

Exam Feedback

Dave Eckhardt

de0u@andrew.cmu.edu

A Word on the Final Exam

- Disclaimer
 - Past performance is not a guarantee of future results
- The course will change
 - Up to now: basics
 - What you need for Project 3
 - Coming: advanced topics
 - Design issues
 - Things you won't implement

A Word on the Final Exam

- Examination will change to match
 - More design
 - Some things you won't have implemented

Basic Assumptions

- This is a **C** programming class!
 - sizeof (char) == 1 /* 8 bits */
 - sizeof (int) == 4 /* 32 bits, *mostly* true now */
 - You need to *really* understand pointers
- Semantics
 - '\0' isn't “just” an 1-byte zero – it's the zero *char*
- Other languages are excellent
 - ...but very few are ok for writing OS code

A&B exams: Q1

- Definitions
- Papers looked mostly ok

A:Q2: malloc() loop *in kernel*

```
void foo(void)
{
    while (1)
        (void) malloc(1);
}
```

- What we're looking for
 - Vicious memory leak
 - Kernel memory is *limited*
 - When it's gone *no process* can get more

B:Q2: user-mode “summation” loop

```
void bar(void) {  
    int sum = 0, *ip; /*2 decl, 1 set*/  
    ip = &sum;  
    while (1) { sum += *ip; --ip; }  
}
```

- What we're looking for
 - It's infinite stack growth
 - *Eventually* the kernel will kill the process
 - Other processes may suffer – *or be killed!*

A:Q3(a)

- Why *multiple* kernel stacks?
- Not: “protection”!
 - “Monolithic kernel” model: *all* kernel code is trusted
- Key issue: *preemption*
 - User process in kernel mode
 - Stack contains: trap frame, N procedure call frames
 - Switch to another process: copy all that around?
 - From/to where??? Wouldn't that be a stack too?

A:Q3(b) - How to set kernel stack size

- Kernel virtual memory size typically fixed, *small*
 - (Why small?)
 - Large stacks means fewer stacks, so fewer threads
- *Not:* “run it and see what happens”
- Good: examine call chains, local variable usage
- Very good: factor in *interrupt handlers!*

B:Q3(a) – How many page faults?

```
void foo(void) {  
    int i; char x[2000];  
    for (i = 0; i < 2000; i++)  
        x[i] = '\0';  
}
```

- What we wanted to see
 - Stack *and code* are involved
 - Page *alignment* may not be ideal even if size fits
- Minimum “good” answer: 4 pages
- Competitive paging: easy to get ~4k/~6k faults

B:Q3(b) – Stack growth, wild access

- “stack growth due to one memory reference”

```
void foo(void) {  
    char x[65536];  
    x[0] = '\0';  
}  
pushl %ebp  
movl %esp, %ebp  
subl $65536, %esp  
movb $0, -65536(%ebp) ; memory ref
```

- How far is too far? *Not right:* “one page”

B:Q3(b) – Good vs. bad?

```
void one(void) {  
    double a[1024];  
    int i;  
  
    for (i = 1024;  
         i >= 0;  
         i--)  
        a[i] = 0.0L;  
}
```

```
void one(void) {  
    double a[1024];  
    int i;  
  
    for (i = 0;  
         i < 1024;  
         i++)  
        a[i] = 0.0L;  
}
```

B:Q3(b) - Stack growth, wild access

- Also not:
 - Let stack grow *all the way* to top of heap
 - That means *no such thing* as a wild pointer access!
- Reasonable
 - Some *large* size
 - Halfway through the void

Other questions

- Q4 – deadlock
- Q5 – broken mutual exclusion protocol