NIPS*94 Submission Review Form

Paper code: First author:

Paper title:

Ranking (5=Best, 1=Worst): ____

Confidence of your ranking: High __ Middle __ Low __

Comments for the author. (These will also be considered by the program committee.) Should cover at least two of: **Quality, Clarity, Originality, Significance.**

The Back Page

Paper code:

Reviewer name:

Anything you need to tell us in confidence?

Review Criteria

Quality: Is this just a proposal, or does it report concrete results (chip fabbed and tested; application ran on live data; etc.)? Is the paper technically sound? Are its claims well-supported? How carefully do the authors evaluate the strengths and limitations of their work?

Clarity: Is the paper clearly written, with content accessible to most neural net researchers? Does it adequately describe the inputs, outputs, and algorithms used? Does it motivate the research? Is it well-organized, or could you suggest improvements?

Originality: Has this or similar work been reported previously? Are the problems or approaches new? Is this a novel combination of familiar techniques? Do the authors make clear how their work differs from other, related research? Can you suggest additional references?

Significance: How significant is this work? Does it address an important/difficult problem, or a trivial one? Does the approach really advance the state of the art? Should the goals/results be stated differently?