Neural Networks

Hopfield Nets and Boltzmann Machines

Recap: Hopfield network



$$y_i = \Theta\left(\sum_{j \neq i} w_{ji} y_j + b_i\right)$$

$$\Theta(z) = \begin{cases} +1 \; if \; z > 0 \\ -1 \; if \; z \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

- At each time each neuron receives a "field" $\sum_{j \neq i} w_{ji} y_j + b_i$
- If the sign of the field matches its own sign, it does not respond
- If the sign of the field opposes its own sign, it "flips" to match the sign of the field

Recap: Energy of a Hopfield Network



$$y_i = \Theta\left(\sum_{j \neq i} w_{ji} y_j + b_i\right)$$

$$\Theta(z) = \begin{cases} +1 \; if \; z > 0 \\ -1 \; if \; z \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$E = -\sum_{i,j<i} w_{ij} y_i y_j - \sum_i b_i y_i$$

- The system will evolve until the energy hits a local minimum
- In vector form
  - Bias term may be viewed as an extra input pegged to 1.0

$$E = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{y}$$

Recap: Hopfield net computation

1. Initialize network with initial pattern

$$y_i(0) = x_i, \qquad 0 \le i \le N-1$$

2. Iterate until convergence

$$y_i(t+1) = \Theta\left(\sum_{j \ne i} w_{ji} y_j\right), \qquad 0 \le i \le N-1$$

- Very simple
- Updates can be done sequentially, or all at once
- Convergence

$$E = -\sum_{i} \sum_{j>i} w_{ji} y_j y_i$$

does not change significantly any more

Recap: Evolution



$$E = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}$$



- The network will evolve until it arrives at a local minimum in the energy contour

Recap: Content-addressable memory





- Each of the minima is a "stored" pattern
  - If the network is initialized close to a stored pattern, it will inevitably evolve to the pattern
- **This is a *content addressable memory***
  - Recall memory content from partial or corrupt values
- Also called ***associative memory***

Examples: Content addressable memory



Hopfield network reconstructing degraded images from noisy (top) or partial (bottom) cues.

- http://staff.itee.uq.edu.au/janetw/cmc/chapters/Hopfield/

Examples: Content addressable memory



Noisy pattern completion: Initialize the entire network and let the entire network evolve

Hopfield network reconstructing degraded images from noisy (top) or partial (bottom) cues.

- http://staff.itee.uq.edu.au/janetw/cmc/chapters/Hopfield/

Examples: Content addressable memory



Pattern completion: Fix the "seen" bits and only let the "unseen" bits evolve

Hopfield network reconstructing degraded images from noisy (top) or partial (bottom) cues.

- http://staff.itee.uq.edu.au/janetw/cmc/chapters/Hopfield/

Training a Hopfield Net to "Memorize" target patterns

- The Hopfield network can be *trained* to remember specific "target" patterns
  - E.g. the pictures in the previous example
- This can be done by setting the weights $\mathbf{W}$ appropriately

Random Question:
Can you use *backprop* to train Hopfield nets?

Hint: Think RNN

Training a Hopfield Net to "Memorize" target patterns

- The Hopfield network can be *trained* to remember specific "target" patterns
  - E.g. the pictures in the previous example
- A Hopfield net with N neurons can designed to store up to N target N-bit memories
  - But can store an exponential number of unwanted "parasitic" memories along with the target patterns
- **Training the network:** Design weights matrix $\mathbf{W}$ such that the energy of …
  - Target patterns is minimized, so that they are in energy wells
  - *Other untargeted* potentially parasitic patterns is maximized so that they don't become parasitic

Training the network

$$\widehat{\mathbf{W}} = \underset{\mathbf{W}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbf{Y}_P} E(\mathbf{y})} - \underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{y}\notin\mathbf{Y}_P} E(\mathbf{y})}$$

Minimize energy of target patterns

Maximize energy of all other patterns



Optimizing W

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y} \qquad \widehat{\mathbf{W}} = \underset{\mathbf{W}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbf{Y}_P} E(\mathbf{y}) - \sum_{\mathbf{y}\notin\mathbf{Y}_P} E(\mathbf{y})$$

