

On the $n^{\log_2 n}$ Isomorphism Technique*

Gary L. Miller
Departments of Computer Science
and Mathematics
The University of Rochester

TR17

Tarjan has given an algorithm for deciding isomorphism of two groups of order n (given as multiplication tables) which runs in $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$ steps where n is the order of the groups. Tarjan uses the fact that a group of n is generated by $\log n$ elements. In this paper, we show that Tarjan technique generalizes to isomorphism of quasigroups, latin squares, and some graphs generated from latin squares.

*This research was in part supported by the National Research Council, Grant #NRC-A5549; in part by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation under Grant #74-12-5; and in part by the Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Dept. of Defense, monitored by ONR under Contract #N00014-75-C-1091.

A group throughout this paper is a Cayley table. If G is a group of order n and we pick some linear ordering of G we can then view G as a binary function on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and the Cayley table as a $n \times n$ matrix consisting of integers between 1 and n . In fact this table is a latin square (every number between 1 and n appears exactly once in every row and in every column). On the other hand, latin squares can be viewed as binary functions; whereas functions whose multiplication tables are latin squares are called quasigroups.

Giving the definition once more we have: A group is a binary operation $*$ satisfying 1) and 2).

$$1) \text{ a) } \exists! x(a * b = x)$$

$$\text{b) } \exists! x(a * x = b)$$

$$\text{c) } \exists! x(x * a = b)$$

$$2) (a * b) * c = a * (b * c)$$

A quasigroup is a binary operation satisfying 1), and a quasigroup viewed as a table or a ternary relation is a latin square.

For groups or functions it is clear what we mean by isomorphism, namely, G is isomorphic to G' if there exists a 1-1 onto function g from G to G' such that $g(x * y) = g(x) *' g(y)$. If we view G and G' as ternary relations $\langle _, _, _ \rangle$ and $\langle _, _, _ \rangle'$ respectively, then we get $\langle x, y, z \rangle \in G$ implies $\langle g(x), g(y), g(z) \rangle' \in G'$. Thus, viewing latin squares as quasigroups we say L and L' are isomorphic if there exists a permutation σ such that if we simultaneously interchange rows, columns, and values in L we get L' . But this definition is quite restrictive. We know that independently permuting rows, columns, and values preserves the latin

square properties. Thus, we say two latin squares are isotopic if we can get from one to the other by independently permuting rows, columns, and values; see [1].

Definition: Two latin squares L and L' are said to be isotopic if there exists permutations (α, β, γ) such that $\langle x, y, z \rangle \in L$ implies $\langle \alpha(x), \beta(y), \gamma(z) \rangle \in L'$, which is denoted by $L \equiv L'$.

We say that two latin squares L and L' are conjugate if there exists a permutation $\alpha \in S_3$ such that $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle \in L$ implies $\langle x_{\alpha(1)}, x_{\alpha(2)}, x_{\alpha(3)} \rangle \in L'$. Finally, L and L' are main class isotopic, denoted by $L \equiv_M L'$, if we can get from L to L' by a conjugation and an isotopic map.

Tarjan [2] observed that since groups of order n are generated by a set of elements of size at most $\log_2 n$, group isomorphism can be done in $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$ steps. Lipton, Snyder, and Zalcstein [3], independently of Tarjan, showed a stronger result; namely, group isomorphism can be solved in $O(\log^2 n)$ space. The $O(\log^2 n)$ result seems to be dependent on the fact that groups are associative while the $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$ result generalizes to quasigroups:

Theorem 1: Quasigroup isomorphism can be solved in $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$ steps.

Proof: Property 1a) says the binary operation is a well-defined function. Now, 1b) and 1c) give two other well-defined functions associated with a quasigroup. We shall say that a set of elements generates the quasigroup if their closure under these three functions is the whole quasigroup.

Thus, using this definition, we prove a generalization of the observation about the size of the minimal generator set.

Lemma 1: A quasigroup is generated by a set containing at most $\log_2 n$ elements.

Proof: To prove the lemma we need only prove that if H is a proper subquasigroup of G then $|G| \geq 2|H|$. Pick $b \in G-H$. Consider the elements $H \cdot b$. Now, all the products are distinct, for if $h \cdot b = h' \cdot b$ where $h, h' \in H$ then $h = h'$ by property 1c). Secondly, $H \cdot b$ is disjoint from H for if $h = h' \cdot b$ when $h, h' \in H$ then $b \in H$ by property 1b). This contradicts the fact that $b \notin H$. Thus, $H \cdot b \subseteq G-H$ and $|H \cdot b| = |H|$ which proves the lemma.

To finish the proof of Theorem 1 we give a short description of the algorithm with two quasigroups, G and G' , as input:

- 1) Find a set of generators for G , containing at most $\log_2 n$ elements, say a_1, \dots, a_m .
- 2) For each set of m elements in G' , say, $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ check to see if the map induced by $a_i \rightarrow b_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ is a well-defined isomorphism of G onto G' .
- 3) If a set of m elements of G' is found in 2) accept; otherwise reject.

