want to compute $P(q_t = A | O_1 ... O_t)$
- For ease of writing we will use the following notations (common in literature)

•
$$
a_{i,j} = P(q_t = s_i | q_{t-1} = s_j)
$$

• $b_i(o_t) = P(o_t | s_i)$

$P(q_t = A)$ when outputs are observed

- We want to compute $P(q_t = A | O_1 ... O_t)$
- Lets start with a simpler question. Given a sequence of states Q, what is $P(Q | O_1 ... O_t) = P(Q | O)$?
	- It is pretty simple to move from $P(Q)$ to $P(q_t = A)$
	- In some cases P(Q) is the more important question
		- Speech processing
		- NLP

P(Q | O)

• We can use Bayes rule:

Easy, $P(O | Q) = P(o_1 | q_1) P(o_2 | q_2) ... P(o_t | q_t)$

P(Q | O)

• We can use Bayes rule:

P(Q | O)

• We can use Bayes rule:

P(O)

- What is the probability of seeing a set of observations: - An important question in it own rights, for example classification using two HMMs
- Define $\alpha_t(i) = P(o_1, o_2 ..., o_t \land q_t = s_i)$
- $\alpha_t(i)$ is the probability that we:
	- 1. Observe $o_1, o_2, ..., o_t$
	- 2. End up at state i

How do we compute α_{t} (i)?

Computing $\alpha_t(i)$

• $\alpha_1(i) = P(o_1 \land q_t = i) = P(o_1 | q_t = s_i) \Pi_1$

We must be at a state in time t

chain rule

Markov property ✔

! *P O q s P q s q s j* (|) (|) ()

Computing $\alpha_t(i)$

•
$$
\alpha_1(i) = P(o_1 \land q_t = i) = P(o_1 | q_t = s_i) \Pi_1
$$

We must be at a state in time t

$$
\sum_{j} P(O_{1}...O_{t} \land q_{t} = s_{j} \land O_{t+1} \land q_{t+1} = s_{i}) =
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{j} P(O_{t+1} \land q_{t+1} = s_{i} | O_{1}...O_{t} \land q_{t} = s_{j})P(O_{1}...O_{t} \land q_{t} = s_{j}) =
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{j} P(O_{t+1} \land q_{t+1} = s_{i} | O_{1}...O_{t} \land q_{t} = s_{j})\alpha_{t}(j) =
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{j} P(O_{t+1} | q_{t+1} = s_{i})P(q_{t+1} = s_{i} | q_{t} = s_{j})\alpha_{t}(j) =
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{j} b_{i}(O_{t+1})a_{j,i}\alpha_{t}(j)
$$

Example: Computing $\alpha_3(B)$

• We observed 2,3,6

 $\alpha_1(A) = P(2 \wedge q_1 = A) = P(2 | q_1 = A)\Pi_A = 2^* .7 = .14, \alpha_1(B) = .1^* .3 = .03$ $\alpha_2(A) = \sum_{i=A_{1},B} b_A(3)a_{i,A} \alpha_1(j) = 2^* . 8^* . 14 + .2^* . 2^* . 03 = 0.0236, \ \alpha_2(B) = 0.0052$ $\alpha_3(B) = \sum_{i=A,B} b_B(6)a_{i,B} \alpha_2(i) = 3 \times 2 \times 0.0236 + 0.3 \times 0.0052 = 0.00264$

 $\Pi_A = 0.7$ $\Pi_{\sf b}$ =0.3

Where we are

- We want to compute $P(Q | O)$
- For this, we only need to compute P(O)
- We know how to compute $\alpha_{\mathsf{t}}(\mathsf{i})$

From now its easy $\alpha_t(i) = P(o_1, o_2 ..., o_t \land q_t = s_i)$ so $P(O) = P(o_1, o_2 ..., o_t) = \sum_i P(o_1, o_2 ..., o_t \land q_t = s_i) = \sum_i \alpha_t(i)$ note that $p(q_t = s_i | o_1, o_2 ..., o_t) =$ $\alpha_{t}(i)$ $\alpha_{t}(j)$ j \sum $P(A | B) = P(A \land B) / P(B)$

Complexity

- How long does it take to compute $P(Q | O)$?
- $P(Q)$: $O(n)$
- $P(O|Q): O(n)$
- $P(O)$: $O(n^2t)$

Inference in HMMs

- Computing $P(Q)$ and $P(q_t = s_i)$
- Computing $P(Q | O)$ and $P(q_t = s_i | O)$ \sqrt
- Computing argmax_QP(Q)

Most probable path

- We are almost done …
- One final question remains How do we find the most probable path, that is Q^* such that

 $P(Q^* | O) = \text{argmax}_{O} P(Q|O)$?

