

# **Dynamic Memory Allocation: Basic Concepts**

15-213/18-213/14-513/15-513/18-613: Introduction to Computer Systems 15<sup>th</sup> Lecture, October 20, 2020

### Announcements

#### Lab 4 (cachelab)

Due Tue, Oct. 20, 11:59pm ET

#### Written Assignment 5 peer grading

Due Wed, Oct. 21, 11:59pm ET

#### Written Assignment 6

Due Wed, Oct. 21, 11:59pm ET

#### Lab 4 (malloclab)

- Out Tue, Oct. 20, 11:59pm ET
- Checkpoint due Thu, Oct. 29, 11:59pm ET

## **Understanding this Error**

What causes this error? Why does it matter?

## Today

#### Basic concepts

Implicit free lists


# **Dynamic Memory Allocation**

Application

**Dynamic Memory Allocator** 

Неар

- Programmers use dynamic memory allocators (such as malloc) to acquire virtual memory (VM) at run time.
  - for data structures whose size is only known at runtime
- Dynamic memory allocators manage an area of process
   VM known as the *heap*.



## **Dynamic Memory Allocation**

- Allocator maintains heap as collection of variable sized blocks, which are either allocated or free
- Types of allocators
  - *Explicit allocator*: application allocates and frees space
    - E.g., malloc and free in C
  - Implicit allocator: application allocates, but does not free space
    - E.g., **new** and garbage collection in Java
- Will discuss simple explicit memory allocation today

# The malloc Package

#### #include <stdlib.h>

#### void \*malloc(size\_t size)

- Successful:
  - Returns a pointer to a memory block of at least size bytes aligned to a 16-byte boundary (on x86-64)
  - If size == 0, returns NULL
- Unsuccessful: returns NULL (0) and sets errno to ENOMEM

#### void free(void \*p)

- Returns the block pointed at by p to pool of available memory
- p must come from a previous call to malloc, calloc, or realloc

#### **Other functions**

- **calloc:** Version of **malloc** that initializes allocated block to zero.
- realloc: Changes the size of a previously allocated block.
- **sbrk:** Used internally by allocators to grow or shrink the heap

