

Chapter 4 Dynamics

Part 3

4.4 Aspects of Linear Systems Theory4.5 Predictive Modelling and SystemIdentification

Carnegie Mellon THE ROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Introduction

- Nonlinear dynamical systems are the closest thing to the engineering "theory of everything."
- Applies to:
 - growth of bacteria
 - chemical reactions
 - financial markets
 - motion of the planets
- Most important and general model of a mobile robot.



Outline

- 4.3 Aspects of Linear Systems Theory
 - <u>4.4.1 Linear Time Invariant Systems</u>
 - 4.3.2 State Space Representation of Linear Systems
 - 4.3.3 Nonlinear Dynamical Systems
 - 4.3.4 Perturbative Dynamics of Linear Systems
 - Summary
- 4.5 Predictive Modelling and System Identification

Linear Time Invariant ODE s

• These are of the form:

$$\frac{d^{(n)}y}{dt^n} + a_{n-1}\frac{d^{(n-1)}y}{dt^{n-1}} + \dots + \frac{dy}{dt} + y = u(t)$$

- Establishes a relationship between system state x(t) and its derivatives.
 - Implies that such a system will move (even when u(t) is not present)
 - Called a dynamical system

Forcing Function

Control"

First Order System

• Behavior governed by:

"Time Constant"
$$\tau \frac{dy}{dt} + y = u(t)$$

• Consider the discrete time equivalent:

$$\frac{\tau}{\Delta t}(y_{k+1} - y_k) + y_{k+1} = u_{k+1}$$

$$y_{k+1} = y_k + \frac{\Delta t}{\tau} (u_{k+1} - y_{k+1})$$

 Hence output changes by an amount proportional to the distance-to-go.

THE ROBOTICS IN

Step Response

- Useful to describe behavior of a few special inputs.
- Step response is response to constant input applied for t >= 0.
- Unforced response. Assume
- Substitute into ODE:
- Characteristic equation:
- The roots of this equation play a crucial role in determining system behavior.

$$\tau \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} + y = u(t)$$

$$v(t) = e^{st}$$

$$e^{st}(\tau s+1) = 0$$

$$\tau s + 1 = 0$$

$$s = -1/\tau$$



Solution

- Unforced solution: $y(t) = Ae^{-t/\tau}$
- Forced solution:

$$y(t) = y_{ss}$$

Complete solution:

$$y(t) = Ae^{-t/\tau} + y_{ss}$$

- For y(0) = 0 we must have $A = -y_{ss}$
- Total Solution:

$$y(t) = y_{ss}(1 - e^{-t/\tau})$$



Solution



• When $t=\tau$, the system has moved ...

1 - 1/e or 63%

• ... of the total distance to the goal.



Laplace Transform

- Extraordinarily powerful for manipulating compounded ODEs intuitively.
- Definition: $y(s) = \mathcal{L}[y(t)] = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-st} y(t) dt$
- s is a "complex frequency"

$$s = \sigma + j\omega$$

• The kernel is a damped sinusoid:

$$e^{-st} = e^{-\sigma t}e^{-j\omega t} = e^{-\sigma t}[\cos(\omega t) - j\sin(\omega t)]$$

Carnegie Me

THE ROBOTICS INST

Laplace Transform

• For a particular value of s:

$$y(s) = \mathcal{L}[y(t)] = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} y(t) dt$$

- is a (function) dot product with a damped sinusoid.
- y(s) encodes the projections for every value of s.
- Its just like a Fourier transform but for complex frequency s.



Derivatives

- Most important property for our purpose: $\mathcal{L}[\dot{y}(t)] = s\mathcal{L}[y(t)] = sy(s)$
- Good news!
 - Differentiation in the time domain is equivalent to multiplication by s.
- Bad news!
 - This is why differentiation amplifies noise.



Transforming ODEs

• Recall the first order system ODE

$$\tau \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} + y = u(t)$$

• Transform the ODE itself:

ODEs in the time domain become <u>algebraic eqns</u> in the Laplace domain

 $\tau s y(s) + y(s) = u(s)$

Transfer Function

• Defined as the ratio of output to input:

$$T(s) = \frac{u(s)}{y(s)} = \frac{1}{1 + \tau s}$$
 Characteristic polynomial!

- The roots of the characteristic polynomial always appear in the denominator of the transfer function.
- Known as the poles of the system.
- An n-th order ODE has n poles.



