

Hoare Logic: Proving Programs Correct

17-654/17-765

Analysis of Software Artifacts

Jonathan Aldrich

Reading: C.A.R. Hoare, An Axiomatic Basis for
Computer Programming

Some presentation ideas from a lecture by
K. Rustan M. Leino



Proofs using WHILE Semantics

(minor corrections from class to incorporate strengthened induction hypothesis)



Theorem: $([y \mapsto 1, x \mapsto n], \text{while } x > 1 \text{ do } y := y^*x; x := x-1)$
 $\rightarrow^* ([y \mapsto n!, x \mapsto 1], \text{skip})$

Proof: By induction on n. Strengthened induction hypothesis:

$([y \mapsto m, x \mapsto n], \text{while } x > 1 \text{ do } y := y^*x; x := x-1)$
 $\rightarrow^* ([y \mapsto m^*n!, x \mapsto 1], \text{skip})$

Base case (n=1):

$([y \mapsto m, x \mapsto 1], \text{while } x > 1 \text{ do } y := y^*x; x := x-1)$
 $\rightarrow ([y \mapsto m^*1!, x \mapsto 1], \text{skip})$

Inductive case (assume induction hypothesis for n-1):

$([y \mapsto m, x \mapsto n], \text{while } x > 1 \text{ do } y := y^*x; x := x-1)$
 $\rightarrow ([y \mapsto m, x \mapsto n], y := y^*x; x := x-1; \text{while } x > 1 \text{ do } y := y^*x; x := x-1)$
 $\rightarrow ([y \mapsto m^*n, x \mapsto n], x := x-1; \text{while } x > 1 \text{ do } y := y^*x; x := x-1)$
 $\rightarrow ([y \mapsto m^*n, x \mapsto n-1], \text{while } x > 1 \text{ do } y := y^*x; x := x-1)$
 $\rightarrow ([y \mapsto m^*n^*(n-1)!, x \mapsto 1], \text{skip}) \quad // \text{using induction hypothesis}$
 $\rightarrow ([y \mapsto m^*n!, x \mapsto 1], \text{skip}) \quad // \text{arithmetic simplification}$ □

How would you argue that this program is correct?



```
float sum(float *array, int length) {  
    float sum = 0.0;  
    int i = 0;  
    while (i < length) {  
        sum = sum + array[i];  
        i = i + 1;  
    }  
    return sum;  
}
```

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

3

Function Specifications



- Predicate: a boolean function over program state
 - $x=3$
 - $y > x$
 - $(x \neq 0) \Rightarrow (y+z = w)$
 - $s = \sum_{(i \in 1..n)} a[i]$
 - $\forall i \in 1..n . a[i] > a[i-1]$
 - true

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

4



Function Specifications

- Contract between client and implementation
 - Precondition:
 - A predicate describing the condition the function relies on for correct operation
 - Postcondition:
 - A predicate describing the condition the function establishes after correctly running
- Correctness with respect to the specification
 - If the client of a function fulfills the function's precondition, the function will execute to completion and when it terminates, the postcondition will be true
- What does the implementation have to fulfill if the client violates the precondition?
 - A: Nothing. It can do anything at all.

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

5



Function Specifications

```
/*@ requires len >= 0 && array.length = len
 @@
 @ ensures \result ==
 @         (\sum int j; 0 <= j && j < len; array[j])
 @*/
float sum(int array[], int len) {
    float sum = 0.0;
    int i = 0;
    while (i < length) {
        sum = sum + array[i];
        i = i + 1;
    }
    return sum;
}
```

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

6



Hoare Triples

- Formal reasoning about program correctness using pre- and postconditions
- Syntax: $\{P\} S \{Q\}$
 - P and Q are predicates
 - S is a program
- If we start in a state where P is true and execute S, S will terminate in a state where Q is true

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

7



Hoare Triple Examples

- $\{ \text{true} \} x := 5 \{ x=5 \}$
- $\{ x = y \} x := x + 3 \{ x = y + 3 \}$
- $\{ x > 0 \} x := x * 2 \{ x > -2 \}$
- $\{ x=a \} \text{if } (x < 0) \text{ then } x := -x \{ x=|a| \}$
- $\{ \text{false} \} x := 3 \{ x = 8 \}$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

