Lecture 28(b)

Compiler Optimizations for Thread-Level Speculation

From the paper: *"Compiler Optimization of Scalar Value Communication Between Speculative Threads"*, by Antonia Zhai, Christopher B. Colohan, J. Gregory Steffan and Todd C. Mowry. ASPLOS, 2002.

Speculation



☞ good when p != q

Synchronization (and Forwarding)



(Speculation)



☞ good when p == q

Synchronizing Scalars



Compiler's Tasks



Compiler's Tasks



Cost of Synchronization

Detailed simulation:
- 4-processor
- Single chip multi-processor
- TLS support



☞ Reducing the synchronization stall has great potential

The Critical Forwarding Path



☞ Shorter critical forwarding path → less execution time

Reducing the Critical Forwarding Path



☞ Instruction scheduling can reduce critical forwarding path

Compiler's Tasks



Related Work and Contribution

Related work:

- Multiscalar instruction scheduling [Vijaykumar, Thesis '98]
  - Moving instructions backward one basic block at a time
  - Evaluated under the context of Multiscalar

Our contributions:

- A robust instruction scheduling algorithm
  - Deals with larger threads
  - Handles complex control flow
- Control and data dependence speculation
  - Extends our algorithm to accommodate speculative scheduling
  - Evaluates with detailed simulation
- Comparison with hardware techniques that reduce critical path

Scheduling Instructions

Dataflow analysis

Handles complex control flow

Define two dataflow analyses

☞ Stack

Find the instructions to compute the forwarded value?

Earliest

Find the earliest node to compute the forwarded value?

Computation Stack

☞ Stores the instructions to compute a forwarded value

Associating a stack with every node for every forwarded scalar



We know how to compute the forwarded value



We don't know how to compute the forwarded value



We have not evaluated this node

A Simplified Example from GCC

```
do {
  . . .
} while(p);
```



Stack Analysis



Stack Analysis



Stack Analysis



Stack Analysis



Stack Analysis



Stack Analysis



Stack Analysis



Stack Analysis



Stack Analysis



Stack Analysis



Stack Analysis



Stack Analysis



Stack Analysis



The Solution is Consistent



Scheduling Instructions

Dataflow analysis

Handles complex control flow

Define two dataflow analyses

Stack

Find the instructions to compute the forwarded value?

☞ Earliest

Find the earliest node to compute the forwarded value?

The Earliest Analysis



The Earliest Analysis



The Earliest Analysis



The Earliest Analysis



The Earliest Analysis



Code Transformation



Experimental Framework

Benchmarks

- from SPECint95 and SPECint2000, -O3 optimization

Underlying architecture

- 4-processor, single-chip multiprocessor
- speculation supported by coherence

Simulator

- superscalar, similar to **MIPS R10K**
- models all bandwidth and contention



☞ **detailed simulation!**

Instruction Scheduling



U=No Instruction Scheduling
A=Instruction Scheduling

☞ Improves performance by 18% over no instruction scheduling

Compiler's Tasks



Identifying Forwarding Scalar

Inserting Wait/Signal

Scheduling Instructions

Scheduling Instructions Speculatively

Speculating Beyond a Control Dependence



Speculating Beyond a Potential Data Dependence



☞ Hardware Support Needed

Speculatively Scheduling Instructions Across Control Dependences



A=Instruction Scheduling
C=Speculating Across Control Dependences

☞ No significant performance gain

Aggressively Scheduling Instructions Across Both Control and Data Dependences



A=Instruction Scheduling
C=Speculating Across Control Dependences
D=Speculating Across Control & Data Dependences

☞ Improves performance significantly for some benchmarks

Hardware Optimization to Reduce Synchronization

Hardware optimization techniques [Steffan et al, HPCA'02]

- Avoid synchronization:
  - use the value from a hardware value predictor
- Reduce synchronization stalls:
  - prioritize computation of forwarded value

Hardware optimization impact [Steffan et al, HPCA'02]

- No compiler optimization: Effective
- With compiler optimization: Negligible

Conclusions

Instruction scheduling for reducing synchronization

- Is effective in reducing critical forwarding path
  - Performance improved by 18%
- Is beneficial to handle complex control flow, such as inner loops
  - Improved GCC by 3%
- Gives additional benefit with speculative instruction scheduling
  - Our robust instruction scheduling algorithm can be easily extended to accommodate this
  - One biggest benefactor is GCC, performance improved by 18%
- Reduces the importance of additional hardware optimization

☞ Critical forwarding path can be addressed by the compiler