Block Diagrams

• ODEs can be represented graphically as block diagrams.

$$u \xrightarrow{+} 1/\tau \xrightarrow{y} \int dt \longrightarrow y$$

$$u \xrightarrow{+} 1/\tau \longrightarrow 1/s \longrightarrow y$$

 Top is time domain, bottom is Laplace domain.

$$\tau \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} + y = u(t)$$



Special Block Diagram

• This diagram: *u*

- Is equivalent to this diagram
- Derivation:

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\$$

So...

$$y(s) = G(s)(u(s) - H(s)y(s))$$

$$y(s)(1 + G(s)H(s)) = G(s)u(s)$$

 $T(s) = \frac{G(s)}{1 + G(s)H(s)}$

$$\frac{u}{1+\tau s} \xrightarrow{y}$$

Carnegie Mellon THE ROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Frequency Response

- Expresses the gain of the transfer function as function of frequency:
- Substitute into T(s): $s = j\omega$

• For 1st order system:

$$T(j\omega) = \frac{u(j\omega)}{x(j\omega)} = \frac{1}{1 + \tau(j\omega)}$$
$$|T(j\omega)| = \frac{1}{|1 + \tau(j\omega)|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\tau\omega)^2}}$$



Frequency Response



- Huh? Decibels?
 - $dB = 20 \log 10(amplitude) = 10 \log 10(power)$

Second Order System

• One physical manifestation is a damped oscillator:



Newton's second law:

$$m\ddot{y} = f - c\dot{y} - ky$$

• Rewrite:

Physicists form

$$\ddot{y} + 2\zeta \omega_0 \dot{y} + \omega_0^2 y = u(u)$$

 $\ddot{y} + \frac{c}{m}\dot{y} + \frac{k}{m}y = \frac{f}{m}$

Mathematicians form

Carnegie Mellon THE ROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Simulation

• Simulate with:

$$\begin{aligned} \ddot{y}_{k+1} &= u_{k+1} - 2\zeta \omega_0 \dot{x}_k - \omega_0^2 x_k \\ \dot{y}_{k+1} &= \dot{y}_{k+1} + \ddot{y}_{k+1} \Delta t \\ y_{k+1} &= y_{k+1} + \dot{y}_{k+1} \Delta t \end{aligned}$$

• Truthoid: You can teach yourself controls if you can write a dynamic simulator like the above.

2nd Order Step Response



2nd Order Step Response

• Take Laplace transform of 2nd order ODE:

$$\ddot{y} + 2\zeta\omega_0\dot{y} + \omega_0^2y = u(t)$$
$$s^2y(s) + 2\zeta\omega_0sy(s) + \omega_0^2y(s) = u(s)$$

• Transfer function:

$$T(s) = \frac{y(s)}{u(s)} = \frac{1}{s^2 + 2\zeta\omega_0 s + \omega_0^2}$$

• Behavior depends on the roots of the characteristic equation.

$$s = -\zeta \omega_0 \pm \omega_0 \sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1} = \omega_0 (-\zeta \pm \sqrt{\zeta^2 - 1})$$

THE ROBOTICS IN

General 1st Order Solution

- The following integrating function exists:

$$\phi(t, t_0) = \exp\left[\int_{t_0}^{t} f(\tau) d\tau\right]$$

- The general solution therefore is: t $x(t) = \phi(t, t_0)x(t_0) + \int \phi(t, \tau)g(\tau)u(\tau)d\tau$
- When f(t) is constant:

$$\phi(t, t_0) = \exp[f \cdot (t - t_0)] = e^{f \cdot (t - t_0)}$$

Carnegie Mellon THE ROBOTICS INSTITUTE

 t_0

Outline

- 4.3 Aspects of Linear Systems Theory
 - 4.4.1 Linear Time Invariant Systems
 - <u>4.3.2 State Space Representation of Linear Systems</u>
 - 4.3.3 Nonlinear Dynamical Systems
 - 4.3.4 Perturbative Dynamics of Linear Systems
 - Summary
- 4.5 Predictive Modelling and System Identification

State Space

 Remember the special representation used for Runge Kutta?

$$\underline{\dot{x}}(t) = \underline{f}(\underline{x}(t), \underline{u}(t), t)$$

- State space = a minimal set of variables which can be used to predict future state given inputs:
 - Number of initial conditions in a differential equation.