## malloc Example

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
void foo(long n) {
    long i, *p;
    /* Allocate a block of n longs */
    p = (long *) malloc(n * sizeof(long));
    if (p == NULL) {
        perror("malloc");
        exit(0);
    }
    /* Initialize allocated block */
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)</pre>
       p[i] = i;
    /* Do something with p */
    . . .
    /* Return allocated block to the heap */
    free(p);
}
```

## **Sample Implementation**

#### Code


- File mm-reference.c
- Manages fixed size heap
- Functions mm\_malloc, mm\_free

#### Features

- Based on words of 8-bytes each
- Pointers returned by malloc are double-word aligned
  - Double word = 2 words
- Compile and run tests with command interpreter


# **Visualization Conventions**

- Show 8-byte words as squares
- Allocations are double-word aligned.



#define SIZ sizeof(size t)

# Allocation Example (Conceptual)



Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

## Constraints

### Applications

- Can issue arbitrary sequence of malloc and free requests
- free request must be to a malloc'd block

### Explicit Allocators

- Can't control number or size of allocated blocks
- Must respond immediately to malloc requests
  - *i.e.*, can't reorder or buffer requests
- Must allocate blocks from free memory
  - *i.e.*, can only place allocated blocks in free memory
- Must align blocks so they satisfy all alignment requirements
  - 16-byte (x86-64) alignment on 64-bit systems
- Can manipulate and modify only free memory
- Can't move the allocated blocks once they are malloc'd
  - *i.e.*, compaction is not allowed. *Why not?*

## **Performance Goal: Throughput**

Given some sequence of malloc and free requests:

•  $R_{0}, R_{1}, ..., R_{k}, ..., R_{n-1}$ 

#### Goals: maximize throughput and peak memory utilization

These goals are often conflicting

### Throughput:

- Number of completed requests per unit time
- Example:
  - 5,000 malloc calls and 5,000 free calls in 10 seconds
  - Throughput is 1,000 operations/second

# Performance Goal: Minimize Overhead

- Given some sequence of malloc and free requests:
  - $R_{0}, R_{1}, ..., R_{k}, ..., R_{n-1}$

### Def: Aggregate payload P<sub>k</sub>

- malloc(p) results in a block with a payload of p bytes
- After request R<sub>k</sub> has completed, the aggregate payload P<sub>k</sub> is the sum of currently allocated payloads

### Def: Current heap size H<sub>k</sub>

- Assume  $H_k$  is monotonically nondecreasing
  - i.e., heap only grows when allocator uses **sbrk**

### Def: Overhead after k+1 requests

- Fraction of heap space NOT used for program data
- $O_k = H_k / (\max_{i \le k} P_i) 1.0$

# **Benchmark Example**

### Benchmark

#### syn-array-short

- Trace provided with malloc lab
- Allocate & free 10 blocks
- a = allocate
- f = free
- Bias toward allocate at beginning & free at end
- Blocks numbered 0–9
- Allocated: Sum of all allocated amounts
- Peak: Max so far of Allocated

Step	Command			Delta	Allocated	Peak
1	a	0	9904	9904	9904	9904
2	a	1	50084	50084	59988	59988
3	a	2	20	20	60008	60008
4	a	3	16784	16784	76792	76792
5	f	3		-16784	60008	76792
6	a	4	840	840	60848	76792
7	a	5	3244	3244	64092	76792
8	f	0		-9904	54188	76792
9	a	6	2012	2012	56200	76792
10	f	2		-20	56180	76792
11	a	7	33856	33856	90036	90036
12	f	1		-50084	39952	90036
13	a	8	136	136	40088	90036
14	f	7		-33856	6232	90036
15	f	6		-2012	4220	90036
16	a	9	20	20	4240	90036
17	f	4		-840	3400	90036
18	f	8		-136	3264	90036
19	f	5		-3244	20	90036
20	f	9		-20	0	90036


## **Benchmark Visualization**

Step	Command	Delta	Allocated	Peak	1				
1	a 0 9904	9904	9904	9904	0.9				
2	a 1 50084	50084	59988	59988	තු 0.8				
3	a 2 20	20	60008	60008	Se				
4	a 3 16784	16784	76792	76792					
5	f 3	-16784	60008	76792	0.6 ۾				
6	a 4 840	840	60848	76792	Vemor 0.6 0.5				
7	a 5 3244	3244	64092	76792					
8	f 0	-9904	54188	76792	9.0.4				
9	a 6 2012	2012	56200	76792	Normalized 0.4 0.2				
10	f 2	-20	56180	76792	ō Z 0.2				
11	a 7 33856	33856	90036	90036					
12	f 1	-50084	39952	90036	0.1	leeee			
13	a 8 136	136	40088	90036	0				
14	f 7	-33856	6232	90036		0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1			
15	f 6	-2012	4220	90036	Normalized Operation Number				
16	a 9 20	20	4240	90036	DataData Fit				
17	f 4	-840	3400	90036					
18	f 8	-136	3264	90036					
19	f 5	-3244	20	90036					
20	f 9	-20	0	90036	Dat	ta line shows total allocated data ( $P_i$ )			

- Data Fit line shows peak of total  $(\max_{i \le k} P_i)$
- Normalized in X & Y

1

## **Full Benchmark Behavior**



### Given sequence of mallocs & frees (40,000 blocks)

- Starts with all mallocs, and shifts toward all frees
- Manage space for all allocated blocks

### Metrics

- Data: *P*<sub>i</sub>
- Data fit:  $\max_{i \le k} P_i$


Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

### Fragmentation

- Poor memory utilization caused by *fragmentation* 
  - internal fragmentation
  - external fragmentation

## **Internal Fragmentation**

For a given block, internal fragmentation occurs if payload is smaller than block size




#### Caused by

- Overhead of maintaining heap data structures
- Padding for alignment purposes
- Explicit policy decisions (e.g., to return a big block to satisfy a small request)

#### Depends only on the pattern of *previous* requests

Thus, easy to measure

## **Internal Fragmentation Effect**




- Perfect Fit: Only requires space for allocated data, data structures, and unused space due to alignment constraints
  - For this benchmark, 1.5% overhead
  - Cannot achieve in practice
    - Especially since cannot move allocated blocks

#define SIZ sizeof(size t)

## **External Fragmentation**

 Occurs when there is enough aggregate heap memory, but no single free block is large enough




### Amount of external fragmentation depends on the pattern of future requests

Thus, difficult to measure

Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

## **External Fragmentation Effect**



#### Best Fit: One allocation strategy

- (To be discussed later)
- Total overhead = 8.3% on this benchmark

## **Implementation Issues**


- How do we know how much memory to free given just a pointer?
- How do we keep track of the free blocks?
- What do we do with the extra space when allocating a structure that is smaller than the free block it is placed in?
- How do we pick a block to use for allocation -- many might fit?

#### How do we reuse a block that has been freed?

# **Knowing How Much to Free**

### Standard method

- Keep the length (in bytes) of a block in the word preceding the block.
  - Including the header
  - This word is often called the *header field* or *header*
- Requires an extra word for every allocated block




## **Keeping Track of Free Blocks**

Method 1: Implicit list using length—links all blocks



Need to tag each block as allocated/free

Method 2: Explicit list among the free blocks using pointers



Need space for pointers

Method 3: Segregated free list

Different free lists for different size classes

### Method 4: Blocks sorted by size

 Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

## Today

#### Basic concepts

Implicit free lists


# Method 1: Implicit Free List

### For each block we need both size and allocation status

Could store this information in two words: wasteful!


### Standard trick

- When blocks are aligned, some low-order address bits are always 0
- Instead of storing an always-0 bit, use it as an allocated/free flag
- When reading the Size word, must mask out this bit



Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

## **Detailed Implicit Free List Example**



Double-word aligned Allocated blocks: shaded
Free blocks: unshaded
Headers: labeled with "size in words/allocated bit"
Headers are at non-aligned positions
→ Payloads are aligned

## Implicit List: Data Structures

header payload

Block declaration

typedef uint64\_t word\_t;
typedef struct block