- Simple gradient descent:

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W} + \eta\left(\underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbf{Y}_P} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T}_{\text{Minimize energy of target patterns}} - \underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{y}\notin\mathbf{Y}_P} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T}_{\text{Maximize energy of all other patterns}}\right)$$

Minimize energy of target patterns

Maximize energy of all other patterns

Training the network

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W} + \eta \left(\underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y}_P} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T}_{} - \underbrace{\sum_{\mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{Y}_P} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T}_{} \right)$$

Minimize energy of target patterns

Maximize energy of all other patterns



Simpler: Focus on confusing parasites

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W} + \eta\left(\sum_{\mathbf{y}\in\mathbf{Y}_P} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T - \sum_{\mathbf{y}\notin\mathbf{Y}_P \& \mathbf{y}=valley} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T\right)$$

- Focus on minimizing parasites that can prevent the net from remembering target patterns
  - Energy valleys in the neighborhood of target patterns



Training to maximize memorability of target patterns

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W} + \eta \left(\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y}_P} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T - \sum_{\mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{Y}_P \& \mathbf{y} = valley} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T \right)$$

- Lower energy at valid memories
- Initialize the network at valid memories and let it evolve
  - It will settle in a valley. If this is not the target pattern, raise it



Training the Hopfield network

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W} + \eta \left(\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y}_P} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T - \sum_{\mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{Y}_P \& \mathbf{y} = valley} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T \right)$$

- Initialize $\mathbf{W}$
- Compute the total outer product of all target patterns
  - More important patterns presented more frequently
- Initialize the network with each target pattern and let it evolve
  - And settle at a valley
- Compute the total outer product of valley patterns
- Update weights

Training the Hopfield network: SGD version

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W} + \eta \left(\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y}_P} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T - \sum_{\mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{Y}_P \& \mathbf{y} = valley} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T \right)$$

- Initialize $\mathbf{W}$
- Do until convergence, satisfaction, or death from boredom:
  - Sample a target pattern $\mathbf{y}_p$
    - Sampling frequency of pattern must reflect importance of pattern
  - Initialize the network at $\mathbf{y}_p$ and let it evolve
    - And settle at a valley $\mathbf{y}_v$
  - Update weights
    - $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W} + \eta\left(\mathbf{y}_p\mathbf{y}_p^T - \mathbf{y}_v\mathbf{y}_v^T\right)$

More efficient training

- Really no need to raise the entire surface, or even every valley
- Raise the *neighborhood* of each target memory
  - Sufficient to make the memory a valley
  - The broader the neighborhood considered, the broader the valley



Training the Hopfield network: SGD version

$$\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W} + \eta \left(\sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y}_P} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T - \sum_{\mathbf{y} \notin \mathbf{Y}_P \& \mathbf{y} = valley} \mathbf{y}\mathbf{y}^T \right)$$

- Initialize $\mathbf{W}$
- Do until convergence, satisfaction, or death from boredom:
  - Sample a target pattern $\mathbf{y}_p$
    - Sampling frequency of pattern must reflect importance of pattern
  - Initialize the network at $\mathbf{y}_p$ and let it evolve ***a few steps (2-4)***
    - And arrive at a down-valley position $\mathbf{y}_d$
  - Update weights
    - $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{W} + \eta \left(\mathbf{y}_p \mathbf{y}_p^T - \mathbf{y}_d \mathbf{y}_d^T \right)$

Problem with Hopfield net



- Why is the recalled pattern not perfect?

A Problem with Hopfield Nets



- Many local minima
  - Parasitic memories

- May be escaped by adding some *noise* during evolution
  - Permit changes in state even if energy increases..
    - Particularly if the increase in energy is small

The Hopfield net as a distribution

Visible Neurons



$$E(S) = -\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s_i s_j - b_i s_i$$

$$\boxed{P(S) = \frac{exp(-E(S))}{\sum_{S'} exp(-E(S'))}}$$

- The stochastic Hopfield network models a ***probability distribution*** over states
  - Where a state is a binary string
  - Specifically, it models a *Boltzmann distribution*
  - **The parameters of the model are the weights of the network**