Conversion of an LTI ODE

• Consider the second order LTI ODE:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 y}{\mathrm{d}t^2} + a_1 \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\mathrm{d}t} + a_0 y = u(t)$$

• Choose the state variables to be:

$$x_1(t) = y(t)$$
$$x_2(t) = \dot{y}(t) = \dot{x}_1(t)$$



Conversion of an LTI ODE

• Rewrite the second and the original ODE as:

$$\dot{x}_1(t) = x_2(t)$$

$$\dot{x}_2(t) = -a_1 x_2(t) - a_0 x_1(t) + u(t)$$

• This is of the form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

$$\underline{\dot{x}}(t) = F\underline{x}(t) + Gu(t)$$



Example: Damped Oscillator

• By inspection: $\ddot{y} + 2\zeta \omega_0 \dot{y} + \omega_0^2 y = u(t)$

$$a_1 = 2\zeta\omega_0 \quad a_1 = \omega_0^2$$

• Hence, the system is of the form:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\omega_0^2 & -2\zeta\omega_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)$$

• Where x1 is the position and x2 is the velocity.

General Linear Dynamical Systems

• State Equations:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{G}\mathbf{u}(t)$$
$$\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{u}(t)$$

THE ROBOTICS IN





Carnegie Mellon THE ROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Vector Case – Constant Coefficient

 When the system dynamics matrix F(t) is constant wrt time:

$$\Phi(t, \tau) = e^{F(t-\tau)}$$
Matrix
Exponential

• Recall: by definition (for any matrix A):

$$e^{A} = \exp(A) = I + A + \frac{A^{2}}{2!} + \frac{A^{3}}{3!} + \dots$$

Solution – Vector Case

 Knowing the transition matrix is equivalent to knowing the solution because:

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \Phi(t, t_0) \underline{\mathbf{x}}(t_0) + \int \Phi(t, \tau) G(\tau) \underline{\mathbf{u}}(\tau) d\tau$$

$$t_0$$
Vector
Onvolution
Integral

• This is the general solution to:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{F}(t)\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{G}(t)\mathbf{u}(t)$$

Carnegie Mellon THE ROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Outline

- 4.3 Aspects of Linear Systems Theory
 - 4.4.1 Linear Time Invariant Systems
 - 4.3.2 State Space Representation of Linear Systems
 - <u>4.3.3 Nonlinear Dynamical Systems</u>
 - 4.3.4 Perturbative Dynamics of Linear Systems
 - Summary
- 4.5 Predictive Modelling and System Identification

Nonlinear Dynamical System

• Takes the form:





Solutions

- Closed form solutions need not exist at all for nonlinear equations.
- With computers though, we can always integrate like so:

t

$$\underline{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \underline{\mathbf{x}}(0) + \int_{0}^{t} f(\underline{\mathbf{x}}(\tau), \underline{\mathbf{u}}(\tau), \tau) d\tau$$

 This case subsumes the linear case so anything true of nonlinear systems is true of a linear one.
 Including the next few slides.....

THE ROBOTICS IN

Relevant Properties

• Homogeneity (for some constant k):

$$\underline{f}[\underline{x}(t), k \times \underline{u}(t)] = k^{n} \times f[\underline{x}(t), \underline{u}(t)]$$

- We say system is "homogeneous to degree n wrt u(t)".
- u(t) must occur in f() as a factor like so:

$$\underline{f}[\underline{x}(t), \underline{u}(t)] = \underline{u}^{n}(t)g(\underline{x}(t))$$

Carnegie M

THE ROBOTICS INS

 As a result, all terms of the Taylor series of f() over u(t) of order less than n vanish.

Drift Free

• All homogeneous systems are drift free. Their zero input response is zero.

$$\underline{u}(t) = 0 \Longrightarrow \underline{x}(t) = 0$$

 Such systems can be stopped instantly by nulling the inputs.

