

Fundamental Design Issues for Parallel Architecture

Todd C. Mowry
CS 418
January 13, 2011

Understanding Parallel Architecture

Traditional taxonomies not very useful

Programming models not enough, nor hardware structures

- Same one can be supported by radically different architectures

Architectural distinctions that affect software

- Compilers, libraries, programs

Design of user/system and hardware/software interface

- Constrained from above by progr. models and below by technology

Guiding principles provided by layers

- What primitives are provided at communication abstraction
- How programming models map to these
- How they are mapped to hardware

- 2 -

CS 418

Fundamental Design Issues

At any layer, interface (contract) aspect and performance aspects

- Naming: How are logically shared data and/or processes referenced?
- Operations: What operations are provided on these data
- Ordering: How are accesses to data ordered and coordinated?
- Replication: How are data replicated to reduce communication?
- Communication Cost: Latency, bandwidth, overhead, occupancy

Understand at programming model first, since that sets requirements

Other issues:

- Node Granularity: How to split between processors and memory?
- Heterogeneity: Are all processors equally powerful?

- 3 -

CS 418

Sequential Programming Model

Contract

- Naming: **Can name any variable in virtual address space**
 - Hardware (and perhaps compilers) does translation to physical addresses
- Operations: **Loads and Stores**
- Ordering: **Sequential program order**

Performance

- Rely on dependences on single location (mostly): **dependence order**
- Compilers and hardware **violate other orders without getting caught**
- **Compiler**: reordering and register allocation
- **Hardware**: out of order, pipeline bypassing, write buffers
- **Transparent replication in caches**

- 4 -

CS 418

Shared Address Space Programming Model

Naming:

- Any process can name any variable in shared space

Operations:

- Loads and stores, plus those needed for ordering

Simplest Ordering Model:

- Within a process/thread: sequential program order
- Across threads: some interleaving (as in time-sharing)
- Additional orders through synchronization
- Again, compilers/hardware can violate orders without getting caught
 - Different, more subtle ordering models also possible (discussed later)

- 5 -

CS 418

Synchronization

Mutual exclusion (locks)

- Ensure certain operations on certain data can be performed by only one process at a time
- Analogy: like a room that only one person can enter at a time
- No ordering guarantees

Event synchronization

- Ordering of events to preserve dependences
 - e.g. producer → consumer of data
- 3 main types:
 - point-to-point
 - global
 - group

- 6 -

CS 418

Message Passing Programming Model

Naming: Processes can name private data directly.

- No shared address space

Operations: Explicit communication via *send* and *receive*

- Send transfers data from private address space to another process
- Receive copies data from process to private address space
- Must be able to name processes

Ordering:

- Program order within a process
- Send and receive can provide pt-to-pt synch between processes
- Mutual exclusion inherent

Can construct global address space:

- Process number + address within process address space
- But no direct operations on these names

- 7 -

CS 418

Design Issues Apply at All Layers

Programming model's position provides constraints/goals for system

In fact, each interface between layers supports or takes a position on:

- Naming model
- Set of operations on names
- Ordering model
- Replication
- Communication performance

Any set of positions can be mapped to any other by software

Let's see issues across layers:

- How lower layers can support contracts of programming models
- Performance issues

- 8 -

CS 418

Naming and Operations

Naming and operations in programming model can be directly supported by lower levels, or translated by compiler, libraries or OS

Example: **Shared virtual address space in programming model**

1. Hardware interface supports *shared physical address space*
 - Direct support by hardware through v-to-p mappings, no software layers
2. Hardware supports independent physical address spaces
 - Can provide SAS through OS, so in system/user interface
 - v-to-p mappings only for data that are local
 - remote data accesses incur page faults; brought in via page fault handlers
 - same programming model, different hardware requirements and cost model
 - Or through compilers or runtime, so above sys/user interface
 - shared objects, instrumentation of shared accesses, compiler support

- 9 -

CS 418

Naming and Operations (Cont)

Example: **Implementing Message Passing**

1. Direct support at hardware interface
 - But match and buffering benefit from more flexibility
 2. Support at system/user interface or above in software (almost always)
 - Hardware interface provides basic data transport (well suited)
 - Send/receive built in software for flexibility (protection, buffering)
 - Choices at user/system interface:
 - OS each time: expensive
 - OS sets up once/inrequently, then little software involvement each time
 - Or lower interfaces provide SAS, and send/receive built on top with buffers and loads/stores
- Need to examine the issues and tradeoffs at every layer
- Frequencies and types of operations, costs

- 10 -

CS 418

Ordering

Message passing: no assumptions on orders across processes except those imposed by send/receive pairs

SAS: How processes see the order of other processes' references defines semantics of SAS

- Ordering very important and subtle
- Uniprocessors play tricks with orders to gain parallelism or locality
- These are more important in multiprocessors
- Need to understand which old tricks are valid, and learn new ones
- How programs behave, what they rely on, and hardware implications

- 11 -

CS 418

Replication

Very important for reducing data transfer/communication

Again, depends on naming model

Uniprocessor: caches do it automatically

- Reduce communication with memory

Message Passing naming model at an interface

- A receive replicates, giving a new name; subsequently use new name
- Replication is explicit in software above that interface

SAS naming model at an interface

- A load brings in data transparently, so can replicate transparently
- Hardware caches do this: e.g., in shared physical address space
- OS can do it at page level in shared virtual address space, or objects
- No explicit renaming, many copies for same name: *coherence problem*
 - in uniprocessors, "coherence" of copies is natural in memory hierarchy

- 12 -

CS 418

Communication Performance

Performance characteristics determine usage of operations at a layer

- Programmer, compilers etc make choices based on this

Fundamentally, **three characteristics**:

- **Latency**: time taken for an operation
- **Bandwidth**: rate of performing operations
- **Cost**: impact on execution time of program

If processor does one thing at a time: $\text{bandwidth} \propto 1/\text{latency}$

- But actually **more complex in modern systems**

Characteristics apply to overall operations, as well as individual components of a system, however small

We will focus on communication or data transfer across nodes

- 13 -

CS 418

Communication Cost Model

Communication Time per Message

$$= \text{Overhead} + \text{Assist Occupancy} + \text{Network Delay} + \text{Size/Bandwidth} + \text{Contention}$$

$$= o_v + o_c + l + n/B + T_c$$

Overhead and assist occupancy may be $f(n)$ or not

Each component along the way has **occupancy and delay**

- Overall delay is sum of delays
- Overall occupancy (1/bandwidth) is biggest of occupancies

Comm Cost = **frequency** * (Comm time - overlap)

General model for data transfer: applies to cache misses too

- 14 -

CS 418

Summary of Design Issues

Functional and performance issues apply at all layers

Functional: **Naming, operations** and **ordering**

Performance: **Organization, latency, bandwidth, overhead, occupancy**

Replication and communication are deeply related

- Management depends on naming model

Goal of architects: design against frequency and type of operations that occur at communication abstraction, constrained by tradeoffs from above or below

- Hardware/software tradeoffs

- 15 -

CS 418

Recap

Parallel architecture is now mainstream

Exotic designs have contributed much, but given way to **convergence**

- Push of technology, cost and application performance
- Basic processor-memory architecture is the same
- Key architectural issue is in **communication architecture**

Fundamental design issues:

- Functional: **naming, operations, ordering**
- Performance: **organization, replication, performance characteristics**

Design decisions driven by workload-driven evaluation

- Integral part of the engineering focus

- 16 -

CS 418