8



Strongest Postconditions

- Here are a number of valid Hoare Triples:
 - $\{x = 5\} x := x * 2 \{ \text{true} \}$
 - $\{x = 5\} x := x * 2 \{ x > 0 \}$
 - $\{x = 5\} x := x * 2 \{ x = 10 \parallel x = 5 \}$
 - $\{x = 5\} x := x * 2 \{ x = 10 \}$
 - All are true, but this one is the most *useful*
 - $x=10$ is the *strongest postcondition*
- If $\{P\} S \{Q\}$ and for all Q' such that $\{P\} S \{Q'\}$, $Q \Rightarrow Q'$, then Q is the strongest postcondition of S with respect to P
 - check: $x = 10 \Rightarrow \text{true}$
 - check: $x = 10 \Rightarrow x > 0$
 - check: $x = 10 \Rightarrow x = 10 \parallel x = 5$
 - check: $x = 10 \Rightarrow x = 10$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

9



Weakest Preconditions

- Here are a number of valid Hoare Triples:
 - $\{x = 5 \&\& y = 10\} z := x / y \{ z < 1 \}$
 - $\{x < y \&\& y > 0\} z := x / y \{ z < 1 \}$
 - $\{y \neq 0 \&\& x / y < 1\} z := x / y \{ z < 1 \}$
 - All are true, but this one is the most *useful* because it allows us to invoke the program in the most general condition
 - $y \neq 0 \&\& x / y < 1$ is the *weakest precondition*
- If $\{P\} S \{Q\}$ and for all P' such that $\{P'\} S \{Q\}$, $P' \Rightarrow P$, then P is the weakest precondition $wp(S, Q)$ of S with respect to Q

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

10



Hoare Triples and Weakest Preconditions

- $\{P\} S \{Q\}$ holds if and only if $P \Rightarrow wp(S, Q)$
 - In other words, a Hoare Triple is still valid if the precondition is stronger than necessary, but not if it is too weak
- Question: Could we state a similar theorem for a strongest postcondition function?
 - e.g. $\{P\} S \{Q\}$ holds if and only if $sp(S, P) \Rightarrow Q$
 - A: Yes, but it's harder to compute

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

11



Hoare Logic Rules

- Assignment
 - $\{P\} x := 3 \{x + y > 0\}$
 - What is the weakest precondition P?
 - What is most general value of y such that $3 + y > 0$?
 - $y > -3$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

12



Hoare Logic Rules

- Assignment
 - $\{ P \} x := 3 \{ x + y > 0 \}$
 - What is the weakest precondition P?
- Assignment rule
 - $wp(x := E, P) = [E/x] P$
 - Resulting triple: $\{ [E/x] P \} x := E \{ P \}$
 - $[3 / x] (x + y > 0)$
 - $= (3) + y > 0$
 - $= y > -3$



Hoare Logic Rules

- Assignment
 - $\{ P \} x := 3^*y + z \{ x * y - z > 0 \}$
 - What is the weakest precondition P?
- Assignment rule
 - $wp(x := E, P) = [E/x] P$
 - $[3^*y+z / x] (x * y - z > 0)$
 - $= (3^*y+z) * y - z > 0$
 - $= 3^*y^2 + z^*y - z > 0$

Correctness of Assignment



- Use language semantics to show soundness of rule

- General soundness condition for $\{P\} S \{Q\}$

$$(\eta \vdash P \downarrow \text{true} \wedge (\eta, S) \mapsto^* (\eta', \text{skip})) \Rightarrow \eta' \vdash Q \downarrow \text{true}$$

- Specialization to assignment

- Hoare rule: $\{ [a/x] P \} x := a \{ P \}$

- Soundness condition:

$$(\eta \vdash [a/x] P \downarrow \text{true} \wedge (\eta, x:=a) \mapsto (\eta', \text{skip})) \Rightarrow \eta' \vdash P \downarrow \text{true}$$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

15

Correctness Proof



- To show:

$$(\eta \vdash [a/x] P \downarrow \text{true} \wedge (\eta, x:=a) \mapsto (\eta', \text{skip})) \Rightarrow \eta' \vdash P \downarrow \text{true}$$

- Prove more general property:

- Use assignment evaluation rule:

$$\frac{\eta \vdash a \downarrow v}{(\eta, x:=a) \mapsto (\eta[x \mapsto v], \text{skip})}$$

- Substitute v' for *true*:

$$(\eta \vdash [a/x] P \downarrow v' \wedge \eta \vdash a \downarrow v) \Rightarrow \eta[x \mapsto v] \vdash P \downarrow v'$$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