• Similar to "drift-free" designation in control theory.
Reversibility & Monotonicity

Odd degree homogeneity implies a reversible system.

$$\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{2}(t) = -\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{1}(\tau - t) \Rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{2}(t) = -\underline{\mathbf{f}}_{1}(\tau - t)$$

Even degree homogeneity implies monotonicity.
 Sign of derivative irrelevant to sign of u().

$$\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{2}(t) = -\underline{\mathbf{u}}_{1}(t) \Rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{2}(t) = \underline{\mathbf{f}}_{1}(t)$$

Outline

- 4.3 Aspects of Linear Systems Theory
 - 4.4.1 Linear Time Invariant Systems
 - 4.3.2 State Space Representation of Linear Systems
 - 4.3.3 Nonlinear Dynamical Systems
 - <u>4.3.4 Perturbative Dynamics of Linear Systems</u>
 - Summary
- 4.5 Predictive Modelling and System Identification

Linearizing a Nonlinear Diff Eq

• Consider again:

 $\dot{\mathbf{x}}(t) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t)$

Carnegie Me

THE ROBOTICS INS

- Suppose some u(t) generates some solution x(t). This is the "reference trajectory".
- Suppose we want a solution for: perturbed input $\underline{u}'(t) = \underline{u}(t) + \delta \underline{u}(t)$ perturbation • The solution can be written as: perturbed state $\underline{x}'(t) = \underline{x}(t) + \delta \underline{x}(t)$ state perturbation
- Defines the state perturbation dx(t) as the difference in solutions.

Linearizing a Nonlinear Diff Eq

• If the perturbed solution is a solution, then:

 $\underline{\dot{x}}'(t) = \underline{\dot{x}}(t) + \delta \underline{\dot{x}}(t) = \underline{f}[\underline{x}(t) + \delta \underline{x}(t), \underline{u}(t) + \delta \underline{u}(t), t]$

 Write a truncated Taylor Series at each point in time for the derivative f():

 $\underline{f}[\underline{x}(t) + \delta \underline{x}(t), \underline{u}(t) + \delta \underline{u}(t), t] \approx \underline{f}[\underline{x}(t), \underline{u}(t), t] + F(t)\delta \underline{x}(t) + G(t)\delta \underline{u}(t)$

• Where the two new matrices are the Jacobians:

$$F(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{x}} \underline{f} \bigg|_{\underline{x}, \underline{u}} \qquad G(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{u}} \underline{f} \bigg|_{\underline{x}, \underline{u}}$$



Linearizing a Nonlinear Diff Eq

• At this point we have:

 $\dot{\underline{x}}(t) + \delta \dot{\underline{x}}(t) = \underline{f}(\underline{x}(t), \underline{u}(t), t) + F(t)\delta \underline{x}(t) + G(t)\delta \underline{u}(t)$ • Recall the original differential equation: $\dot{\underline{x}}(t) = f(\underline{x}(t), \underline{u}(t), t)$

• Cancel it from the top one:

$$\delta \dot{\underline{x}}(t) = F(t)\delta \underline{x}(t) + G(t)\delta \underline{u}(t)$$
Linear
Perturbation
Equation

 If you know the Jacobians, you know the perturbative dynamics – the dynamics of error.

Carnegie Me

THE ROBOTICS INST

 If you know the transition matrix of that, you know the closed form solution to error dynamics.

Next year

- Move slide 60 (or so) on perturbative dynamics od State Est 1 here. The example will be used later in State Est1 to derive Integrated Heading error dynamics in dead reckoning.
- Also move slide 61, 62 on transition matrix.



Outline

- 4.3 Aspects of Linear Systems Theory
 - 4.4.1 Linear Time Invariant Systems
 - 4.3.2 State Space Representation of Linear Systems
 - 4.3.3 Nonlinear Dynamical Systems
 - 4.3.4 Perturbative Dynamics of Linear Systems
 - <u>Summary</u>
- 4.5 Predictive Modelling and System Identification

Summary

- Nonlinear dynamical systems cannot be solved in closed form in general.
- The general solution for linear, even time-varying, dynamical systems exists.

– Solution rests on Transition matrix

- Perturbative techniques linearize nonlinear differential equations
 - makes them solveable.



Outline

- 4.3 Aspects of Linear Systems Theory
- <u>4.5 Predictive Modelling and System Identification</u>



Introduction – the "ives"

- Mobile robots must often be:
 - Deliberative decide among options
 - Perceptive aware of the surroundings
 - Reactive capable of fast action
- They must be both
 - smart and
 - fast
- ... doing that involves tradeoffs.



Role of Dynamics

- In support of the above, need to be ...
 - Predictive able to project consequences
 - Active able to execute a plan of action
- You need dynamics models for both of these.



Predictive Modeling

- Must model ...
 - Information processing and propagation.
 - Physical vehicle / environment interaction.

