

12-759: Computational Optimization of Systems Governed by PDEs
Assignment 4: An Inverse Problem
Estimating a Thermal Conduction Constitutive Law from Distributed Temperature
Measurements
Due 17 December 2003

Consider the following inverse problem. Suppose we know that heat conduction in a 2D square medium is governed by the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla \cdot (k(u)\nabla u) &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma \end{aligned}$$

where Ω is the unit square $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$, u is the temperature, f is the heat source, and the thermal conductivity $k(u)$ is *temperature dependent* and of the form

$$k(u) = \alpha u^\beta + \gamma.$$

Here, α , β , and γ are material constants. The *forward* or *state* problem is to find the temperature distribution u given the source f and the material constants. The *inverse* or *parameter estimation* problem is to estimate values of the material constants, given some measurements on the temperature. For example, we would like to minimize the L_2 norm of the misfit between measured and predicted temperature in the interior, i.e.

$$\min_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} \mathcal{F} = \int_{\Omega} (u - \hat{u})^2 dx,$$

where \hat{u} is the “measured” temperature.

1. Derive (infinite-dimensional) expressions for the sensitivity of the temperature u and the least squares objective \mathcal{F} to each of the material constants α , β , and γ .
2. Write a Sundance code to compute the sensitivity of the objective to the three material constants. You can use the code `membraneSens.cpp` (available from the class website) as a guide. You should first “synthesize” the temperature measurements by solving a forward problem given the specific values $\hat{\alpha}$, $\hat{\beta}$, $\hat{\gamma}$. The resulting temperature distribution can be taken as the “measured” temperature \hat{u} .
3. Use your Sundance code to find the sensitivity of the least squares objective to the three material constants, when the measured temperature \hat{u} corresponds to $\hat{\alpha} = 0.5$, $\hat{\beta} = 2.0$, and $\hat{\gamma} = 1.0$. Do this at two “points” in the parameter space:
 - for $\alpha = 0.5$, $\beta = 2.0$, and $\gamma = 1.0$ (in this case, you should verify that the objective and objective sensitivities are all zero)
 - for $\alpha = 0.75$, $\beta = 1.25$, and $\gamma = 2.0$

Use quadratic elements and a sufficiently fine mesh¹ for the above computations. Show by example that a mesh that is sufficiently fine for the objective may not be sufficiently fine for the sensitivities.

¹That is, a uniform refinement of the mesh would result in a change of $< 5\%$ in the objective function sensitivities.