A Tool for Integrating Abstract Interpretation, Model Checking, andDeductive Verification

> Subash ShankarCity University of New York (CUNY)

> > Sep 19, 2014

Static Analysis Techniques

- \bullet **Abstract Interpretation**: An approximation of program semantics based on mappings between concrete and abstract lattices⇒ symbolic evaluation in abstract domain
	- \odot Usefulness of [nondeterministic, lossy] abstract program dependent on abstractions
	- [©] Loops require unrolling, with loss of precision (or an indeter-
minate fixed point earmulation) \odot minate fixed point computation)
- \bullet **Deductive Verification**: The formal semantics of ^a program, viewed as ^a predicate transformer from ^a postcondition to ^a precondition
	- \odot Loops require the *manual* identification of ^a loop invariant
	- \odot Automation limited by theorem prover limitations
- \bullet **Model Checking and CEGAR**: Iteration over abstraction-model checking-refinement cycle to automatically prove program correctness
	- \odot State space explosion
	- \odot Success limited by choice of predicate abstractions

Static Analysis Techniques

- \bullet **Abstract Interpretation:** An approximation of program semantics based on mappings between concrete and abstract lattices⇒ symbolic evaluation in abstract domain
	- \odot Usefulness of [nondeterministic, lossy] abstract program dependent on abstractions
	- [©] Loops require unrolling, with loss of precision (or an indeter-
minate fixed point earmulation) \odot minate fixed point computation)
- \bullet **Deductive Verification**: The formal semantics of ^a program, viewed as ^a predicate transformer from ^a postcondition to ^a precondition
	- \odot Loops require the *manual* identification of ^a loop invariant
	- \odot Automation limited by theorem prover limitations
- \bullet **Model Checking and CEGAR**: Iteration over abstraction-model checking-refinement cycle to automatically prove program correctness
	- \odot State space explosion
	- \odot Success limited by choice of predicate abstractions

Frama-C
Frama-C
J

```
\begin{cases}\n\text{SATABS}\n\end{cases}
```
Frama-C Architecture

Plugins:

- \bullet Interfaces to abstract syntax tree (AST), C intermediate language (CIL) extended with ANSI C Specification Language (ACSL) annotations, AI lattices, etc. provided by kernel
- \bullet • Plugins used for either analysis $(≥ 1$ AST) or source-to-source transformation (> 1
^CT\ AST)
	- Statically-linked kernel-integrated plugins include value (abstract interpretation) andwp (weakest preconditions)
- • Extensible through user-written plugins, typically linked dynamically
- Common plugin interface allows for in- \bullet formation sharing, along with ^a central mechanism for combining plugin results.

All programmed in OCAML

(From Frama-C Developer Manual)

TOOL DEMO

Integrating AI, WP, and CMC(Ongoing and Future Research)

BUT individual analyzers often won't work on given examples . . . ⇒ Integrate analyses:

\bullet **Loose coupling:**

- Use core Frama-C to improve CMC results. Examples:
	- 1. Value analysis to filter initial states for model checking
	- 2. Frama-C to slice out irrelevant paths before CMC
	- 3. Use WP/AI to pick "good" initial abstractions?
- Use CMC to improve deductive verification results.
- \bullet **Tight Coupling:** Develop ^a rigorous software analysis/verification mechanism and/or use cases that exploit the differing benefits of multiple analysis techniques.

Thank you for listeningAnd most of all, Thanks Ed!

Questions?

Frama-C: downloadable from <www.frama-c.com> CMC Plugin: email <subash.shankar@hunter.cuny.edu>