```
{
    word_t header;
    unsigned char payload[0];
} block t;
```

// Zero length array

Getting payload from block pointer // block\_t \*block
return (void \*) (block->payload);

C function offsetof (struct, member) returns offset of member within struct

# **Implicit List: Header access**



Getting allocated bit from header

return header & 0x1;

Getting size from header

return header & ~0xfL;

Initializing header


//block\_t \*block


block->header = size | alloc;

# **Implicit List: Traversing list**



Find next block






# **Implicit List: Finding a Free Block**

#### First fit:

- Search list from beginning, choose *first* free block that fits:
- Finding space for asize bytes (including header):



# Implicit List: Finding a Free Block

#### **First fit:**

- Search list from beginning, choose *first* free block that fits:
- Can take linear time in total number of blocks (allocated and free)
- In practice it can cause "splinters" at beginning of list


#### Next fit:

- Like first fit, but search list starting where previous search finished
- Should often be faster than first fit: avoids re-scanning unhelpful blocks
- Some research suggests that fragmentation is worse

#### Best fit:

- Search the list, choose the *best* free block: fits, with fewest bytes left over
- Keeps fragments small—usually improves memory utilization
- Will typically run slower than first fit
- Still a greedy algorithm. No guarantee of optimality

## **Comparing Strategies**




#### Total Overheads (for this benchmark)


- Perfect Fit: 1.6%
- Best Fit: 8.3%
- First Fit: 11.9%
- Next Fit: 21.6%

# Implicit List: Allocating in Free Block

#### Allocating in a free block: *splitting*


 Since allocated space might be smaller than free space, we might want to split the block






## **Implicit List: Splitting Free Block**

split\_block(p, 32)






## **Implicit List: Freeing a Block**

#### Simplest implementation:

- Need only clear the "allocated" flag
- But can lead to "false fragmentation"




malloc(5\*SIZ)

Yikes!

There is enough contiguous free space, but the allocator won't be able to find it


# **Implicit List: Coalescing**

- Join (coalesce) with next/previous blocks, if they are free
  - Coalescing with next block



# **Implicit List: Coalescing**

- Join (coalesce) with next block, if it is free
  - Coalescing with next block




- How do we coalesce with previous block?
  - How do we know where it starts?
  - How can we determine whether its allocated?

## Implicit List: Bidirectional Coalescing

#### Boundary tags [Knuth73]

- Replicate size/allocated word at "bottom" (end) of free blocks
- Allows us to traverse the "list" backwards, but requires extra space
- Important and general technique!



 
 Header
 Size
 a

 Format of allocated and free blocks
 Payload and padding
 Format of allocated and padding

 Boundary tag (footer)
 Size
 a
 a = 1: Allocated block a = 0: Free block

Size: Total block size

Payload: Application data (allocated blocks only)

Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition


## Quiz Time!

Check out:

https://canvas.cmu.edu/courses/17808

Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition


## **Implementation with Footers**



#### Locating footer of current block