16



Correctness Proof

- $(\eta \vdash [a/x]P \downarrow v' \wedge \eta \vdash a \downarrow v) \Rightarrow \eta[x \mapsto v] \vdash P \downarrow v'$
- **Proof by induction on structure of P**
 - case n : then $v' = n$, and using big-step semantics we get
 $(\eta \vdash [a/x]n \downarrow n \wedge \eta \vdash a \downarrow v) \Rightarrow \eta[x \mapsto v] \vdash n \downarrow n$
 - case x : then $v' = v$, and using big-step semantics we get
 $(\eta \vdash [a/x]x \downarrow v \wedge \eta \vdash a \downarrow v) \Rightarrow \eta[x \mapsto v] \vdash x \downarrow v$
 - case $y \neq x$: then $v' = \eta(y)$, and using big-step semantics we get
 $(\eta \vdash [a/x]y \downarrow \eta(y) \wedge \eta \vdash a \downarrow v) \Rightarrow \eta[x \mapsto v] \vdash y \downarrow \eta(y)$
 - case $a' op a''$:
 - We use the induction hypotheses to get
 $(\eta \vdash [a/x]a' \downarrow v' \wedge \eta \vdash a \downarrow v) \Rightarrow \eta[x \mapsto v] \vdash a' \downarrow v'$
 - And similar for a'' , so that using big-step semantics we get
 $(\eta \vdash [a/x](a' op a'') \downarrow (v' op v'') \wedge \eta \vdash a \downarrow v) \Rightarrow \eta[x \mapsto v] \vdash (a' op a'') \downarrow (v' op v'')$
 - other cases are similar

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

17



Hoare Logic Rules

- **Sequence**
 - $\{ P \} x := x + 1; y := x + y \{ y > 5 \}$
 - What is the weakest precondition P ?
- **Sequence rule**
 - $wp(S; T, Q) = wp(S, wp(T, Q))$
 - $wp(x := x + 1; y := x + y, y > 5)$
 - $= wp(x := x + 1, wp(y := x + y, y > 5))$
 - $= wp(x := x + 1, x + y > 5)$
 - $= x + 1 + y > 5$
 - $= x + y > 4$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

18



Hoare Logic Rules

- Conditional
 - $\{ P \} \text{ if } x > 0 \text{ then } y := z \text{ else } y := -z \{ y > 5 \}$
 - What is the weakest precondition P?
- Conditional rule
 - $wp(\text{if } B \text{ then } S \text{ else } T, Q)$
 $= B \Rightarrow wp(S, Q) \text{ && } \neg B \Rightarrow wp(T, Q)$
 - $wp(\text{if } x > 0 \text{ then } y := z \text{ else } y := -z, y > 5)$
 - $x > 0 \Rightarrow wp(y := z, y > 5) \text{ && } x \leq 0 \Rightarrow wp(y := -z, y > 5)$
 - $x > 0 \Rightarrow z > 5 \text{ && } x \leq 0 \Rightarrow -z > 5$
 - $x > 0 \Rightarrow z > 5 \text{ && } x \leq 0 \Rightarrow z < -5$



Hoare Logic Rules

- Loops
 - $\{ P \} \text{ while } (i < x) f = f * i; i := i + 1 \{ f = x! \}$
 - What is the weakest precondition P?



Proving loops correct

- First consider *partial correctness*
 - The loop may not terminate, but if it does, the postcondition will hold
- $\{P\}$ while B do $S \{Q\}$
 - Find an invariant Inv such that:
 - $P \Rightarrow Inv$
 - The invariant is initially true
 - $\{ Inv \&& B \} S \{Inv\}$
 - Each execution of the loop preserves the invariant
 - $(Inv \&& \neg B) \Rightarrow Q$
 - The invariant and the loop exit condition imply the postcondition
 - **Why do we need each condition?**

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

21



Loop Example

- Prove array sum correct

$\{ N \geq 0 \}$

$j := 0;$

$s := 0;$

while ($j < N$) do

$j := j + 1;$

$s := s + a[j];$

end

$\{ s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < N \bullet a[i]) \}$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

22



Loop Example

- Prove array sum correct
- ```
{ N ≥ 0 }
j := 0;
s := 0;
{ 0 ≤ j ≤ N && s = (Σi | 0 ≤ i < j • a[i]) }
while (j < N) do
 { 0 ≤ j ≤ N && s = (Σi | 0 ≤ i < j • a[i]) && j < N}
 j := j + 1;
 s := s + a[j];
 { 0 ≤ j ≤ N && s = (Σi | 0 ≤ i < j • a[i]) }
end
{ s = (Σi | 0 ≤ i < N • a[i]) }
```

