Imperative Programming

15-150 Lecture 21: November 21, 2024

Stephanie Balzer Carnegie Mellon University

## Functional programming

So far we have used the term "functional programming" as a synonym for pure programming.



# Functional programming

So far we have used the term "functional programming" as a synonym for pure programming.

But what does **pure** really mean?

Well, the prototypical answer is, **without** any **side-effects**.

Let's reconsider the correctness proofs that we carried out.



Can you think of an implicit assumption that we made when proving a function correct, ensuring that our reasoning is valid?



We assumed that it suffices to *only* consider the function specification and implementation, *nothing else*.



We carried out per-function (aka **local**) **reasoning**.

## Functional programming

Let's reconsider the correctness proofs that we carried out.



We carried out per-function (aka **local**) **reasoning**.

Functional programming validates local reasoning and guarantees that:



Repeated evaluation of an expression yields the same result.



Sequential and parallel evaluation of independent subexpressions produces the same result.

# Effects (impure or imperative programming)

In the presence of effects, local reasoning\* breaks down.



Effect, aka anything else that we can observe when evaluating an expression other than the returned value.

#### Examples of effects:

- When two functions share state, mutations by one affect the other.
- A non-terminating function will cause its caller to diverge too.

In the presence of effects, the **order of evaluation** matters.



Repeated evaluation of an expression may not yield the same result.



Sequential and parallel evaluation of independent subexpressions may not produce the same result.

\*(Local reasoning can be re-established by using program logics such as separation logic.)

## SML supports imperative programming

To reap all the benefits of functional programming, we have stayed entirely\* in the pure fragment of SML until now.

However, SML supports imperative features, such as reference cells, arrays, and commands for I/O.



## Today's menu



## Mutable reference cells



\*(Restriction: at top level, t must be monomorphic.)

## Mutable reference cells



\*(Restriction: at top level, t must be monomorphic.)

# Allocation: ref: 'a -> 'a ref

Evaluation rules:

ref e

Evaluate expression **e**.

If e reduces to a value v, create a new cell containing v and 2) If a reduces to a value v,<br>return the reference to it.

#### Example: val  $r = ref (1 + 3)$

evaluates to:  $r \longrightarrow 4$ 

Here,  $r : int$  ref is bound to a reference to the reference cell containing the value 4 : int.

# Allocation: ref: 'a -> 'a ref

Evaluation rules:

ref e

Evaluate expression e.

If e reduces to a value v, create a new cell containing v and  $\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \end{array}$  return the reference to it.

Typing rules: Typing ref e



## Read: !: 'a ref -> 'a

Evaluation rules:

!e

Evaluate expression e.

2 If e reduces to reference to a cell containing  $v$ , then return  $v$ .

Example: 
$$
val \r = ref(1 + 3)
$$

\n $val \x = !r$ 

\nevaluates to:  $r \rightarrow 4$  and  $[4/x]$ 

Here,  $r : int$  ref is bound to a reference to the cell containing the value  $4 : int$  and  $x : int$  is bound to 4.

## Read: !: 'a ref -> 'a

#### Evaluation rules:

!e

Evaluate expression e.

2 If e reduces to reference to a cell containing  $v$ , then return  $v$ .

Typing rules: le



## Write:  $:=$  : 'a ref  $*$  'a  $\rightarrow$  unit

$$
Evaluation rules: \t\t e_1 := e_2
$$

\n- 1 Evaluate expression 
$$
e_1
$$
.
\n- 2 If  $e_1$  reduces to a reference  $r$ , then evaluate expression  $e_2$ .
\n- 3 If  $e_2$  reduces to a value  $v$ , update contents of  $r$  to  $v$ , return  $( )$ .
\n

Example: val 
$$
r = ref (1 + 3)
$$

$$
r := (ir * 2)
$$

evaluates to:  $r \longrightarrow 8$ and  $[(\ )/it]$ 

Here,  $r : int ref$  is bound to a reference to the cell containing the value  $8:$  int and () is returned.

