

# 15-410

## NFS & AFS Nov. 20, 2019

**Dave Eckhardt**  
**Garth Gibson**

# Outline

## Why remote file systems?

## VFS interception

## NFSv2/v3 vs. AFS

- Ping-pong mode: 5 topics discussed twice

## NFSv4

- *Partial* description of evolution

## Why talk about NFSv2?

- Still in use in some situations
- Better shows how design influences results

# Why?

## Why remote file systems?

### Lots of “access data everywhere” technologies

- Laptops
- iPods
- Multi-gigabyte flash-memory keychain USB devices

## Are remote file systems dinosaurs?

# Remote File System Benefits

## Reliability

- Not many people carry multiple copies of data
  - Multiple copies with you aren't much protection
- Backups are nice
  - Machine rooms are nice
    - » Temperature-controlled, humidity-controlled
    - » Fire-suppressed
  - Time travel is nice too

## Sharing

- Allows multiple users to access data
- May provide authentication mechanism

# Remote File System Benefits

## Scalability

- Large disks are cheaper

## Locality of reference

- You don't use every file every day...
  - Why carry *everything* in expensive portable storage?

## Auditability

- Easier to know who said what when with central storage...

# VFS interception

**VFS provides “pluggable” file systems**

**Standard flow of remote access**

- User process calls read()
- Kernel dispatches to VOP\_READ() in some VFS
- nfs\_read()
  - check local cache
  - send RPC to remote NFS server
  - block process

# VFS interception

## Standard flow of remote access (continued)

- client kernel process manages call to server
  - retransmit if necessary
  - convert RPC response to file system buffer
  - store in local cache
  - unblock user process
- back to `nfs_read()`
  - copy bytes to user memory

## Same story for AFS

# Comparisons

## Compared today

- Sun Microsystems/Oracle NFS (mostly we discuss v2/v3)
- CMU/IBM/Transarc/IBM/OpenAFS.org AFS

## Architectural assumptions & goals

- Architectural assumptions & goals
- Namespace
- Authentication, access control
- I/O flow
- Rough edges

## Wrap-up: NFS v4 evolution



# NFSv2 Assumptions, goals

## Workgroup file system

- Small number of clients
- Very small number of servers

## Single administrative domain

- All machines agree on “set of users”
  - ...which users are in which groups
- Client machines run mostly-trusted OS
  - “User #37 says read(...)”

# NFSv2 Assumptions, goals

## “Stateless” file server

- Of course files are “state”, but...
- Server *exports* files without creating extra state
  - No list of “who has this file open”
  - No “pending transactions” across crash
- Result: crash recovery “fast”, protocol “simple”

# NFSv2 Assumptions, goals

## “Stateless” file server

- Of course files are “state”, but...
- Server *exports* files without creating extra state
  - No list of “who has this file open”
  - No “pending transactions” across crash
- Result: crash recovery “fast”, protocol “simple”

**Some inherently “stateful” operations (locking!!)**

# NFSv2 Assumptions, goals

## “Stateless” file server

- Of course files are “state”, but...
- Server *exports* files without creating extra state
  - No list of “who has this file open”
  - No “pending transactions” across crash
- Result: crash recovery “fast”, protocol “simple”

## Some inherently “stateful” operations (locking!!)

- Handled by “separate service” “outside of NFS”
  - Slick trick, eh?

# AFS Assumptions, goals

## Global distributed file system

- *Uncountable* clients, servers
- “One AFS”, like “one Internet”
  - Why would you want more than one?

## Multiple administrative domains

- username *@cellname*
  - de0u@andrew.cmu.edu
  - davide@cs.cmu.edu

# AFS Assumptions, goals

## Client machines are un-trusted

- Must *prove* they act for a specific user
  - Secure RPC layer
- Anonymous “system:anyuser”

## Client machines have disks (!!)

- Can cache whole files over long periods

## Write/write and write/read sharing are rare

- Most files updated by one user
- Most users on one machine at a time

# AFS Assumptions, goals

## Support *many* clients

- 1000 machines could cache a single file
- Some local, some (very) remote

# NFS Namespace

## Constructed by client-side file system mounts

- `mount server1:/usr/local /usr/local`
- `mount server2:/usr/spool/mail /usr/spool/mail`

## Group of clients *can achieve* common namespace

- Every machine can execute same mount sequence at boot
- If system administrators are diligent



# NFS Namespace

## **“Auto-mount” process mounts based on “maps”**

- `/home/dae` means `server1:/home/dae`
- `/home/owens` means `server2:/home/owens`

## **Referring to something in `/home` may trigger an automatic mount**

- “After a while” the remote file system may be automatically unmounted

# NFS Security

## Client machine presents credentials

- user #, list of group #s – from Unix process

## Server accepts or rejects credentials

- “root squashing”
  - map uid 0 to uid -1 unless client on “special machine” list

## Kernel process on server “adopts” credentials

- Sets user #, group vector based on RPC
- Makes system call (e.g., read()) with those credentials

# AFS Namespace

**Assumed-global list of AFS cells**

**Everybody sees same files in each cell**

- Multiple servers inside cell invisible to user

**Group of clients *can achieve* private namespace**

- Use custom cell database

# AFS Security

## Client machine presents Kerberos ticket

- Allows arbitrary binding of (machine,user) to (realm,principal)
  - davide on a cs.cmu.edu machine can be de0u@andrew.cmu.edu
  - iff the password is known!