Analysis of Software Artifacts -  
Spring 2006

23



## Proof Obligations

- Invariant is initially true
- ```
{ N ≥ 0 }
j := 0;
s := 0;
{ 0 ≤ j ≤ N && s = (Σi | 0 ≤ i < j • a[i]) }
```
- Invariant is maintained
- ```
{ 0 ≤ j ≤ N && s = (Σi | 0 ≤ i < j • a[i]) && j < N}
j := j + 1;
s := s + a[j];
{ 0 ≤ j ≤ N && s = (Σi | 0 ≤ i < j • a[i]) }
```
- Invariant and exit condition implies postcondition
- ```
0 ≤ j ≤ N && s = (Σi | 0 ≤ i < j • a[i]) && j ≥ N
⇒ s = (Σi | 0 ≤ i < N • a[i])
```

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

24



Proof Obligations

- Invariant is initially true
 $\{ N \geq 0 \}$
 $\{ 0 \leq 0 \leq N \And 0 = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < 0 \cdot a[i]) \} // by assignment rule$
 $j := 0;$
 $\{ 0 \leq j \leq N \And 0 = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \cdot a[i]) \} // by assignment rule$
 $s := 0;$
 $\{ 0 \leq j \leq N \And s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \cdot a[i]) \}$
- Need to show that:
 $(N \geq 0) \Rightarrow (0 \leq 0 \leq N \And 0 = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < 0 \cdot a[i]))$
= $(N \geq 0) \Rightarrow (0 \leq N \And 0 = 0) // 0 \leq 0 is true, empty sum is 0$
= $(N \geq 0) \Rightarrow (0 \leq N) // 0=0 is true, P \And true is P$
= **true**

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

25



Proof Obligations

- Invariant is maintained
 $\{ 0 \leq j \leq N \And s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \cdot a[i]) \And j < N \}$
 $\{ 0 \leq j + 1 \leq N \And s + a[j+1] = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j+1 \cdot a[i]) \} // by assignment rule$
 $j := j + 1;$
 $\{ 0 \leq j \leq N \And s + a[j] = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j+1 \cdot a[i]) \} // by assignment rule$
 $s := s + a[j];$
 $\{ 0 \leq j \leq N \And s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \cdot a[i]) \}$
- Need to show that:
 $(0 \leq j \leq N \And s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \cdot a[i]) \And j < N)$
= $\Rightarrow (0 \leq j + 1 \leq N \And s + a[j+1] = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j+1 \cdot a[i]))$
= $(0 \leq j < N \And s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \cdot a[i]))$
= $\Rightarrow (-1 \leq j < N \And s + a[j+1] = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j+1 \cdot a[i])) // simplify bounds of j$
= $(0 \leq j < N \And s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \cdot a[i]))$
= $\Rightarrow (-1 \leq j < N \And s + a[j+1] = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \cdot a[i]) + a[j]) // separate last element$
// we have a problem – we need a[j+1] and a[j] to cancel out

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

26



Where's the error?

- Prove array sum correct

{ $N \geq 0$ }

$j := 0;$

$s := 0;$

while ($j < N$) do

$j := j + 1;$

$s := s + a[j];$

Need to add element
before incrementing j

end

{ $s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < N \bullet a[i])$ }

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

27



Corrected Code

- Prove array sum correct

{ $N \geq 0$ }

$j := 0;$

$s := 0;$

while ($j < N$) do

$s := s + a[j];$

$j := j + 1;$

end

{ $s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < N \bullet a[i])$ }

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

28



Proof Obligations

- Invariant is maintained

$$\{0 \leq j \leq N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]) \&& j < N\}$$

$$\{0 \leq j + 1 \leq N \&& s+a[j] = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j + 1 \bullet a[i])\} \quad // by assignment rule$$

$$s := s + a[j];$$

$$\{0 \leq j + 1 \leq N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j + 1 \bullet a[i])\} \quad // by assignment rule$$

$$j := j + 1;$$

$$\{0 \leq j \leq N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i])\}$$
- Need to show that:

$$(0 \leq j \leq N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]) \&& j < N)$$

