#### 15-780: Graduate Artificial **Intelligence**

Markov decision processes (MDPs)

# What's missing in HMMs

- HMMs cannot model important aspects of agent interactions:
	- No model for rewards
	- No model for actions which can affect these rewards
- These are actually issues that are faced by many applications:
	- Agents negotiating deals on the web
	- A robot which interacts with its environment

### Example: No actions



#### Formal definition of MDPs

- A set of states  $\{s_1 ... s_n\}$
- A set of rewards  ${r_1 \dots r_n}$
- A set of action  $\{a_1 \dots a_m\}$   $\leftarrow$
- Transition probability

One reward for each state

Number of actions could be larger than number of states

$$
P_{i,j}^k = P(q_{t+1} = s_j | q_t = i \& h_t = a_k)
$$

#### **Questions**

- What is my expected pay if I am in state *i*
- What is my expected pay if I am in state *i* and perform action *a*?

# Solving MDPs

- No actions: Value iterations
- With actions: Value iteration, Policy iteration

## Value computation

- An obvious question for such models is what is combined expected value for each state
- What can we expect to earn over our life time if we become Asst. prof.?
- What if we go to industry?

Before we answer this question, we need to define a model for future rewards:

- The value of a current award is higher than the value of future awards
	- Inflation, confidence
	- Example: Lottery

#### Discounted rewards

- The discounted rewards model is specified using a parameter γ
- Total rewards = current reward +

………….

 γ (reward at time t+1) +  $\gamma^2$  (reward at time t+2) +

 $\gamma^k$  (reward at time t+k) +

infinite sum

#### Discounted awards

- The discounted award model is specified using a parameter γ
- Total awards = current award +

γ (award at time t+1) +

 $v^2$  (award at time t+2) +

Converges if  $0 < \gamma < 1$  k) +

infinite sum

#### Determining the total rewards in a state

- Define  $J^*(s_i)$  = expected discounted sum of rewards when starting at state  $s_i$
- How do we compute  $J^*(s_i)$ ?

$$
J^*(s_i) = r_i + \gamma X
$$
  
=  $r_i + \gamma (p_{i1}J^*(s_1) + p_{i2}J^*(s_2) + \cdots p_{in}J^*(s_n))$ 

How can we solve this?

# Computing  $j^*(s_i)$

$$
J^*(s_1) = r_1 + \gamma (p_{11}J^*(s_1) + p_{12}J^*(s_2) + \cdots p_{1n}J^*(s_n))
$$

$$
J^*(s_2) = r_2 + \gamma (p_{21}J^*(s_1) + p_{22}J^*(s_2) + \cdots p_{2n}J^*(s_n))
$$

$$
J^*(s_n) = r_n + \gamma (p_{n1}J^*(s_1) + p_{n2}J^*(s_2) + \cdots p_{nn}J^*(s_n))
$$

- We have n equations with n unknowns
- Can be solved in close form

## Iterative approaches

- Solving in closed form is possible, but may be time consuming.
- It also doesn't generalize to non-linear models
- Alternatively, this problem can be solved in an iterative manner
- Lets define  $J^t(s_i)$  as the expected discounted rewards after k steps
- How can we compute  $J^t(s_i)$ ?

$$
J^1(S_i) = r_i
$$
  

$$
J^2(S_i) = r_i + \gamma \left(\sum_k p_{i,k} J^1(s_k)\right)
$$
  

$$
J^{t+1}(S_i) = r_i + \gamma \left(\sum_k p_{i,k} J^t(s_k)\right)
$$

#### Iterative approaches

• We know how to solve this!

consuming.  $\bullet$  Letternative dynamic program in graphs Lets fill the dynamic programming table

- Lets define  $J^k(s_i)$  as the expected discounted awards after k steps
- But wait …

*i <i>h*is is a no This is a never ending task!

$$
J^{2}(S_{i}) = r_{i} + \gamma \left(\sum_{k} p_{i,k} J^{1}(s_{k})\right)
$$
  

$$
J^{t+1}(S_{i}) = r_{i} + \gamma \left(\sum_{k} p_{i,k} J^{t}(s_{k})\right)
$$

#### When do we stop?

$$
J^{1}(S_{i}) = r_{i}
$$
  

$$
J^{2}(S_{i}) = r_{i} + \gamma \left(\sum_{k} p_{i,k} J^{1}(s_{k})\right)
$$
  

$$
J^{t+1}(S_{i}) = r_{i} + \gamma \left(\sum_{k} p_{i,k} J^{t}(s_{k})\right)
$$

Remember, we have a converging function

We can stop when  $|J^{t-1}(s_i)$ -  $J^t(s_i)|_{\infty} < ε$ 

Infinity norm selects maximal element



# Solving MDPs

- No actions: Value iterations v
- With actions: Value iteration, Policy iteration

# Adding actions

A Markov Decision Process:

- A set of states  $\{s_1 ... s_n\}$
- A set of rewards  ${r_1 \dots r_n}$
- A set of action  $\{a_1 \dots a_m\}$
- Transition probability

$$
P_{i,j}^k = P(q_{t+1} = s_j \mid q_t = i \& h_t = a_k)
$$

#### Example: Actions



#### Questions for MDPs

- Now we have actions
- The question changes to the following:

Given our current state and the possible actions, what is the best action for us in terms of long term payment?



