# P2 Miscellany

Dave Eckhardt de0u@andrew.cmu.edu

## "Style"

Field names should *mean something*Even more than variable names

Single-letter variable names may be ok

It's unclear single-letter field names can be ok
Unless you're implementing complex numbers

# "Style"

#### Please don't give us

Large chunks of commented-out code

Voluminous debugging (enabled)

Comments which are *misleading* 

180 copies of singly-linked-list traversal

It is quite familiar to us

It is like kudzu

# "Style"

Please don't give us

Two files called mutex.c

One file called mutex.s

Containing overlapping sets of entry points

In three different directories

Really

## Thead Safety - 1

```
if (!initialized) {
  mutex_init(&m); /*not &mp!!!*/
  initialized = 1;
See also
  The "Double-Checked Locking is Broken"
  Declaration
```

## Thead Safety – 2

```
mutex_init(&m);
mutex_lock(&m);
cond_wait(cv, &m);
mutex_unlock(&m);
mutex_destroy(&m);
```

### Thead Safety – 3

```
/* ATOMIC deschedule */
int zero = 0;
deschedule(&zero);
```

### Write Something Down!

Instead of "I want this to be atomic"...

Think about what atomic means

Enumerate the hazards

Write down how each hazard is avoided

Writing down analyses helped

People missed *other* cases

...but typically got the cases they analyzed

In real life...the *next person* will read it too!

#### Thead Safety – 4

## Thread Safety – 5

#### Compound objects need special care

For example, linked list

```
mutex_lock(list_guard);
ptr = l_last(list); /*Atypical on P2*/
mutex_unlock(list_guard);
...
ptr->next = 0; /* XCHG is no help */
```

## Thread Safety – 5

#### Compound objects need special care

For example, linked list

Traversal is safe with respect to traversal – maybe

Traversal is *not safe* with respect to deletion!

Make sure you see why

Think about other operations too...

### Scheduling – 1

```
yield(-1);
  You want your pesky younger brother's process??
yield(0);
  Hmm....
if (error)
  while (1)
```

## Scheduling – 2

```
yield(tid);
```

- How many instructions will it run?

```
sleep(200);
```

- This is not likely to be the right amount of time

## Synchronization

"If all you have is a hammer, everything looks like a nail."

We have *multiple* synchronization problems

Not all are solved correctly with mutexes

(or deschedule)

Design involves

Issues, implications, choices

## Surprises - 1

```
thr_table = realloc(thr_table, nsize);
for (cur = head-> next; ...)
```

## Surprises – 2

```
ptr=malloc(size);
ptr->f = 33;
...
if (issue)
  return (-4); /* meaning...? */
```

## Summary

#### Initial grades

Bell curve

Centered around 73%

Adjustment under consideration

#### Summary

Many of you know many of the issues

If you are uneasy about something, asking is wise!