$$\Rightarrow (0 \leq j + 1 \leq N \&& s+a[j] = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j + 1 \bullet a[i]))$$

$$= (0 \leq j < N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]))$$

$$\Rightarrow (-1 \leq j < N \&& s+a[j] = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j + 1 \bullet a[i])) \quad // simplify bounds of j$$

$$= (0 \leq j < N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]))$$

$$\Rightarrow (-1 \leq j < N \&& s+a[j] = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]) + a[j]) \quad // separate last part of sum$$

$$= (0 \leq j < N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]))$$

$$\Rightarrow (-1 \leq j < N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i])) \quad // subtract a[j] from both sides$$

$$= \text{true} \quad // 0 \leq j \Rightarrow -1 \leq j$$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

29



Proof Obligations

- Invariant and exit condition implies postcondition

$$0 \leq j \leq N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]) \&& j \geq N$$

$$\Rightarrow s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < N \bullet a[i])$$

$$= 0 \leq j \&& j = N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i])$$

$$\Rightarrow s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < N \bullet a[i])$$

$$\quad // because (j \leq N \&& j \geq N) = (j = N)$$

$$= 0 \leq N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < N \bullet a[i]) \Rightarrow s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < N \bullet a[i])$$

$$\quad // by substituting N for j, since j = N$$

$$= \text{true} \quad // because P \&& Q \Rightarrow Q$$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

30



Invariant Intuition

- For code without loops, we are simulating execution directly
 - We prove one Hoare Triple for each statement, and each statement is executed once
- For code with loops, we are doing *one* proof of correctness for *multiple* loop iterations
 - Don't know how many iterations there will be
 - Need our proof to cover all of them
 - The invariant expresses a *general* condition that is true for every execution, but is still strong enough to give us the postcondition we need
 - This tension between generality and precision can make coming up with loop invariants hard

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

31



Total Correctness for Loops

- $\{P\}$ while B do S $\{Q\}$
- Partial correctness:
 - Find an invariant Inv such that:
 - $P \Rightarrow \text{Inv}$
 - The invariant is initially true
 - $\{\text{Inv} \& \& B\} S \{\text{Inv}\}$
 - Each execution of the loop preserves the invariant
 - $(\text{Inv} \& \& \neg B) \Rightarrow Q$
 - The invariant and the loop exit condition imply the postcondition
 - Total correctness
 - Loop will terminate
 - How to show this?

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

32



Total Correctness for Loops

- $\{P\}$ while B do $S \{Q\}$
- Partial correctness:
 - Find an invariant Inv such that:
 - $P \Rightarrow \text{Inv}$
 - The invariant is initially true
 - $\{\text{Inv} \&& B\} S \{\text{Inv}\}$
 - Each execution of the loop preserves the invariant
 - $(\text{Inv} \&& \neg B) \Rightarrow Q$
 - The invariant and the loop exit condition imply the postcondition
 - Termination bound
 - Find a *variant function* v such that:
 - $(\text{Inv} \&& B) \Rightarrow v > 0$
 - The variant function evaluates to a finite integer value greater than zero at the beginning of the loop
 - $\{\text{Inv} \&& B \&& v = V\} S \{v < V\}$
 - The value of the variant function decreases each time the loop body executes (here V is a constant)

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

33



Total Correctness Example

```
while (j < N) do
     $\{0 \leq j \leq N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]) \&& j < N\}$ 
     $s := s + a[j];$ 
     $j := j + 1;$ 
     $\{0 \leq j \leq N \&& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i])\}$ 
end
```

- Variant function for this loop?
 - $N-j$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

34

Guessing Variant Functions



- Loops with an index
 - $N \pm i$
 - Applies if you always add or always subtract a constant, and if you exit the loop when the index reaches some constant
 - Use $N-i$ if you are incrementing i , $N+i$ if you are decrementing i
 - Set N such that $N \pm i \leq 0$ at loop exit
- Other loops
 - Find an expression that is an upper bound on the number of iterations left in the loop

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

35

Additional Proof Obligations



- Variant function for this loop: $N-j$
- To show: variant function initially positive
 $(0 \leq j \leq N \ \&\& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]) \ \&\& j < N)$
 $\Rightarrow N-j > 0$
- To show: variant function is decreasing
 $\{0 \leq j \leq N \ \&\& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]) \ \&\& j < N \ \&\& N-j = V\}$
 $s := s + a[j];$
 $j := j + 1;$
 $\{N-j < V\}$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

