
WELCOME
TO
15-213
14-513
18-213
18-613
15-513

Dynamic Memory Allocation: Basic Concepts

18-213/18-613: Introduction to Computer Systems
14th Lecture, March 2nd, 2023

Understanding this Error

- What causes this error? Why does it matter?

```
$ ./mm-corrupt
*** Error in `./mm-corrupt': free(): invalid next size (fast):
0x0000000000ffe010 ***
======= Backtrace: =========
/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6(+0x777f5)[0x7f043efe67f5]
/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6(+0x8038a)[0x7f043efef38a]
/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6(cfree+0x4c)[0x7f043eff358c]
./mm-corrupt[0x400795]
/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libc.so.6(__libc_start_main+0xf0)[0x7f043ef8f840]
./mm-corrupt[0x400629]
======= Memory map: ========
...
```

Today

- **Basic concepts** CSAPP 9.9.1 - 9.9.5
- **Implicit free lists** CSAPP 9.9.6 - 9.9.12

Dynamic Memory Allocation



- Programmers use ***dynamic memory allocators*** (such as `malloc`) to acquire virtual memory (VM) at run time.
  - for data structures whose size is only known at runtime
- Dynamic memory allocators manage an area of process VM known as the ***heap***.



Dynamic Memory Allocation

- Allocator maintains heap as collection of variable sized ***blocks***, which are either ***allocated*** or ***free***
- Types of allocators
  - ***Explicit allocator***: application allocates and frees space
    - E.g., **`malloc`** and **`free`** in C
  - ***Implicit allocator:*** application allocates, but does not free space
    - E.g., **`new`** and garbage collection in Java
- Will discuss simple explicit memory allocation today

The `malloc` Package

```
#include <stdlib.h>
```

```
void *malloc(size_t size)
```

- Successful:
  - Returns a pointer to a memory block of at least **`size`** bytes aligned to a 16-byte boundary (on x86-64)
  - If **`size == 0`**, returns NULL
- Unsuccessful: returns NULL (0) and sets **`errno`** to ENOMEM

```
void free(void *p)
```

- Returns the block pointed at by **`p`** to pool of available memory
- **`p`** must come from a previous call to **`malloc`**, **`calloc`**, or **`realloc`**

Other functions

- **`calloc`**: Version of **`malloc`** that initializes allocated block to zero.
- **`realloc`**: Changes the size of a previously allocated block.
- **`sbrk`**: Used internally by allocators to grow or shrink the heap

malloc Example

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

void foo(long n) {
    long i, *p;

    /* Allocate a block of n longs */
    p = (long *) malloc(n * sizeof(long));
    if (p == NULL) {
        perror("malloc");
        exit(0);
    }

    /* Initialize allocated block */
    for (i=0; i<n; i++)
       p[i] = i;
    /* Do something with p */
    . . .
    /* Return allocated block to the heap */
    free(p);
}
```

Sample Implementation

- **Code**
  - File **`mm-reference.c`**
  - Manages fixed size heap
  - Functions **`mm_malloc`**, **`mm_free`**
- **Features**
  - Based on *words* of 8-bytes each
  - Pointers returned by malloc are double-word aligned
    - Double word = 2 words
  - Compile and run tests with command interpreter

Visualization Conventions

- Show 8-byte words as squares
- Allocations are double-word aligned.



Allocation Example (Conceptual)