- Often need to map ...
 - what you can do (exert forces)
 - what you care about (trajectory through space).
- Latter requires integrating the dynamics.

Outline

- 4.3 Aspects of Linear Systems Theory
- 4.5 Predictive Modelling and System Identification
 - <u>4.5.1 Braking</u>
 - 4.5.2 Turning
 - 4.5.3 Vehicle Rollover
 - 4.5.4 Wheel Slip and Yaw Stability
 - 4.5.5 Parameterization and Linearization of Dynamic Models
 - 4.5.6 System Identification
 - Summary

Reasons for Braking

- A) Last resort response to problems.
 - Collision is imminent due to
 - no solution or
 - inadequate planning or control.
- B) Deliberately slow down.
 - On slopes
 - The motion is finished.
 - In order to turn around.



Avoiding Collision

Requires precise knowledge of the time and space required to react.

Why Care about time?

- These depend heavily on:
 - Speed (initial KE)
 - Friction (work done by friction)
 - Slope (change in PE)



Braking Model

- Assume brakes are applied instantly:
- Free body diagram:

- Friction and Weight are coupled.

• Do heavier vehicles take longer to stop?



THE ROBOTICS I

Mobile Robotics - Prof Alonzo Kelly, CMU RI

Simple Model

- Equate work done by external forces to initial Kinetic energy (assume it is all used up).
- $\frac{1}{2}mv^2 = \mu_s mgs_{brake}$ • Solve for braking distance:

$$s_{brake} = \frac{v^2}{2\mu_s g}$$

• Do heavier vehicles take more distance to stop?

Tangent: Falling

• Do heavier objects fall faster?



Leaning Tower

Impact of Slopes

 Again equate work done to initial KE:

$$\frac{1}{2}mv^{2} = (\mu_{s}mgc\theta - mgs\theta)s_{brake}$$

- Solve for distance:
- Effective coefficient of friction:
- Then, simply:

$$f = \mu_{z}F_{n} = \underbrace{\mu_{z}g}_{mgc\theta}$$
orake
$$g_{g}\theta = \frac{v^{2}}{2g(\mu_{s}c\theta - s\theta)}$$

$$\mu_{eff} = (\mu_{s}c\theta - s\theta)$$

$$s_{b rake} = \frac{v^{2}}{2\mu_{eff}g}$$

THE ROBOTICS INST

Simple Model on Slopes

 Critical angle exists beyond which gravity overcomes friction....

$$\mu_{s}c\theta - s\theta = 0 \Longrightarrow \tan\theta = \mu_{s}$$

Atan() is highly nonlinear.



General Case

• More generally:

$$\int_{0}^{s} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{ds} = \frac{1}{2}mv^{2}$$

- Robots can compute this.
 - The terrain shape is known.
 - Keep integrating until KE exhausted.
 - Final value of s is stopping distance.



Rough Heuristic for Slopes

• Make small angle assumptions:

 $c\theta = 1 s\theta = \theta$

• Change in effective coefficient:



 $\mu_{eff}(\theta) = (\mu_s c\theta - s\theta) \approx \mu_s - \theta$

• Ratio of sloped to level stopping distance:

$$\frac{s_{\theta}}{s_{0}} = \left[\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\theta}{\mu_{s}}}\right] \approx \left[1 + \frac{\theta}{\mu_{s}}\right]$$

• Stopping distance increases or decreases by the factor θ/μ_s

Outline

- 4.3 Aspects of Linear Systems Theory
- 4.5 Predictive Modelling and System Identification
 - -4.5.1 Braking
 - <u>4.5.2 Turning</u>
 - 4.5.3 Vehicle Rollover
 - 4.5.4 Wheel Slip and Yaw Stability
 - 4.5.5 Parameterization and Linearization of Dynamic Models
 - 4.5.6 System Identification
 - Summary

Turning

- Goal is to cause terrain to exert a moment on the vehicle
 - By 3rd law, vehicle must exert a moment on the terrain.
- May actuate:
 - Wheel steering (Ackerman)
 - Wheel speeds (Differential, skid)



Simple Motion Prediction

• For small steer angles:

$$\kappa(t) = \alpha(t)$$

Integrate the differential equations using "back substitution":

The mapping from steer angle and velocity onto the path the robot follows. Assumes flat terrain.

$$\theta(t) = \theta_0 + \int V(t)\alpha(t)dt$$
$$x(t) = x_0 + \int V(t)\cos(\theta(t))dt$$
$$\rho$$
$$y(t) = y_0 + \int V(t)\sin(\theta(t))dt$$

Note <u>mapping from</u> <u>inputs to outputs are</u> <u>integrals.</u>

Carnegie Me

THE ROBOTICS INST

 Errors in steering are integrated twice to determine errors in predicted position.