Server checks against *access control list*

# AFS ACLs

**Apply to directory, not to individual files**

## **ACL format**

- `de0u rlidwka`
- `davide@cs.cmu.edu rl`
- `de0u:friends rl`

## **Negative rights**

- Disallow “joe rl” even though joe is in `de0u:friends`

# AFS ACLs

## AFS ACL semantics are not Unix semantics

- **Some parts obeyed in a vague way**
  - Cache manager checks for files being executable, writable
- **Many differences**
  - **Inherent/good: can name people in different administrative domains**
  - **“Just different”**
    - » **ACLs are per-directory, not per-file**
    - » **Different privileges: create, remove, lock**

# NFS protocol architecture

**root@client executes “mount filesystem” RPC**

- returns “file handle” for root of remote file system

**client RPC for each pathname component**

- /usr/local/lib/emacs/foo.el in /usr/local file system
  - h = lookup(root-handle, “lib”)
  - h = lookup(h, “emacs”)
  - h = lookup(h, “foo.el”)
- Allows disagreement over pathname syntax
  - Look, Ma, no “/”!

# NFS protocol architecture

## I/O RPCs are *idempotent*

- multiple repetitions have same effect as one
- `lookup(h, “emacs”)` generally returns same result
- `read(file-handle, offset, length) ⇒ same bytes`
- `write(file-handle, offset, buffer, bytes) ⇒ “ok”`

## RPCs do not create server-memory state

- no RPC calls for `open()/close()`
- `write()` succeeds (to disk), or fails, before RPC completes



# NFS “file handles”

## Goals

- Reasonable size
- Quickly map to file on server
- “Capability”
  - Hard to forge, so possession serves as “proof”

## Implementation (inode #, inode generation #)

- inode # - small, fast for server to map onto data
- “inode generation #” - must match value stored in inode
  - “unguessably random” number chosen in create()

# NFS Directory Operations

## Primary goal

- Insulate clients from server directory format

## Approach

- `readdir(dir-handle, cookie, nbytes)` returns list
  - name, inode # (for display by `ls -l`), cookie

# AFS protocol architecture

## *Volume* = miniature file system

- One user's files, project source tree, ...
- Unit of disk quota administration, backup
- *Mount points* are pointers to other volumes

## Client machine has Cell-Server Database

- `/afs/andrew.cmu.edu` is a *cell*
- *protection server* handles authentication
- *volume location server* maps volumes to *file servers*

# AFS protocol architecture

## Volume location is *dynamic*

- Moved between servers transparently to user

## Volumes may have multiple *replicas*

- Increase throughput, reliability
- Restricted to “read-only” volumes
  - `/usr/local/bin`
  - `/afs/andrew.cmu.edu/usr`

# AFS Callbacks

## Observations

- Client disks can cache files indefinitely
  - Even across reboots
- Many files nearly read-only
  - Contacting server on each open() is wasteful

## Server issues *callback promise*

- “If this file changes in 15 minutes, I will tell you”
  - Via *callback break* message
- 15 minutes of free open(), read() for that client
  - More importantly, 15 minutes of peace for server

# AFS “file identifiers”

## AFS “fid” has three parts

- **Volume number**
  - Each file lives *in a volume*
  - Unlike NFS “server1's /usr0”
- **File number**
  - inode # (as NFS)
- **“Uniquifier”**
  - allows inodes to be re-used
  - Similar to NFS file handle inode generation #s

# AFS Directory Operations

## Primary goal

- Don't overload servers!

## Approach

- Server stores directory as hash table on disk
- Client fetches entire directory as if a file
- *Client* parses hash table
  - Directory maps name to fid
- Client caches directory (indefinitely, across reboots)
  - Server load reduced

# AFS access pattern

**open(“/afs/cs.cmu.edu/service/systypes”)**

- VFS layer hands off “/afs” to AFS client module
- Client maps cs.cmu.edu to pt & vldb servers
- Client authenticates to pt server
- Client volume-locates root.cell volume
- Client fetches “/” directory
- Client fetches “service” directory
- Client fetches “systypes” file



# AFS access pattern

**open(“/afs/cs.cmu.edu/service/newCSDB”)**

- VFS layer hands off “/afs” to AFS client module
- Client fetches “newCSDB” file