# **Policy**

- A policy maps sates to actions
- An optimal policy leads to the highest expected returns
- Note that this does not depend on the start state



# Solving MDPs with actions

- It could be shown that for every MDP there exists an optimal policy (we won't discuss the proof).
- Such policy guarantees that there is no other action that is expected to yield a higher payoff

### Computing the optimal policy: 1. Modified value iteration

- We can compute it by modifying the value iteration method we discussed.
- Define  $p^k_{ij}$  as the probability of transitioning from state i to state j when using action k
- Then we compute:

$$
J^{t+1}(S_i) = \max_{k} r_i + \gamma \left( \sum_{j} p_{i,j}^{k} J^{t}(s_j) \right)
$$
  
Also known as Bellman's

equation

### Computing the optimal policy: 1. Modified value iteration

- We can compute it by modifying the value iteration method we discussed.
- Define  $p^k_{ij}$  as the probability of transitioning from state i to state j when using action k
- Then we compute:

$$
J^{t+1}(S_i) = \max_k r_i + \gamma \left( \sum_j p_{i,j}^k J^t(s_j) \right)
$$

Run until convergences

### Computing the optimal policy: 1. Modified value iteration

- We can compute it by modifying the value iteration method we discussed.
- Define  $p^k_{ij}$  as the probability of transitioning from state i to state j when using action k
- Then we compute:

$$
J^{t+1}(S_i) = \max_k r_i + \gamma \left( \sum_j p_{i,j}^k J^t(s_j) \right)
$$

• When the algorithm converges, we have computed the best outcome for each state

• We associate states with the actions that maximize their return

#### Value iteration for  $\gamma = 0.9$



## Computing the optimal policy: 2. Policy iteration

- We can also compute optimal policies by revising an existing policy.
- We initially select a policy at random (mapping from states to actions).
- We re-compute the expected long term reward at each state using the selected policy
- We select a new policy using the expected rewrads and iterate until convergences

## Policy iteration: algorithm

- Let  $\pi_t(s_i)$  be the selected policy at time t
- 1. Randomly chose  $\pi_0$ ; set t = 0
- 2. For each state  $s_i$  compute  $J^*(s_i)$ , the long term expected reward using policy  $\pi_t$ .

3. Set 
$$
\pi_t(s_i) = \max_k r_i + \gamma \left( \sum_j p_{i,j}^k J^*(s_j) \right)
$$

4. Convergence? Yes: output policy. No:  $t = t + 1$ , go to 2.

## Policy iteration: algorithm

- Let  $\pi_t(s_i)$  be the selected policy at time t
- 1. Randomly chose  $\pi_0$ ; set t = 0
- 2. For each state  $s_i$  compute  $J^*(s_i)$ , the long term expected reward using polic $\hat{y}_{\pi_t}$ .

3. Set 
$$
\pi_t(s_i) = \max_k r_i + \gamma \left( \sum_j p_{i,j}^k J^*(s_j) \right)
$$

4. Convergence? Yes: output policy.  $N$ o:  $t = t + 1$ , go to 2.

Can be computed using  $\mathsf{J}^\star(\mathsf{s}_\mathsf{i})$  for all states

Can be computed using value iteration

#### Value iteration vs. policy iteration

- Depending on the model and the information at hand:
	- If you have a good guess regarding the optimal policy then policy iteration would converge much faster
	- similarly, if there are many possible actions, policy iteration might be faster
	- otherwise value iteration is a safer way

#### Demo

# What you should know

- Models that include rewards and actions
- Value iteration for solving MDPs
- Policy iteration

### Partially Observed Markov Decision Processes (POMDPs)

- Same model as MDP except: We do not observe the states we are in.
- Thus, we have a distribution over states
- There is an initial distribution for states (initial belief)
- Once we reach a new state and receive a reward we can re-compute a new belief regrading the possible set of states

#### **Example**

- If we see 1, we can be in any of several locations.
- However, based on past and future observations we can increase a decrease our belief at a given state



POMDPs can be solved by extending the MDP methods to solve for a belief state vector rather than for the original single state MDP