

## Honeywell's Independent LifeStyle Assistant™ (I.L.S.A.)

### Florida Participant Reactions

Michael D. Justiss, MOT,OTR/L
University of Florida
Rehabilitation Science Doctoral Program
University of Florida
mjustiss@hp.ufl.edu







### Background

- i Partnership with Industry
  - ñ To study the use of home monitoring technology to promote independence among the elderly
- i The RERC-Tech-Aging beta tested ILSA in the homes of elders in Florida.







#### Goal:

i Select a convenience sample of 10 elders from the RERC-Tech-Aging Consumer Assessment participant pool

ñ 310 frail elders living in North Central Florida

ñ Have at least one ADL deficit







- i ILSA inclusion criteria
  - ñ Living alone
  - $\tilde{n}$  MMSE > 24
  - ñ Access to high speed internet service
  - ñ Agreement of participation from a family member/caregiver







i Of 10 consenting elders, 4 withdrew prior to the installation process

ï Two withdrew during the installation process







- i Difficulty with recruitment
  - ñ Procedure sounded too invasive
  - ñ Difficulty understanding the technology
  - ñ No willing family/caregiver involvement
    - i Participant often felt it would be an imposition
  - ñ Fear of impending cost
  - ñ Fear that someone is watching







### N=4

Mean Age 3 Female 1 Male	70 (56-76)
Mean MMSE	28 (27-29)
Mean # Medications	5 (1-8)







- i Types of Impairments
  - ñ Diabetes
  - ñ Arthritis
  - ñ Asthma
  - ñ Depression
  - ñ Thyroid Disorder
  - ñ Thallasemia
  - ñ Hypertension







### Caregiver distribution

Participant 1: Son

Participant 2: Two sons (twins)

Participant 3: Daughter

Participant 4: Friend/neighbor







### Qualitative Review

i A semi-structured focus group was conducted to assess participant reactions to the ILSA system







## Reaction Categories

- i Initial Reactions
- i Perceived need
- i Changed behaviors
- ï Communication with family/caregiverñ Type of communication







## Reaction Categories

- i Participant monitoring
- i System control
- i Device Recommendations
- i Caregiver reactions







### **Initial Reactions**

- i à Excitement, it sounded important for people, and it has to get started somewhere.î
- i All participants expressed little concern about the installation process







### Perceived need

- ï All said yes
  - ñ i I have a hard time remembering to take my medicationî
  - ñ i I live alone; it would be nice to know someone is keeping an eye on me (in a sense)î
  - ñ i It would be good for my family to have contact or receive informationî
  - ñ i I recently got out of the hospital, it would be good to have someone checking on meî



## Changed behaviors

- i i I pay more attention to my medicationî
- i i I donit like being confined to a routineî
- i i I had to remember to turn it on and offi







# Communication with family/caregiver

i i It increased communication between me and my family; they called all the time when they would get messages that I havenít moved for 5 hoursÖ I went out and forgot to turn it off.î







## Participant monitoring

- i All participants felt comfortable with the type of monitoring used
- i A uniquely male perspective:
  - ñ i You need cameras to truly see whatis going on with the personî
  - Ö and the ladiesí responseÖ







## NO







## Whois Monitoring?

i The responses changed slightly when asked how they would respond to cameras when access was restricted to only family and health professionals

ì Ö alright, but not in the bathroomî







## System Controls

- i All participants reported the touch screen controls were easy to use and understand
- i All participants understood how the system functioned
  - ñ ì I like to watch the light on the sensor flash as I walk byÖ î
  - ñ i I like to take my medication and run to the web pad to see it register the timeî







### Device Recommendations

- ï The web pad is too heavy
  - ñ Two participants would use the pad to access email or play solitaire
- i i I wish ILSA would sync with my computerî
- i i More color choices would be niceî
- i i Proximity sensorî







## Caregiver reactions

i One family member described what he termed a i crying wolfi phenomenon

ñ ì At first, I was very concerned when I was constantly receiving alerts for no movement over 5 hoursÖ the more and more alerts I received, the less and less I believed them.î







### Caregiver reactions

i i I was fine until the phone calls startedÖ I was so annoyed, I almost quit the studyî

i All family/caregivers felt ILSA increased communication, but not always in a positive way







### Summary

i Despite a high incidence of technical difficulty, false alerts, and family/caregiver frustration, all elders in the group feel remote home monitoring is important.







## Would you do it again?

- i All 4 responded: Yes
  - ñ 3 definitely
  - ñ 1 only if the bugs were worked out
- i Family/caregivers
  - ñ 3 hesitantly would agree if it was improved
  - ñ 1 No







### Future Research Considerations

- i It was the elders with the most technical/computer knowledge who remained in the study
- i All those who dropped out did not own a computer or rarely to never used one
- i Needs and barriers to technology for the elderly







### **Future Research Considerations**

- i Family/caregiver participation is very important
  - ñ Three withdrawals were attributed to family/caregiver conflict
- i Underlying family/caregiver frustration threatened continued participation for the remaining four







i i I think as a pilot project it has worked very well; kinks will always have to be worked out and I think it has great potentialÖ I think the idea is great and its time has come.î







## Special Thanks

## The Fab 4







### Acknowledgement

This research was supported through funding from Honeywell Laboratories, the Advanced Technology Program at the National Institute of Science and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce under agreement #70NANBOH3020, and the

National Institute on Disability and Rehabilitation Research of the U.S. Department of Education and the Administration on Aging of the Department of Health and Human Services.

Michael D. Justiss, MOT, OTR/L University of Florida P.O. Box 100164 Gainesville, FL 32610-1064 (352) 273-6043 mjustiss@hp.ufl.edu