```
const size_t dsize = 2*sizeof(word_t);
static word_t *header_to_footer(block_t *block)
{
    size_t asize = get_size(block);
    return (word_t *) (block->payload + asize - dsize);
}
```

## **Implementation with Footers**





#### Locating footer of previous block

```
static word_t *find_prev_footer(block_t *block)
{
    return &(block->header) - 1;
}
```


## **Splitting Free Block: Full Version**

split\_block(p, 32)







#### **Constant Time Coalescing**




## **Constant Time Coalescing (Case 1)**




## **Constant Time Coalescing (Case 2)**




## **Constant Time Coalescing (Case 3)**



## **Constant Time Coalescing (Case 4)**





#### Dummy footer before first header

- Marked as allocated
- Prevents accidental coalescing when freeing first block

#### Dummy header after last footer

Prevents accidental coalescing when freeing final block

#### **Top-Level Malloc Code**

```
const size t dsize = 2*sizeof(word t);
void *mm malloc(size t size)
ł
    size t asize = round up(size + dsize, dsize);
   block t *block = find fit(asize);
    if (block == NULL)
        return NULL;
    size t block size = get size(block);
    write header(block, block size, true);
    write footer(block, block size, true);
    split block(block, asize);
    return header to payload (block);
```

round\_up(n, m) = m \*((n+m-1)/m)

#### **Top-Level Free Code**

```
void mm_free(void *bp)
{
    block_t *block = payload_to_header(bp);
    size_t size = get_size(block);
    write_header(block, size, false);
    write_footer(block, size, false);
    coalesce_block(block);
}
```


## **Disadvantages of Boundary Tags**

- Internal fragmentation
- Can it be optimized?
  - Which blocks need the footer tag?
  - What does that mean?


Size	а
Payload and padding	
Size	а

## No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks


- Boundary tag needed only for free blocks
- When sizes are multiples of 16, have 4 spare bits




# No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 1)




# No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 2)



# No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 3)



# No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 4)



## **Summary of Key Allocator Policies**

#### Placement policy:

- First-fit, next-fit, best-fit, etc.
- Trades off lower throughput for less fragmentation
- Interesting observation: segregated free lists (next lecture) approximate a best fit placement policy without having to search entire free list

#### Splitting policy:

- When do we go ahead and split free blocks?
- How much internal fragmentation are we willing to tolerate?

#### Coalescing policy:

- Immediate coalescing: coalesce each time free is called
- Deferred coalescing: try to improve performance of free by deferring coalescing until needed.

# **Implicit Lists: Summary**

Implementation: very simple

#### Allocate cost:

linear time worst case

#### Free cost:

- constant time worst case
- even with coalescing

#### Memory Overhead

- will depend on placement policy
- First-fit, next-fit or best-fit
- Not used in practice for malloc/free because of lineartime allocation
  - used in many special purpose applications

#### However, the concepts of splitting and boundary tag coalescing are general to *all* allocators