36

Additional Proof Obligations



- To show: variant function initially positive
 $(0 \leq j \leq N \ \&\& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]) \ \&\& j < N)$
 $\Rightarrow N-j > 0$
- = $(0 \leq j \leq N \ \&\& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]) \ \&\& j < N)$
 $\Rightarrow N > j \quad // added j to both sides$
- = **true** $// (N > j) = (j < N), P \ \&\& Q \Rightarrow P$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

37

Additional Proof Obligations



- To show: variant function is decreasing
 $\{0 \leq j \leq N \ \&\& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]) \ \&\& j < N \ \&\& N-j = V\}$
 $\{N-(j+1) < V\} \quad // by assignment$
 $s := s + a[j];$
 $\{N-(j+1) < V\} \quad // by assignment$
 $j := j + 1;$
 $\{N-j < V\}$
- Need to show:
 $(0 \leq j \leq N \ \&\& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]) \ \&\& j < N \ \&\& N-j = V)$
 $\Rightarrow (N-(j+1) < V)$
Assume $0 \leq j \leq N \ \&\& s = (\sum i \mid 0 \leq i < j \bullet a[i]) \ \&\& j < N \ \&\& N-j = V$
By weakening we have $N-j = V$
Therefore $N-j-1 < V$
But this is equivalent to $N-(j+1) < V$, so we are done.

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

38



Factorial

```
{ N ≥ 1 }  
k := 1  
f := 1  
while (k < N) do  
    f := f * k  
    k := k + 1  
end  
{ f = N! }
```

- Loop invariant?
- Variant function?

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

39



Factorial

```
{ N ≥ 1 }  
k := 1  
f := 1  
while (k < N) do  
    k := k + 1  
    f := f * k  
end  
{ f = N! }
```

- Loop invariant?
 - $f = k!$ && $0 \leq k \leq N$
- Variant function?
 - $N-k$

Need to increment k
before multiplying

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

40



Factorial

```
{ N ≥ 1 }
{ 1 = 1! && 0 ≤ 1 ≤ N }
k := 1
{ 1 = k! && 0 ≤ k ≤ N }
f := 1
{ f = k! && 0 ≤ k ≤ N }
while (k < N) do
    { f = k! && 0 ≤ k ≤ N && k < N && N-k = V}
    { f*(k+1) = (k+1)! && 0 ≤ k+1 ≤ N && N-(k+1) < V}
    k := k + 1
    { f*k = k! && 0 ≤ k ≤ N && N-k < V}
    f := f * k
    { f = k! && 0 ≤ k ≤ N && N-k < V}
end
{ f = k! && 0 ≤ k ≤ N && k ≥ N}
{ f = N! }
```

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

41



Factorial Obligations (1)

```
(N ≥ 1) ⇒ (1 = 1! && 0 ≤ 1 ≤ N)
= (N ≥ 1) ⇒ (1 ≤ N) // because 1 = 1! and 0 ≤ 1
= true // because (N ≥ 1) = (1 ≤ N)
```

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

42



Factorial Obligations (2)

```
(f = k! && 0 ≤ k ≤ N && k < N && N-k = V)
⇒ (f*(k+1) = (k+1)! && 0 ≤ k+1 ≤ N && N-(k+1) < V)
= (f = k! && 0 ≤ k < N && N-k = V)
⇒ (f*(k+1) = k!*(k+1) && 0 ≤ k+1 ≤ N && N-k-1 < V)
// by simplification and (k+1)! = k!*(k+1)
```

Assume (f = k! && 0 ≤ k < N && N-k = V)

Check each RHS clause:

- $(f*(k+1) = k!*(k+1))$
= $(f = k!)$ // division by (k+1) (nonzero by assumption)
= true // by assumption
- $0 \leq k+1$
= true // by assumption that $0 \leq k$
- $k+1 \leq N$
= true // by assumption that $k < N$
- $N-k-1 < V$
= $N-k-1 < N-k$ // by assumption that $N-k = V$
= $N-1 < N$ // by addition of k
= true // by properties of <

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

43



Factorial Obligations (3)

$(f = k! && 0 \leq k \leq N \&\& k \geq N) \Rightarrow (f = N!)$

Assume $f = k! && 0 \leq k \leq N \&\& k \geq N$

Then $k=N$ by $k \leq N \&\& k \geq N$

So $f = N!$ by substituting $k=N$

Analysis of Software Artifacts -
Spring 2006

44