## Write:  $:=$  : 'a ref  $*$  'a  $\rightarrow$  unit

Evaluation rules:  $e_1 := e_2$ 



Typing rules:  $e_1 := e_2$ 

#### If  $e_1$  : t ref and  $e_2$  : t, then  $e_1$  :=  $e_2$  : unit.

We can pattern match on ref:

 $(*$  containsZero : int ref  $\rightarrow$  bool  $*)$ 

fun containsZero ( $ref$   $@$ ) = true containsZero = false pattern

We can pattern match on ref:

```
(* containsZero : int ref \rightarrow bool *)
```
fun containsZero (ref  $\theta$ ) = true  $|$  containsZero = false

We can pattern match on ref:

```
(* containsZero : int ref \rightarrow bool *)
```
- fun containsZero (ref  $\theta$ ) = true  $|$  containsZero = false
- val d =  $ref 42$
- val false = containsZero d

We can pattern match on ref:

```
(* containsZero : int ref \rightarrow bool *)
```
- fun containsZero (ref  $\theta$ ) = true  $|$  containsZero = false
- val d =  $ref 42$
- val false = containsZero d
- val false = containsZero (ref 7)

We can pattern match on ref:

```
(* containsZero : int ref \rightarrow bool *)
```
fun containsZero (ref  $\theta$ ) = true  $|$  containsZero = false

val d =  $ref 42$ 

- val false = containsZero d
- val false = containsZero (ref 7)

val true = containsZeros (ref  $\theta$ )

## Sequential composition

In the presence of effects, the **order of evaluation** matters.

For convenience, SML supports the semicolon expression:

 $(e_1; e_2)$ 

Which is syntactic sugar for:

let val 
$$
=
$$
 e<sub>1</sub> in e<sub>2</sub> end

Evaluate e<sub>1</sub>, executing effects but ignoring any returned value.

2 Then, evaluate  $e_2$ , executing effects and return the value of  $e_2$ .

Generalizes to:

$$
(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n)
$$
 :  $t_n$ 

## Sequential composition

Example:

```
let 
  val c = ref 10in 
   (print(Int.toString(!c)); 
    c) 
end
```
What is the type of this let expression? int ref What is its value? The contract of the contrac What its effect? prints 10

## Sequential composition

Alternative implementation of previous example:

```
let 
 val c = ref 10val = print(int.toString(!c))in 
   c 
end
```
## Aliasing

#### Consider this code:



What values are **w** and **v** bound to?

w is bound to 10, v is bound to 42.



To account for aliasing, we must extend dynamics with a store.



To account for aliasing, we must extend dynamics with a store.

For pure expressions:

$$
e \implies e'
$$

For impure expressions:





To account for aliasing, we must extend dynamics with a store.

For pure expressions:

$$
e \implies e'
$$

For impure expressions:

$$
\{s | e\} \implies \{s' | e'\}
$$



## Extensional equivalence

#### For pure programs:

extensional equivalence as defined until now

allow equals to be replaced by equals ("referential transparency")

#### For imperative programs:



## Extensional equivalence

For imperative programs:



#### Note:

#### ref types are so called equality types

For  $r : 'a$  ref and  $s : 'a$  ref,  $r = s$  evaluates to true, if r and s are aliases, i.e., point to the same cell.

In the presence of mutation, reasoning about parallel program becomes complicated.

fun deposit a  $n = a := !a + n$ 

- fun withdraw  $a$   $n = a$  := ! $a n$
- val  $chr = ref 100$
- $val = (deposit chk 50; without chk 80)$

What is the value of !chk? 70

Now, if we parallelize, what is the value of !chk?

In the presence of mutation, reasoning about parallel program becomes complicated.

fun deposit a  $n = a := !a + n$ 

- fun withdraw  $a$   $n = a$  := ! $a n$
- val  $chr = ref 100$
- $val = (deposit chk 50, without chk 80)$

What is the value of !chk? 70

Now, if we parallelize, what is the value of !chk?

In the presence of mutation, reasoning about parallel program becomes complicated.

fun deposit a  $n = a := !a + n$ 

- fun withdraw  $a_n = a := a n$
- val  $chr = ref 100$
- $val = (deposit chk 50, without chk 80)$

What is the value of !chk? 70

Now, if we parallelize, what is the value of !chk? We could end up with 20, 70, or 150.

In the presence of mutation, reasoning about parallel program becomes complicated.

fun deposit a  $n = a := !a + n$ 

- fun withdraw  $a$   $n = a$  := ! $a n$
- val  $chr = ref 100$
- $val = (deposit chk 50, without chk 80)$

Mutation and parallelism leads to non-deterministic outcomes

## Persistent versus ephemeral data

#### Pure programs:



yield persistent data structures

facilitate reasoning and support deterministic parallelism

#### Imperative programs:



yield ephemeral data structures

complicate reasoning and demand concurrent scheduling

#### However, not all effects are evil.



When employed locally, effects can be **benign**.