**open(“/afs/cs.cmu.edu/service/systypes”)**

- Assume
  - File is in cache
  - Server hasn't broken callback
  - Callback hasn't expired
- Client can read file with *no server interaction*

# AFS access pattern

## Data transfer is by *chunks*

- Minimally 64 KB
- May be whole-file

## Write*back* cache

- AFSv2 stored entire file back atomically
- AFSv3 stores “chunks” back to server
  - When cache overflows
  - On last user close()

# AFS access pattern

## Is writeback crazy?

- Write conflicts “assumed rare”
- Who needs to see a half-written file?
- Locking can be used (often isn't)

# NFS v2/v3 “rough edges”

## Locking

- **Inherently stateful**
  - **lock must persist across client calls**
    - » **lock(), read(), write(), unlock()**
- **“Separate service”**
  - **Handled by same server**
  - **Horrible things happen on server crash**
  - **Horrible things happen on client crash**

# NFS v2/v3 “rough edges”

## Some operations not really idempotent

- `unlink(file)` returns “ok” once, then “no such file”
- server caches “a few” client requests

## Caching

- No real consistency guarantees
- Clients typically cache attributes, data “for a while”
- No way to know when they're wrong

# NFS v2/v3 “rough edges”

## Large NFS installations are brittle

- Everybody must agree on *many* mount points
- Hard to load-balance files among servers
  - No volumes
  - No atomic moves

## Cross-realm NFS access basically nonexistent

- No good way to map uid#47 from an unknown host

# AFS “rough edges”

## Locking

- Server refuses to keep a waiting-client list
- Client cache manager refuses to poll server
- Result
  - Lock returns “locked” or “try again later”
  - User program must invent polling strategy

## Chunk-based I/O

- No real consistency guarantees
- `close()` failures are surprising to many programs

# AFS “rough edges”

## ACLs apply to directories

- “Makes sense” if files in a directory logically should be protected the same way
  - Not always true
- Confuses users

## New directories inherit ACL from parent

- Easy to expose a whole tree accidentally
- What else to do?
  - No good solution known
  - (Though *complex* solutions exist...)



# AFS “rough edges”

## Small AFS installations are punitive

- **Step 1: Install Kerberos**
  - 2-3 servers
  - Inside locked boxes!
- **Step 2: Install ~4 AFS servers (2 data, 2 pt/vldb)**
- **Step 3: Explain Kerberos to your users**
  - Ticket expiration!
- **Step 4: Explain ACLs to your users**

# Summary - NFSv2

**Workgroup network file service**

**Any Unix machine can be a server (easily)**

**Machines can be both client & server**

- My files on my disk, your files on your disk
- Everybody in group can access all files

**Serious trust, scaling problems**

**“Stateless file server” model only partial success**

# Summary – AFS

**Worldwide file system**

**Good security, scaling**

**Global namespace**

**“Professional” server infrastructure per cell**

- Don't try this at home
- Only ~200 public AFS cells as of 2016-03-21
  - 9 are cmu.edu, ~15 are in Pittsburgh
  - These numbers are basically static since 2002 (!!!)

**“No write conflict” model only partial success**

# NFSv4 Changes

## Genuine authentication

- Each client RPC is authenticated via Kerberos

## ACL's

- “Like NTFS”, “Like POSIX”
- Include allow/deny, plus audit/alarm
- “Create file” is a separate ability from “create directory”
- Can specify different access for “network user” and “dialup user” (???)
- NFSv4 ACL's don't match any OS native ACL format
  - Server can approximate or reject any ACL you try to set

# NFSv4 Changes

## Compound RPC

- `open()+lock()+read()+write()+unlock()+close()` in one packet
- Can look up multiple pathname components
- Greatly speeds up performance on long-latency wide-area networks

## “Delegations” of file data & metadata to clients

- More general than AFS callbacks

## Better locking architecture

- Locks can persist across crashes
- Requires tricky “client identification” semantics

# NFSv4 Changes

## Other additions

- Replication of mostly-read-only trees
- “Redirect” support for file relocation
  - Tricky pathname-rewrite step

## NFSv4.2 in progress

- Multi-realm operation
- Parallel NFS

# Conclusions

## **NFS v2**

- **Goals limited to near-term achievability**

## **AFS**

- **Available-now large cells and cross-realm operation**

## **NFS v4**

- **Evolution may be a better strategy than revolution!**

# Further Reading

## NFS

- RFC 1094 for v2 (3/1989)
- RFC 1813 for v3 (6/1995)
- RFC 3530 for v4 (4/2003, not yet universally available)



# Further Reading

## AFS

- “The ITC Distributed File System: Principles and Design”, Proceedings of the 10th ACM Symposium on Operating System Principles, Dec. 1985, pp. 35-50.
- “Scale and Performance in a Distributed File System”, ACM Transactions on Computer Systems, Vol. 6, No. 1, Feb. 1988, pp. 51-81.
- IBM AFS User Guide, version 36
- <http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~help/afs/index.html>