Algorithms in Nature

Regression

Goal of regression

Choosing a restaurant

- In everyday life we need to make decisions by taking into account lots of factors
- The question is what weight we put on each of these factors (how important are they with respect to the others).
- Assume we would like to build a recommender system based on an individuals' preferences
- If we have many observations we may be able to recover the weights

Linear regression

Y

- Given an input x we would like to compute an output y
- In linear regression we assume that y and x are related with the following equation:

where w is a parameter and $ε$ represents measurement or other noise

X

Linear regression

- Our goal is to estimate *w* from a training data of <x_i,y_i> pairs
- This could be done using a least squares approach

$$
\arg\min_{w} \sum_{i} (y_i - wx_i)^2
$$

$$
y = wx + \varepsilon
$$

X

Solving linear regression

• We just take the derivative w.r.t. to w and set to 0:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \sum_{i} (y_i - wx_i)^2 = 2 \sum_{i} -x_i (y_i - wx_i) \Rightarrow
$$

$$
2 \sum_{i} x_i (y_i - wx_i) = 0 \Rightarrow
$$

$$
\sum_{i} x_i y_i = \sum_{i} wx_i^2 \Rightarrow
$$

$$
w = \frac{\sum_{i} x_i y_i}{\sum_{i} x_i^2}
$$

Bias term

- So far we assumed that the line passes through the origin
- What if the line does not?
- No problem, simply change the model to

 $y = w_0 + w_1x+\epsilon$

• Can use least squares to determine w_0 , w_1

$$
w_0 = \frac{\sum_i y_i - w_1 x_i}{n}
$$

X

Bias term

- So far we assumed that the line passes through the origin
- What if the line does not?
- No problem, simply change the model to

y = ^w Just a second, we will soon give a simpler solution

Can use least squares to determine w_0 , w_1

$$
w_0 = \frac{\sum_i y_i - w_1 x_i}{n}
$$

$$
w_1 = \frac{\sum_i x_i (y_i - w_0)}{\sum_i x_i^2}
$$

Y

X

 \bigcirc

∩

∩

Multivariate regression

- What if we have several inputs?
	- Stock prices for Yahoo, Microsoft and Ebay for the Google prediction task
- This becomes a multivariate regression problem
- Again, its easy to model:

Multivariate regression

• What if we have several inputs?

 - Stock prices for Yahoo, Microsoft and Ebay for the God and Not all functions can be approximated using the input

• This be $\frac{approximation}{i}$ multiple directly. values directly

• Again, its easy to model:

$$
y = w_0 + w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_k x_k + \varepsilon
$$

y=10+3x₁²-2x₂²+ε

In some cases we would like to use polynomial or other terms based on the input data, are these still linear regression problems?

Yes. As long as the coefficients are linear the equation is still a linear regression problem!

Non-Linear basis function

- So far we only used the observed values
- However, linear regression can be applied in the same way to functions of these values
- As long as these functions can be directly computed from the observed values the parameters are still linear in the data and the problem remains a linear regression problem

$$
y = w_0 + w_1 x_1^2 + \ldots + w_k x_k^2 + \varepsilon
$$

Non-Linear basis function

- What type of functions can we use?
- A few common examples:
- Polynomial: $\phi_j(x) = x^j$ for j=0 … n

- Gaussian:
$$
\phi_j(x) = \frac{(x - \mu_j)^2}{2\sigma_j^2}
$$

- Sigmoid: $\phi_j(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-s_j x)}$

Any function of the input values can be used. The solution for the parameters of the regression remains the same.

General linear regression problem

• Using our new notations for the basis function linear regression can be written as $y = \sum w_j \phi_j(x)$ *n*

 $j = 0$

- Where φ*^j (x)* can be either *xj* for multivariate regression or one of the non linear basis we defined
- Once again we can use 'least squares' to find the optimal solution.

LMS for the general linear regression problem *n*

Our goal is to minimize the following loss function:

$$
J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i} (y^{i} - \sum_{j} w_{j} \phi_{j}(x^{i}))^{2}
$$

Moving to vector notations we get:

$$
J(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i} (y^{i} - \mathbf{w}^{T} \phi(x^{i}))^{2}
$$

We take the derivative w.r.t **w**

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \sum_{i} (y^{i} - w^{T} \phi(x^{i}))^{2} = 2 \sum_{i} (y^{i} - w^{T} \phi(x^{i})) \phi(x^{i})^{T}
$$

Equating to 0 we get
$$
2 \sum_{i} (y^{i} - w^{T} \phi(x^{i})) \phi(x^{i})^{T} = 0 \Rightarrow
$$

$$
\sum_{i} y^{i} \phi(x^{i})^{T} = w^{T} \left[\sum_{i} \phi(x^{i}) \phi(x^{i})^{T} \right]
$$

 $y = \sum w_j \phi_j(x)$ $j = 0$ *w* – vector of dimension k+1 $\phi(\mathsf{x}^i)$ – vector of dimension k+1 *yi* – a scaler

LMS for general linear regression problem

We take the derivative w.r.t **w**

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \sum_{i} (y^{i} - w^{T} \phi(x^{i}))^{2} = 2 \sum_{i} (y^{i} - w^{T} \phi(x^{i})) \phi(x^{i})^{T}
$$

Equating to 0 we get
$$
2\sum_{i} (y^{i} - w^{T} \phi(x^{i}))\phi(x^{i})^{T} = 0 \Rightarrow
$$

$$
\sum_{i} y^{i} \phi(x^{i})^{T} = w^{T} \left[\sum_{i} \phi(x^{i})\phi(x^{i})^{T} \right]
$$
Define:
$$
\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{0}(x^{1}) & \phi_{1}(x^{1}) & \cdots & \phi_{m}(x^{1}) \\ \phi_{0}(x^{2}) & \phi_{1}(x^{2}) & \cdots & \phi_{m}(x^{2}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}
$$

Def

$$
\Phi = \begin{pmatrix}\n\phi_0(x^2) & \phi_1(x^2) & \cdots & \phi_m(x^2) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\
\phi_0(x^n) & \phi_1(x^n) & \cdots & \phi_m(x^n)\n\end{pmatrix}
$$

Then deriving w $\mathrm{w} = (\Phi^{\scriptscriptstyle T})$

$$
\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{\Phi})^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}^T \mathbf{y}
$$

)

 \int

 $\left| \right|$

 $J(\text{w}) = \sum (y^i - \text{w}^T \phi(x^i))^2$ *i* ∑

 $\overline{}$

 $\overline{}$

LMS for general linear regression problem

This solution is also known as 'psuedo inverse'

Example: Polynomial regression