## Benign effects

A **benign effect** is an effect (such as mutation) that is **localized** within some sufficiently small chunk of code (e.g., function or structure) so that external users can sue the code as **if it were purely functional**.



Consider this directed graph:



We can represent this graph as a function, giving for a node the nodes immediately reachable from it:

type  $graph = int \rightarrow int$  list  $val G : graph = fn 1 => [2,3]$  $| 2 = > [1,3]$  $| 3 = > [4]$ | \_ => [ ]

Now, let's define a function, reach  $g(x,y)$ , determining whether y is transitively reachable from x in graph g.



Now, let's define a function, reach  $g(x,y)$ , determining whether y is transitively reachable from x in graph g.

```
fun reach (g:graph) (x:int, y:int): bool =
   let
    fun dfs n = (n=y) orelse (List.exists dfs (g n))
   in
    dfs x 
   end
```
Problem: reach can loop on our example graph, which is cyclic!



We can fix this by recording who we have already visited.

```
fun mem (n:int) = List exists (fn x => n=x)
```

```
fun reachable (g:graph) (x:int, y:in
   let
    val visited = ref []fun dfs n = (n=y) orelse
                  (not (mem n (!visited)) andalso
                 (visited := n::(!visited);mem n L checks 
                                        whether n is in list L
```

```
 List.exists dfs (g n)))
```
in

dfs x

end

We can fix this by recording who we have already visited.

fun mem  $(n:int) = List exists (fn x => n=x)$ 

fun reachable  $(g:graph)$   $(x:int, y:int)$ : bool = let  $val$  visited = ref [] fun dfs  $n = (n=y)$  orelse (not (mem n (!visited)) andalso  $(visited := n::(!visited);$  List.exists dfs (g n))) in dfs x end reference that records visited nodes

We can fix this by recording who we have already visited.

```
fun mem (n:int) = List.exists (fn x => n=x)
```

```
fun reachable (g:graph) (x:int, y:int): bool =
   let
```

```
val visited = ref \Boxfun dfs n = (n=y) orelse
              (not (mem n (!visited)) andalso
             (visited := n::(!visiList.exists dfs (g n)))
  in
     dfs x 
  end
                                         only continue 
                                     if not has not yet been 
                                            visited
```
We can fix this by recording who we have already visited.

```
fun mem (n:int) = List.exists (fn x => n=x)
```

```
fun reachable (g:graph) (x:int, y:int): bool =
   let
```

```
val visited = ref \Boxfun dfs n = (n=y) orelse
                    (not (mem n (!visited)) andalso
                   \left(\left| \text{visited}\right.\right. := \text{n::}(\left| \text{visited}\right.\right); List.exists dfs (g n))) 
  in
       dfs x 
  end
                                                         update visited list
```
### Example: random number generator



### Example: random number generator

```
signature RANDOM = 
sig 
   type gen (*abstract *)
  val init: int \rightarrow gen (* REQUIRES: seed > 0 *)
  val random: gen \rightarrow int \rightarrow int
end
```

```
val G = R.init(12345) 
val L = List.tabulate(42,fn _ => R.random G 1000)
```
### Example: random number generator

```
signature RANDOM = 
sig 
   type gen (*abstract *)
  val init: int \rightarrow gen (* REQUIRES: seed > 0 *)
  val random: gen \rightarrow int \rightarrow int
end
```

```
struct R :> RANDOM 
  type |gen = real ref val a = 16807.0 
   val m = 2147483647.0 
  fun next r = a * r - m*real(float(a*r/m)) val init = ref o real 
  fun random g b = (g := next(lg));
                     floor((yg/m)*(real b))reference cell
```
#### **end**

(Reference: "ML for the Working Programmer" by Paulson. 1996.)

### Example: stream memoization

Previously, we had the following code inside our **Stream** structure:

 $(*$  delay :  $(unit \rightarrow 'front) \rightarrow 'a$  stream  $*)$ fun delay  $(d)$  = Stream $(d)$ 

 $(*$  expose : 'a stream  $\rightarrow$  'a front  $*)$ fun expose  $(Stream(d)) = d$  ()

Let's add a hidden reference cell that remembers the result of computing d().

We will will leave expose as is, but change delay.

### Example: stream memoization

```
Updated function delay:
```

```
fun delay (d) = let
     val cell = ref d 
    fun memoFn () = let
       val r = d() in
        (cell := (fn () =& > r); r) end
    val = cell := memofn in
    Stream fn ) => !cell() end
```
That's all for today.