Reverse Turn @ Multiple Speeds

- A curvature step is the most ambitious maneuver.
- Not modeling steering response leads to collisions with obstacles above 3.5 m/sec speed.



- "Reverse Turn"
- One Curvature
- Various Speeds



Recall: Speed Coupling

- Due to vehicle dynamics......
- The path followed is generally a function of speed.
- Therefore, they must be estimated together.



Reverse Turn @ Multiple Curvatures

- Different steering commands. Same speed (5 m/s).
- It takes a long distance to cross the forward (y) axis.
 - Its longer the faster you are going.



"Reverse Turn"

- One Speed
- Various Curvatures

Swerving

• Recall our typical 2D equations of motion:

$$\dot{\psi} = \kappa v$$
$$\psi = \psi_0 + \int v(t)\kappa(t)dt$$

$$x = x_0 + \int v(t) \cos(\psi(t)) dt$$

$$y = y_0 + \int v(t) \sin(\psi(t)) dt$$

Swerving

 Assuming velocity is constant, and curvature rate is limited and constant, the yaw is given by: Ψ =

$$\psi = \psi_0 + v \int \dot{\kappa}_{\max} t dt$$

$$\psi = \psi_0 + \frac{v \, \dot{\kappa}_{\text{max}}}{2} t^2$$

• This gives the position coordinates

as: $x = v \int \cos(\frac{v \dot{k}_{\max}}{2} t^{2}) dt$ "Clothoids" $y = v \int \sin(\frac{v \dot{k}_{\max}}{2} t^{2}) dt$

Swerving

 Two limits on curvature (slipping and rollover) can be computed from:

$$\kappa_{slip} = \frac{\mu g}{v^2} \qquad \qquad \kappa_{roll} = \frac{T}{2hv^2} g$$

• Given all this, the equations for (x,y) can be integrated numerically to get....



Swerving (Urmson)



Outline

- 4.3 Aspects of Linear Systems Theory
- 4.5 Predictive Modelling and System Identification
 - -4.5.1 Braking
 - 4.5.2 Turning
 - 4.5.3 Vehicle Rollover
 - 4.5.4 Wheel Slip and Yaw Stability
 - 4.5.5 Parameterization and Linearization of Dynamic Models
 - 4.5.6 System Identification
 - Summary

Note

• There is plenty of content on rollver in dyn1 too. Check it all/



Field Robots Motivation

- Contemporary mining, forestry, agriculture, and military vehicles, operate
 - on slopes and/orat high speeds
- Field robots do rollover!
 - They at least need a reactive system if predictive elements fail.





THE ROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Industrial Robots Motivation

- Market forces reward manufacturers of industrial truck that:
 - Are narrower,
 - Lift heavier loads,
 - Lift them higher.
- Automated industrial trucks face the same challenges.



THE ROBOTICS INSTITUTE
PerceptOR - Yuma



- Some Robots live dangerously.
- Listen for the distinctive "Crunch" of a ladar sensor.

UGCV – Roll Test



Lift Truck Simulations



Governed

Ungoverned



Rollover

- More likely in factory and field robots.
- Happens due to combinations of:
 - narrow wheel spacing,
 - high centers of gravity
 - high inertial forces (speeds and curvatures)
 - steep slopes
- Incidents may be:
 - Terrain induced (slide sideways into a curb)
 - Maneuver induced (turn too sharp on a hill)

Examples

• Tipover when stopping on a downslope.

 Rollover when turning sharply.





Forms of Instability

- Must distinguish two events:
 - Point of wheel liftoff (still recoverable)
 - Point were cg passes over wheels (irrecoverable)
- The first occurs first and is easier to detect
 - Does not require knowledge of inertia.



NOTE

- The book was updated to use a singel figure and to not reverse the direction of the reactions as the figures do here.
- That changed the signs in a few places so the figures and the math need to be updated here to be consistent with the book.