Now consider isotopic latin squares. Using isotopic maps we can always put the latin square in a "normal" form; namely, the first row and first column are the sequence $1, 2, \dots, n$. This normal form is not unique. In fact, it is not unique up to isomorphism, but is almost

unique up to isomorphism. Suppose that L and L' are two isotopic latin squares in normal form and (α, β, γ) is the isotopic map from L to L' . Given a permutation α , let $\alpha^{(1)}$ be the transposition $(1, \alpha^{-1}(1))$. Now the decomposition of α into $(\alpha \alpha^{(1)}) (\alpha^{(1)})$ splits α into $\alpha^{(1)}$ which may move 1 while $\alpha \alpha^{(1)}$ leaves 1 fixed. The following result simply says that up to choosing who gets to be the identity L and L' are isomorphic.

Lemma 2: Given two latin squares L and L' in normal form which are isotopic by the permutations $\langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \rangle$ then $\langle \alpha^{(1)}, \beta^{(1)}, \gamma^{(1)} \rangle (L)$ is isomorphic to L' .

Proof: Now, $\langle \alpha^{(1)}, \beta^{(1)}, \gamma^{(1)} \rangle (L) = L''$ is still isotopic to L' by $\langle \alpha' = \alpha \cdot \alpha^{(1)}, \beta' = \beta \cdot \beta^{(1)}, \gamma' = \gamma \cdot \gamma^{(1)} \rangle$, and L'' is in normal form. We shall show that in fact $\alpha' = \beta' = \gamma'$. Suppose that $\gamma'(V) = W$. γ' has changed the V in column V to a W . Thus, β' must move column V to column W to insure that the latin square is in normal form. Similarly, α' must move row V to row W . Therefore $\alpha' = \beta' = \gamma'$ and the lemma is proved.

Theorem 2: Isotopy of latin squares is decidable in $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$ time.

Proof: The algorithm, on input L and L' , arbitrarily puts L' in normal form and then for each of the n^2 possible candidates for the identity it puts L in normal form. Now the algorithm checks if any of these n^2 normal forms of L are in fact isomorphic to L' .

Since there are only six ways to conjugate latin squares, we get that main class isotopy is in time $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$.

Corollary: Main class isotopy of latin squares is decidable in $O(n^{\log_2 n + 0(1)})$ time.

A natural graph associated with a latin square is called a latin square graph which is defined as follows:

Definition: Given a latin square, say $L (\ell_{ij})$, of size n , then the latin square graph associated with L , say $G(L)$, has n^2 nodes g_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$; and the nodes g_{ij} and $g_{k\ell}$ are connected if one of the following holds:

- 1) $i = k$
- 2) $j = \ell$
- 3) $\ell_{ij} = \ell_{k\ell}$

Latin square graphs consist of $3n$ n -cliques (n row cliques, n column cliques, and n value cliques). Two n -cliques are disjoint iff they are either different row, different column, or different value cliques.

Thus we get the following result:

Lemma 3: If L and L' are latin squares, and $G(L)$ and $G(L')$ are latin square graphs, then L is main class isotopic to L' iff $G(L)$ is isomorphic to $G(L')$.

If we now give an efficient method of retrieving the latin square from the latin square graph we will have a $O(n^{\log_2 n + 0(1)})$ algorithm for latin square graph isomorphism; namely, retrieve the two latin squares and check the two latin squares for main class isotopy.

Lemma 4: In $O(n^3)$ steps we can retrieve the latin square from the latin square graph where n is the dimension of the latin square.

Proof: Let G be a latin square graph on n^2 nodes. To construct a latin square we shall associate each node of G with an element in a $n \times n$ matrix $A(a_{ij})$ and also assign a value to the nodes or elements.

Algorithm:

- 1) Pick two connected nodes, say x_1 and x_2 .
- 2) Find the n nodes common to x_1 and x_2 . Now, $n-2$ of the nodes are connected to each other, say x_3, \dots, x_n .
Let y_2 be one of the nodes that is not connected to x_3, \dots, x_n .
- 3) Associate a_{1j} with x_j , and set $a_{1j} = j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$.
- 4) Find the clique associated with x_1 and y_2 , say $\{x_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$. There is a unique matching between the x_i 's and the y_i 's.
- 5) Order the y_i 's such that x_i is connected to y_i , for $2 \leq i \leq n$.
- 6) Associate a_{j1} with y_j and set $a_{j1} = j$, $2 \leq j \leq n$.
- 7) For each of the remaining $(n-1)^2$ nodes of G , do the following, where W is a remaining node:
 - a) If W is connected to x_i then W is connected to a unique y_i and a unique x_j , $2 \leq i, j \leq n$.
Set a_{ij} to 1.
 - b) If W is not connected to x_i , then there exist

unique integers k , i , and j such that W is connected to y_k , x_k , y_i , and y_j . Set a_{ij} to k .

Using Lemma 4 we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3: Latin square graph isomorphism is decidable in $O(n^{\log_2 n + O(1)})$ steps.

References

- 1) Denes, J. and Keedwell, A.D., Latin Squares and their Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- 2) Tarjan, R.E., private communication.
- 3) Lipton, R.J., Snyder, L., and Zalcstein, Y., "The Complexity of Word and Isomorphism Problems for Finite Groups."