

Maintaining Elder Independence: The Independent LifeStyle Assistant[™] I.L.S.A.

Kathleen Krichbaum, PhD, RN University of Minnesota School of Nursing

Liana Kiff Honeywell Labs

Honeywell

UMN-Research Day - April 2004







I.L.S.A. Program

Goal:

Develop an intelligent home automation system with situation awareness and decision-making capability based on integration of diverse sensors to support enable elderly users to live independently at home and to support family caregivers.

I.L.S.A. was Co-funded by

Honeywell and The U.S. Department of Commerce National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) Advanced Technology Program (ATP)



- Support elder independent living
- Provide peace of mind to caregivers
- Support efficient quality of care for caregiving organizations
- Provide cost savings for government and industry



Correlates of Elder Institutionalization

- Safety
- Dementia
- Caregiver Burnout
- Medication
 Management
- Medical
 Monitoring

- Toileting
- Mobility
- Eating
- Transportation
- Isolation
- Managing Money



Home-Care Analysis and Opportunities

- Functional Assessment and Monitoring
 - » Medical, as well as physical / mental function
- Managing Information
 - » Medical history accessible to all caregivers and medical professionals

Coordinating Care

» Address the disconnect between the medical community, professional caregivers, family caregivers, and elders

Educating the Care Community

- » train informal caregivers to recognize signs of dementia
- » train geriatricians to better recognize environmental factors contributing to dementia, especially in behavior outside the home
- » train physicians to better communicate medication strategies



The I.L.S.A. Vision

- Gather information about elder, activity, and home status by listening to the home and communicating with devices
- Assess the need for assistance based on the system's understanding the elder's condition and what activities are going on inside the home
- Respond to a given situation by providing assistance to the elder and getting help when necessary
- Share health and status information with authorized caregivers and the elderly client to help improve the quality and timely delivery of care





- Assess design of interface and interaction
- Assess attitudes and perceptions of elders and family caregivers
- Assess patterns of behavior
- Evaluate system operation
- Evaluate system effects

For wider coverage of I.L.S.A. results, please visit our official website at http://www.htc.honeywell.com/projects/ilsa



Field Test Functions

Functions¹

- Reminders: Notes to help elder remember what to do today
- Mobility²: Summary of elder activity level for each time period of the day
- Medicine²: List of the medications elder should take and whether he or she opened the caddy at the correct time
- Controls: The status of I.L.S.A. in elder's home (on/off)
- Help: What to do in an emergency and who to call if elder required assistance

Support

Caregiver/family member, user guides, and technical support

¹Critical to have accurate information

²Thresholds have been established to issue alerts for these functions



What ILSA Looked Like

Control Boxes

- Wireless base station Hidden in closet, talks to sensors
- Telephone I.L.S.A can call elder with a message
- WebPad Elder able to get information from I.L.S.A.

Sensors

- Motion Sensors Sense motion in a room
- Call Button Same as elder's current call button
- Medicine Caddy Tells I.L.S.A. when medications taken



I.L.S.A. System





Installation

Example apartment layout with sensor locations









An alert suggested that the caregiver may want to check on the parent at his/her convenience. Caregivers were called with alerts, and saw them on the web browser.

- No Mobility for more than 5 hours during normal wakeful times
- A 50% increase or decrease in mobility (activity) from normal levels over the course of three days.
- Missed medications for a period of at least 24 hours.

NOTE: For this field test, personal emergency alarms were handled by separate equipment and providers.



Longitudinal, single group repeated measures

Sites:

- Minnesota: 7 Assisted Living Apartments
- Florida: 4 Independent homes





Inclusion criteria:

- 1. Takes one or more medications daily
- 2. Independent in ADLs
- 3. Needs assistance with one IADL
- 4. Has family caregiver who provides regular support
- 5. Family caregiver willing to participate



Field Test Measures

- Usability questionnaires-weekly, monthly
- Motion sensors
- Medication caddy sensors
- Elder health: SF-36
- Elder cognition level: MMSE
- Elder comfort with technology
- Focus groups: elders and caregivers