Static Case

• For translational equilibrium:



Carnegie V

THE ROBOTICS IN

Static Liftoff

- Imagine raising the slope: - fzu decreases - fzl increases • At some point f₇₁₁=0 and the moment balance becomes: $mgsin\phi h = mgcos\phi\frac{1}{2}$ • Can solve for the slope at which tipping occurs: Gravity is the only force involved. tan ø
- Using this, can compute cg height using a tilt table.

An important/famous vehicle design parameter affecting stability.

mø

mgsø

mgc

mg

Carnegie Me THE ROBOTICS INST

Static Liftoff

- Since we are talking about a moment of a single force...
 - Result can be understood in terms of the direction of gravity.
- Liftoff criterion is first satisfied when gravity vector:
 - emanating from the center of gravity (cg)
 - points at the lower wheel contact point.



Dynamic Case

- Use D'Alemberts principle:
 I.E. treat ma like a real force.
- Moment balance:

$$-f_{z_i}t - ma_yh + mgs\phi h + mgc\phi \frac{t}{2} =$$

• Solve for lateral acceleration in g's: $\frac{a_y}{g} = \left[\frac{t}{2}c\phi + hs\phi - \frac{tf_{z_i}}{mg}\right]/h$

> ay g

• Set $f_{z_i} = 0$ to get lateral acceleration threshold.

 $= \left| \frac{\tau}{2} c \phi + h s \phi \right| / h$

Vehicle is turning left Ma is reversed in sense per D'Alembert



Dynamic Case

• Rewrite last result:

$$\frac{a_{y} - gs\phi}{gc\phi} = \frac{t}{2h}$$

Liftoff when net noncontac

$$\vec{f} = \vec{g} - \vec{a}$$



- Points at the outside wheel contact point.
- A pendulum mounted at the cg aligns with this vector.



Interpretations

- Static case is just special case of dynamic (ay=0)
- Stability increases with:
 - Lower cg h
 - Wider tread t
 - Lowering slope
 - Decreasing acceleration
 - Slowing down
 - Reducing curvature



$$\frac{a_{y} - gs\phi}{gc\phi} = \frac{t}{2h}$$



Stability Pyramid

- Theory generalizes to vehicles of any shape.
- Stability pyramid = the pyramid wheel contact points with the c;
- Each edge is a potential tipover
 - Moment is:
 - Unbalanced when:





THE ROBOTICS IN

Implementation

- Some vehicles articulate mass so the cg would have to be (re-)calculated in real time.
- An accelerometer or inclinometer works like a pendulum, but:
 - It probably cannot be placed at the cg.
 - So, acceleration transforms are necessary.

Outline

- 4.3 Aspects of Linear Systems Theory
- 4.5 Predictive Modelling and System Identification
 - 4.5.1 Braking
 - 4.5.2 Turning
 - 4.5.3 Vehicle Rollover
 - 4.5.4 Wheel Slip and Yaw Stability
 - 4.5.5 Parameterization and Linearization of Dynamic Models
 - 4.5.6 System Identification
 - Summary

Slip Angle

• Defined for a car as:

 $\beta = \psi - \zeta$

- Alternatively using body frame velocity components:
- Can be defined for wheels too.



Ψ

Generalized Slip Angle

Define the angle between the actual and intended velocity:

$$\beta = \operatorname{acos}\left[(\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{V}}} \cdot \underline{\mathbf{V}}) / (|\tilde{\underline{\mathbf{V}}}| |\underline{\mathbf{V}}|)\right]$$

actual reference





Generalized Slip Equation

- The velocity may be incorrect in all 3 degrees of freedom.
- Express errors in body coordinates:



$$^{\mathrm{w}}\tilde{\underline{\mathrm{V}}} = \mathrm{R}(\theta)(\underline{\mathrm{V}} + \delta\mathrm{V})$$

actual reference

Mobile Robotics - Prof Alonzo Kelly, CMU RI

Wheel Slip Graphs







98

Removing Slip with Prediction

- Slip can be expressed as a function of actual or reference velocity (and other things):
- Compensate in body coordinates.



Outline

- Introduction
- Wheel Slip
- Braking
- Turning & Swerving
- Rollover
- System Identification
- Summary









Summary

- Braking distance:
 - increases quadratically with initial speed
 - depends heavily on slope
- Turning and Swerving:
 - predicting steering maneuvers requires calibrated dynamic models.
- Rollover stability can be measured with a pendulum at the cg.