```
#define SIZ sizeof(size_t)
```

```
p1 = malloc(4*SIZ)

p2 = malloc(5*SIZ)

p3 = malloc(6*SIZ)

free(p2)

p4 = malloc(2*SIZ)
```



Constraints

- **Applications**
  - Can issue arbitrary sequence of `malloc` and `free` requests
  - `free` request must be to a `malloc`'d block

- **Explicit Allocators**
  - Can't control number or size of allocated blocks
  - Must respond immediately to `malloc` requests
    - *i.e.*, can't reorder or buffer requests
  - Must allocate blocks from free memory
    - *i.e.*, can only place allocated blocks in free memory
  - Must align blocks so they satisfy all alignment requirements
    - 16-byte (x86-64) alignment on 64-bit systems
  - Can manipulate and modify only free memory
  - Can't move the allocated blocks once they are `malloc`'d
    - *i.e.*, compaction/defragmention is not allowed. *Why not?*

Performance Goal: Throughput

- **Given some sequence of `malloc` and `free` requests:**
  - $R_0, R_1, \ldots, R_k, \ldots, R_{n-1}$

- **Goals: maximize throughput and peak memory utilization**
  - These goals are often conflicting

- **Throughput:**
  - Number of completed requests per unit time
  - Example:
    - 5,000 **`malloc`** calls and 5,000 **`free`** calls in 10 seconds
    - Throughput is 1,000 operations/second

Performance Goal: Minimize Overhead

- **Given some sequence of `malloc` and `free` requests:**
  - $R_0, R_1, ..., R_k, ... , R_{n-1}$
- ***Def:* Aggregate payload P_k**
  - **`malloc(p)`** results in a block with a ***payload*** of **`p`** bytes
  - After request R_k has completed, the ***aggregate payload*** P_k is the sum of currently allocated payloads
- ***Def:* Current heap size H_k**
  - Assume H_k is monotonically nondecreasing
    - i.e., heap only grows when allocator uses **`sbrk`**
- ***Def:* Overhead after *k*+1 requests**
  - Fraction of heap space *NOT* used for program data
  - $O_k = H_k / (\max_{i \le k} P_i) - 1.0$

Benchmark Example

- **Benchmark syn-array-short**
  - Trace provided with malloc lab
  - Allocate & free 10 blocks
  - a = allocate
  - f = free
  - Bias toward allocate at beginning & free at end
  - Blocks numbered 0–9
  - Allocated: Sum of all allocated amounts
  - Peak: Max so far of Allocated

Step	Command	Delta	Allocated	Peak
1	`a 0 9904`	9904	9904	9904
2	`a 1 50084`	50084	59988	59988
3	`a 2 20`	20	60008	60008
4	`a 3 16784`	16784	76792	76792
5	`f 3`	-16784	60008	76792
6	`a 4 840`	840	60848	76792
7	`a 5 3244`	3244	64092	76792
8	`f 0`	-9904	54188	76792
9	`a 6 2012`	2012	56200	76792
10	`f 2`	-20	56180	76792
11	`a 7 33856`	33856	90036	90036
12	`f 1`	-50084	39952	90036
13	`a 8 136`	136	40088	90036
14	`f 7`	-33856	6232	90036
15	`f 6`	-2012	4220	90036
16	`a 9 20`	20	4240	90036
17	`f 4`	-840	3400	90036
18	`f 8`	-136	3264	90036
19	`f 5`	-3244	20	90036
20	`f 9`	-20	0	90036

Benchmark Visualization

Step	Command	Delta	Allocated	Peak
1	`a 0 9904`	9904	9904	9904
2	`a 1 50084`	50084	59988	59988
3	`a 2 20`	20	60008	60008
4	`a 3 16784`	16784	76792	76792
5	`f 3`	-16784	60008	76792
6	`a 4 840`	840	60848	76792
7	`a 5 3244`	3244	64092	76792
8	`f 0`	-9904	54188	76792
9	`a 6 2012`	2012	56200	76792
10	`f 2`	-20	56180	76792
11	`a 7 33856`	33856	90036	90036
12	`f 1`	-50084	39952	90036
13	`a 8 136`	136	40088	90036
14	`f 7`	-33856	6232	90036
15	`f 6`	-2012	4220	90036
16	`a 9 20`	20	4240	90036
17	`f 4`	-840	3400	90036
18	`f 8`	-136	3264	90036
19	`f 5`	-3244	20	90036
20	`f 9`	-20	0	90036



- Data line shows total allocated data (P_i)
- Data Fit line shows peak of total ($\max_{i \leq k} P_i$)
- Normalized in X & Y

Full Benchmark Behavior



- **Given sequence of mallocs & frees (40,000 blocks)**
  - Starts with all mallocs, and shifts toward all frees
- **Manage space for all allocated blocks**
- **Metrics**
  - Data: P_i
  - Data fit: $\max_{i \le k} P_i$

Fragmentation

- **Poor memory utilization caused by *fragmentation***
  - *internal* fragmentation
  - *external* fragmentation

Internal Fragmentation

- **For a given block, *internal fragmentation* occurs if payload is smaller than block size**



- **Caused by**
  - Overhead of maintaining heap data structures
  - Padding for alignment purposes
  - Explicit policy decisions
    (e.g., to return a big block to satisfy a small request)

- **Depends only on the pattern of *previous* requests**
  - Thus, easy to measure

Internal Fragmentation Effect



- **Perfect Fit: Only requires space for allocated data, data structures, and unused space due to alignment constraints**
  - For this benchmark, 1.5% overhead
  - Cannot achieve in practice
    - Especially since cannot move allocated blocks

External Fragmentation

