中央研究院資訊科學研究所 INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION SCIENCE ACADEMIA SINICA



Verifying Recursive Programs using Intra-procedural Analyzers

Yu-Fang Chen, Academia Sinica, Taiwan joint work with Chiao Hsieh, Ming-Hsien Tsai, Bow-Yaw Wang and Farn Wang

First of all

Thanks for the invitation and congratulation to Ed!







Difficulties of Program Verification

- Large/unbounded base types: int, float, string
- User-defined types/classes
- Pointers/aliasing + unbounded #'s of heap-allocated cells
- Procedure calls/recursion/calls through pointers/dynamic method lookup/overloading
- Concurrency + unbounded #'s of threads
- Templates/generics/include files
- Interrupts/exceptions/callbacks
- Use of secondary storage: files, databases
- Absent source code for: libraries, system calls, mobile code
- Size

Source: Turing Lecture of Edmund Clarke



Difficulties of Program Verification

- Large/unbounded base types: int, float, string
- User-defined type
- Pointers/aliasing
- Procedure calls/ lookup/overloading

Almost impossible to attack all features at the same time.

cells amic method

- Concurrency + unbounded #'s of threads
- Templates/generics/include files
- Interrupts/exceptions/callbacks
- Use of secondary storage: files, databases
- Absent source code for: libraries, system calls, mobile code
- Size

Source: Turing Lecture of Edmund Clarke



Difficulties of Program Verification

- Large/unbounded base types: int, float, string
- User-det
- Pointers
- Procedu lookup/o
- Concurre
- Templat
- Interrupt
- Use of secondary storage: files, databases
- Absent source code for: libraries, system calls, mobile code
- Size

ated cells dynamic method

Source: Turing Lecture of Edmund Clarke



The Proposal

Basic Solver: Handle only integer type No recursion No pointer No concurrency





The Proposal





The Proposal



Handling Recursive Programs

An approach to verify recursive program using non-recursive verifiers via program transformation

Yu-Fang Chen, **Chiao Hsieh**, **Ming-Hsien Tsai**, **Bow-Yaw Wang** and **Farn Wang**, "Verifying Recursive Programs using Intraprocedural Analyzers", SAS 2014











































An Example: McCarthy 91



Goal: verify assertion safely Assumption: Formal parameters are **read-only**

Construct Under-Approximation







Construct Under-Approximation









Refine the Approximation by Unwinding





More Accurate Refinement



Construct Over-Approximation



Assume we have a summary {true} $r := mc91(n) \{ r \ge 91 \}$



Basic Flow



2014/9/19



Inductive Invariant from Basic Solver





Candidate from the Inductive Invariant



Generate a candidate of summary: true $\rightarrow r^{mc91} \ge 91$ $\forall N_{FR}$. P \rightarrow P', where N_{FR} means all variables other than formal parameters and return variables

Some renaming is needed. r^{mc91} is the return variable of the function mc91.

Institute of Informa

2014/9/19

Check the Summary





Check the Summary





Experimental Results

Benchmarks: the Recursive category of the 2014
Competition on Software Verification

Program	Our Tool	Ultimate Automizer	Ultimate Kojak	CBMC 4.5	Blast 2.7.2
correct results	11	9	7	22(10)	3
false negative	0	0	0	1 (0)	0
false positive	1	0	0	0 (0)	4
score	13	12	9	30(14)	-13

- Blast is the most well-known tool in software verification.
- The rest are the top 3 tools in the competition.



Our Advantages

- A light-weight, modular approach for recursive program verification.
 - Our implementation has 2k lines, CPAChecker has 170k lines
- The performance of the implementation is comparable to those specialized for handling recursion