- This is an important path
	- The words in speech processing
	- The set of genes in the genome
	- etc.

Example

• What is the most probable set of states leading to the sequence:

1,2,2,5,6,5,1,2,3 ?

Most probable path

$$
\arg \max_{Q} P(Q | O) = \arg \max_{Q} \frac{P(O | Q)P(Q)}{P(O)}
$$

$$
= \arg \max_{Q} P(O | Q)P(Q)
$$

We will use the following definition:

$$
\delta_{t}(i) = \max_{q_{1} \dots q_{t-1}} p(q_{1} \dots q_{t-1} \land q_{t} = s_{i} \land O_{1} \dots O_{t})
$$

In other words we are interested in the most likely path from 1 to t that:

- 1. Ends in S_i
- 2. Produces outputs $O_1 \ldots O_t$

Computing $\delta_t(i)$

$$
\delta_1(i) = p(q_1 = s_i \land O_1) \n= p(q_1 = s_i) p(O_1 | q_1 = s_i) \n= \pi_i b_i(O_1)
$$

$$
\delta_t(i) = \max_{q_1 \dots q_{t-1}} p(q_1 \dots q_{t-1} \land q_t = s_i \land O_1 \dots O_t)
$$

Q: Given $\delta_t(i)$, how can we compute $\delta_{t+1}(i)$?

- A: To get from $\delta_{\mathfrak{t}}(\mathsf{i})$ to $\delta_{\mathfrak{t}+1}(\mathsf{i})$ we need to
- 1. Add an emission for time $t+1$ (O_{t+1})
- 2. Transition to state s_i

$$
\delta_{t+1}(i) = \max_{q_1 \dots q_t} p(q_1 \dots q_t \land q_{t+1} = s_i \land O_1 \dots O_{t+1})
$$

=
$$
\max_j \delta_t(j) p(q_{t+1} = s_i | q_t = s_j) p(O_{t+1} | q_{t+1} = s_i)
$$

=
$$
\max_j \delta_t(j) a_{j,i} b_i(O_{t+1})
$$

The Viterbi algorithm

$$
\delta_{t+1}(i) = \max_{q_1 \dots q_t} p(q_1 \dots q_t \land q_{t+1} = s_i \land O_1 \dots O_{t+1})
$$

=
$$
\max_{j} \delta_{t+1}(j) p(q_{t+1} = s_i | q_t = s_j) p(O_{t+1} | q_{t+1} = s_i)
$$

=
$$
\max_{j} \delta_{t+1}(j) a_{j,i} b_i(O_{t+1})
$$

- Once again we use dynamic programming for solving $\delta_{\mathfrak{t}}(\mathsf{i})$
- Once we have $\delta_t(i)$, we can solve for our P(Q*|O)

By:

 $P(Q^* | O) = \text{argmax}_{O} P(Q|O) = P(Q^* | O) =$ path defined by argmax_j $\delta_t(j)$,

Inference in HMMs

- Computing $P(Q)$ and $P(q_t = s_i)$
- Computing $P(Q | O)$ and $P(q_t = s_i | O)$ \sqrt
- Computing argmax $_{\text{Q}}$ P(Q) \quad \sqrt

A HMM model for a DNA motif alignments, The transitions are shown with arrows whose thickness indicate their probability. In each state, the histogram shows the probabilities of the four bases.

No of matching states = average sequence length in the family PFAM Database - of Protein families (**http://pfam.wustl.edu)**

What you should know

- Why HMMs? Which applications are suitable?
- Inference in HMMs
	- No observations
	- Probability of next state w. observations
	- Maximum scoring path (Viterbi)