```
#define SIZ sizeof(size_t)
```

- Occurs when there is enough aggregate heap memory, but no single free block is large enough

```
p1 = malloc(4*SIZ)
p2 = malloc(5*SIZ)
p3 = malloc(6*SIZ)
free(p2)
p4 = malloc(7*SIZ)
```



Yikes! (what would happen now?)

- Amount of external fragmentation depends on the pattern of future requests
  - Thus, difficult to measure

External Fragmentation Effect



- **Best Fit: One allocation strategy**
  - (To be discussed later)
  - Total overhead = 8.3% on this benchmark

Implementation Issues

- How do we know how much memory to free given just a pointer?
- How do we keep track of the free blocks?
- What do we do with the extra space when allocating a structure that is smaller than the free block it is placed in?
- How do we pick a block to use for allocation -- many might fit?
- How do we reuse a block that has been freed?

Knowing How Much to Free

- **Standard method**
  - Keep the length (in bytes) of a block in the word *preceding* the block.
    - Including the header
    - This word is often called the ***header field*** or ***header***
  - Requires an extra word for every allocated block



Keeping Track of Free Blocks

- **Method 1: *Implicit list* using length—links all blocks**



Need to tag each block as allocated/free

- **Method 2: *Explicit list* among the free blocks using pointers**



Need space for pointers

- **Method 3: *Segregated free list***
  - Different free lists for different size classes

- **Method 4: *Blocks sorted by size***
  - Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

Today

- Basic concepts
- **Implicit free lists**

Method 1: Implicit Free List

- **For each block we need both size and allocation status**
  - Could store this information in two words: wasteful!
- **Standard trick**
  - When blocks are aligned, some low-order address bits are always 0
  - Instead of storing an always-0 bit, use it as an allocated/free flag
  - When reading the Size word, must mask out this bit

Format of allocated and free blocks



a = 1: Allocated block
a = 0: Free block

Size: total block size

Payload: application data (allocated blocks only)

Detailed Implicit Free List Example



Allocated blocks: shaded
Free blocks: unshaded
Headers: labeled with "size in words/allocated bit"
Headers are at non-aligned positions
➔ Payloads are aligned

Implicit List: Data Structures



- **Block declaration**

```
typedef uint64_t word_t;
```

```
typedef struct block
{
    word_t header;
    unsigned char payload[0];          // Zero length array
} block_t;
```

- **Getting payload from block pointer** // `block_t *block`

```
return (void *) (block->payload);
```

- **Getting header from payload** // bp points to a payload

```
return (block_t *) ((unsigned char *) bp
                     - offsetof(block_t, payload));
```

C function `offsetof(struct, member)` returns offset of member within struct

Implicit List: Header access



- Getting allocated bit from header