Demographics (Minnesota only) n=7 Age: 83.42 (range 76-96) Gender: 1 male, 6 female Marital status: 6 widowed, 1 married (f) Level of education: 4 HS, 2 College grads, 1 masters' degree



Test Subject Demographics

Location	Ν	Situation	Gender	Age
Minnesota	7	1 assisted apartment 6 independent apartment	1 male, 6 female	Ave: 83.42 Range 76-96
Florida	4	All in own homes	1 male 3 female	Ave: 70 Range (56-76)

- Relatively high education, High School to PhD
- Relatively high acceptance of technology
- "Early Adoptors" who want to influence technology

Identifying willing elder/caregiver teams was more difficult than anticipated well



Test Subjects

Comfort with technology:

40.29 (range 37-45)

Mobility

- One uses wheelchair for long distances, walker in apt.
- Others very active; all but two still drive

Med Adherence:

- One has meds set up
- Others set up own weekly
- Number of meds range from 1-16 per day



- Elders are living independently
- All were physically active
- All were "healthy" with at least one chronic illness
- All were comfortable with remotes, programmable appliances
- Five had some computer literacy-wide variation in abilities



- I.L.S.A. test subjects required to have at least one family caregiver
- Total of 17 caregivers registered for 11 clients
 - 8 Men, 9 Women
 - Access to web was a criteria for our test
- Professional caregivers were not targeted in this study





Short Form-36 (SF-36)

Physical Health
Physical functioning
Role-physical
Bodily pain
General health
Mental Health
Vitality
Social functioning
Role-emotional
Mental health



Scores

Field Test Results: SF-36

SF 36 FACTORS	T1	Т2	Т3	p
Physical Function	62.9 (21.6)	59.3 (22.9)	50.7 (20.3)	.38
Role-Physical	53.6 (44.3)	53.6 (36.6)	57.1 (34.5)	.97
Pain	76.8 (25.4)	84.9 (19.2)	72.3 (27.8)	.51
General Health	71.6(35.9)	66.9 (21.0)	65.9 (23.8)	.76

(Standard Deviation)





Field Test Results: SF-36

SF 36 FACTORS	Τ1	Т2	Т3	р
Vitality	52.9 (24.8)	58.6 (20.3)	49.3 (15.4)	.29
Social Function	87.5 (17.7)	91.1 (15.7)	75.0 (22.8)	.21
Role-Emotional	83.3 (27.9)	90.5 (25.2)	76.2 (46.0)	.75
Mental Health	82.9 (11.9)	86.9 (6.8)	76.6 (14.7)	.09

(Standard Deviation)



Mini Mental Status Exam Results





Correlations

Age with general health Age with pain (p=.023) Gender (f) with PF Gender (f) with MMSE Comfort with MH

.245 .487 .721 .823 -.618 -.612 -.618 .683 .642 .642 -.430 -.731 -.542



Client Feedback

- Enjoyed interactivity-desired more
- Disliked telephone reminders—tried to "beat the system"
- Able to tolerate multiple devices
- Liked medication caddies
- Challenged by ILSA
- Greatest fear is falling, then safety



Conclusion

Our experience with I.L.S.A. highlighted topics for further study:

- System interaction concepts for elderly users
- Further study of machine learning algorithms in this domain
- Revised models of activity monitoring and sensor selection/placement
- Reminder behavior and adaptability
- Market questions: how to overcome barriers to acceptance of "invasive" technologies



Acknowledgements

Honeywell received support from the following organizations and individuals in the pursuit of this research:

- Advanced Technology Program at the National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce under agreement #70NANBOH3020.
- The identification of Minnesota test locations and test subjects was supported by United Health Care, and Presbyterian Homes and Services.
- The Florida-based field testing managed by the University of Florida's Department of Occupational Therapy. Honeywell gratefully acknowledges the contributions of Pat Dasler, Michael Justiss, Dr. William Mann, and others who supported the successful deployment to Gainesville test participants.
- Honeywell ACS Labs thanks LifeLine Systems, Inc. for providing emergency personal response coverage for the Florida-based clients.



Thank You for sharing your time with us.



www.honeywell.com

http://www.htc.honeywell.com/projects/ilsa

Honeywell

UMN-Research Day