```
return header & 0x1;
```

- Getting size from header

```
return header & ~0xfL;
```

- Initializing header

```
//block_t *block
```

```
block->header = size | alloc;
```

Implicit List: Traversing list



- **Find next block**

```
static block_t *find_next(block_t *block)
{
    return (block_t *) ((unsigned char *) block
                        + get_size(block));
}
```



Implicit List: Finding a Free Block

- ***First fit:***
  - Search list from beginning, choose ***first*** free block that fits:
  - Finding space for **asize** bytes (including header):

```
static block_t *find_fit(size_t asize)
{
    block_t *block;
    for (block = heap_start; block != heap_end;
         block = find_next(block)) {
    {
      if (!(get_alloc(block))
          && (asize <= get_size(block)))
         return block;
    }
    return NULL; // No fit found
}
```



Implicit List: Finding a Free Block

- ***First fit:***
  - Search list from beginning, choose ***first*** free block that fits:
  - Can take linear time in total number of blocks (allocated and free)
  - In practice it can cause "splinters" at beginning of list
- ***Next fit:***
  - Like first fit, but search list starting where previous search finished
  - Should often be faster than first fit: avoids re-scanning unhelpful blocks
  - Some research suggests that fragmentation is worse
- ***Best fit:***
  - Search the list, choose the ***best*** free block: fits, with fewest bytes left over
  - Keeps fragments small—usually improves memory utilization
  - Will typically run slower than first fit
  - Still a greedy algorithm. No guarantee of optimality

Comparing Strategies



- **Total Overheads (for this benchmark)**
  - Perfect Fit: 1.6%
  - Best Fit: 8.3%
  - First Fit: 11.9%
  - Next Fit: 21.6%

Implicit List: Allocating in Free Block

- **Allocating in a free block: *splitting***
  - Since allocated space might be smaller than free space, we might want to split the block




```
split_block(p, 32)
```



Implicit List: Splitting Free Block




```
// Warning: This code is incomplete

static void split_block(block_t *block, size_t asize){
    size_t block_size = get_size(block);

    if ((block_size - asize) >= min_block_size) {
        write_header(block, asize, true);
        block_t *block_next = find_next(block);
        write_header(block_next, block_size - asize, false);
}
```

Implicit List: Freeing a Block

- **Simplest implementation:**
  - Need only clear the "allocated" flag
  - But can lead to "false fragmentation"



Yikes!

There is enough contiguous free space, but the allocator won't be able to find it

Implicit List: Coalescing

- **Join *(coalesce)* with next/previous blocks, if they are free**
  - Coalescing with next block

Previous block is allocated



Implicit List: Coalescing

- **Join *(coalesce)* with next/previous blocks, if they are free**
  - Coalescing with next block



  - Need to coalesce with *previous* block. But how?
    - How do we know where it starts?
    - How can we determine whether its allocated?

Implicit List: Bidirectional Coalescing

- ***Boundary tags*** [Knuth73]
  - Replicate size/allocated word at "bottom" (end) of free blocks
  - Allows us to traverse the "list" backwards, but requires extra space
  - Important and general technique!



Format of allocated and free blocks



a = 1: Allocated block
a = 0: Free block

Size: Total block size

Payload: Application data (allocated blocks only)

Quiz Time!

Canvas Quiz: Day 14 – Malloc Basics

Implementation with Footers



- **Locating footer of current block**

```
const size_t dsize = 2*sizeof(word_t);

static word_t *header_to_footer(block_t *block)
{
    size_t asize = get_size(block);
    return (word_t *) (block->payload + asize - dsize);
}
```

Implementation with Footers



- **Locating footer of previous block**

```
static word_t *find_prev_footer(block_t *block)
{
    return &(block->header) - 1;
}
```

Splitting Free Block: Full Version




```
static void split_block(block_t *block, size_t asize){
    size_t block_size = get_size(block);

    if ((block_size - asize) >= min_block_size) {
        write_header(block, asize, true);
        write_footer(block, asize, true);
        block_t *block_next = find_next(block);
        write_header(block_next, block_size - asize, false);
        write_footer(block_next, block_size - asize, false);
}
```

Constant Time Coalescing



Constant Time Coalescing (Case 1)



Constant Time Coalescing (Case 2)



Constant Time Coalescing (Case 3)



Constant Time Coalescing (Case 4)



Heap Structure



- **Dummy footer before first header**
  - Marked as allocated
  - Prevents accidental coalescing when freeing first block
- **Dummy header after last footer**
  - Prevents accidental coalescing when freeing final block

Top-Level Malloc Code

```
const size_t dsize = 2*sizeof(word_t);

void *mm_malloc(size_t size)
{
    size_t asize = round_up(size + dsize, dsize);

    block_t *block = find_fit(asize);

    if (block == NULL)
        return NULL;

    size_t block_size = get_size(block);
    write_header(block, block_size, true);
    write_footer(block, block_size, true);

    split_block(block, asize);

    return header_to_payload(block);
}
```

```
round_up(n, m)
=
m *((n+m-1)/m)
```

(Rounds n up to the nearest multiple of m)

Top-Level Free Code

```
void mm_free(void *bp)
{
    block_t *block = payload_to_header(bp);
    size_t size = get_size(block);

    write_header(block, size, false);
    write_footer(block, size, false);

    coalesce_block(block);
}
```

Disadvantages of Boundary Tags

- **Internal fragmentation**

- **Can it be optimized?**
  - Which blocks need the footer tag?
  - What does that mean?



No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks

- Boundary tag needed only for free blocks
- When sizes are multiples of 16, have 4 spare bits



Allocated Block

a = 1: Allocated block
a = 0: Free block
b = 1: Previous block is allocated
b = 0: Previous block is free

Size: block size

Payload: application data



Free Block

No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 1)



Header: Use 2 bits (address bits always zero due to alignment):
(previous block allocated)<<1 | (current block allocated)

No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 2)



Header: Use 2 bits (address bits always zero due to alignment):
(previous block allocated)<<1 | (current block allocated)

No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 3)



Header: Use 2 bits (address bits always zero due to alignment):
(previous block allocated)<<1 | (current block allocated)

No Boundary Tag for Allocated Blocks (Case 4)



Header: Use 2 bits (address bits always zero due to alignment):
(previous block allocated)<<1 | (current block allocated)

Summary of Key Allocator Policies

- **Placement policy:**
  - First-fit, next-fit, best-fit, etc.
  - Trades off lower throughput for less fragmentation
  - ***Interesting observation***: segregated free lists (next lecture) approximate a best fit placement policy without having to search entire free list
- **Splitting policy:**
  - When do we go ahead and split free blocks?
  - How much internal fragmentation are we willing to tolerate?
- **Coalescing policy:**
  - ***Immediate coalescing:*** coalesce each time `free` is called
  - ***Deferred coalescing:*** try to improve performance of `free` by deferring coalescing until needed.

Implicit Lists: Summary

- **Implementation: very simple**
- **Allocate cost:**
  - linear time worst case
- **Free cost:**
  - constant time worst case
  - even with coalescing
- **Memory Overhead**
  - will depend on placement policy
  - First-fit, next-fit or best-fit
- **Not used in practice for `malloc/free` because of linear-time allocation**
  - used in many special purpose applications
- **However, the concepts of splitting and boundary tag coalescing are general to *all* allocators**

Next Lecture: Keeping Track of Free Blocks

- **Method 1: *Implicit list* using length—links all blocks**



Need to tag each block as allocated/free

- **Method 2: *Explicit list* among the free blocks using pointers**



Need space for pointers

- **Method 3: *Segregated free list***
  - Different free lists for different size classes

- **Method 4: *Blocks sorted by size***
  - Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key