- The probability that (at equilibrium) the network will be in any state is $P(S)$
  - It is a *generative* model: generates states according to $P(S)$

The field at a single node

- Let S and S' be otherwise identical states that only differ in the i-th bit
  - S has i-th bit = $+1$ and S' has i-th bit = -1



$$P(S) = P\left(s_i = 1 \middle| s_{j\neq i}\right)P(s_{j\neq i})$$

$$P(S') = P\left(s_i = -1 \middle| s_{j\neq i}\right)P(s_{j\neq i})$$



$$logP(S) - logP(S') = logP\left(s_i = 1 \middle| s_{j\neq i}\right) - logP\left(s_i = -1 \middle| s_{j\neq i}\right)$$

$$logP(S) - logP(S') = log\,\frac{P\left(s_i = 1 \middle| s_{j\neq i}\right)}{1 - P\left(s_i = 1 \middle| s_{j\neq i}\right)}$$

The field at a single node

- Let S and S' be the states with the ith bit in the $+1$ and -1 states





$$\log P(S) = -E(S) + C$$

$$E(S) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{not\ i} + \sum_{j \neq i} w_j s_j + b_i\right)$$

$$E(S') = -\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{not\ i} - \sum_{j \neq i} w_j s_j - b_i\right)$$

- $logP(S) - logP(S') = E(S') - E(S) = \sum_{j \neq i} w_j s_j + b_i$

The field at a single node

$$log\left(\frac{P\left(s_i = 1 \middle| s_{j\neq i}\right)}{1 - P\left(s_i = 1 \middle| s_{j\neq i}\right)}\right) = \sum_{j\neq i} w_j s_j + b_i$$

- Giving us

$$P\left(s_i = 1 \middle| s_{j\neq i}\right) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\left(\sum_{j\neq i} w_j s_j + b_i\right)}}$$

- The probability of any node taking value 1 given other node values is a logistic

Redefining the network

Visible Neurons



$$z_i = \sum_j w_{ji} s_j + b_i$$

$$\boxed{P(s_i = 1 | s_{j \neq i}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z_i}}}$$

- First try: Redefine a regular Hopfield net as a stochastic system
- Each neuron is *now a stochastic unit* with a binary state s_i, which can take value 0 or 1 with a probability that depends on the local field
  - Note the slight change from Hopfield nets
  - Not actually necessary; only a matter of convenience

The Hopfield net is a distribution



$$z_i = \sum_j w_{ji} s_j + b_i$$

$$\boxed{P(s_i = 1 | s_{j \neq i}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z_i}}}$$

- The Hopfield net is a probability distribution over binary sequences
  - The Boltzmann distribution
- The *conditional* distribution of individual bits in the sequence is a logistic

Running the network

Visible Neurons



$$z_i = \sum_j w_{ji} s_j + b_i$$

$$\boxed{P(s_i = 1 | s_{j \neq i}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z_i}}}$$

- Initialize the neurons
- Cycle through the neurons and randomly set the neuron to 1 or 0 according to the probability given above
  - Gibbs sampling: Fix N-1 variables and sample the remaining variable
  - As opposed to energy-based update (mean field approximation): run the test $z_i > 0$?
- After many many iterations (until “convergence”), *sample* the individual neurons

Recap: Stochastic Hopfield Nets



$$z_i = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{j\neq i} w_{ji} y_j$$

$$P(y_i = 1) = \sigma(z_i)$$

$$P(y_i = 0) = 1 - \sigma(z_i)$$

- The evolution of the Hopfield net can be made *stochastic*
- Instead of deterministically responding to the sign of the local field, each neuron responds *probabilistically*
  - This is much more in accord with Thermodynamic models
  - The evolution of the network is more likely to escape spurious “weak” memories

Recap: Stochastic Hopfield Nets



$$z_i = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{j\neq i} w_{ji} y_j$$

$$P(y_i = 1) = \sigma(z_i)$$

The field quantifies the energy difference obtained by flipping the current unit

- The evolution of the Hopfield net can be made *stochastic*
- Instead of deterministically responding to the sign of the local field, each neuron responds *probabilistically*
  - This is much more in accord with Thermodynamic models
  - The evolution of the network is more likely to escape spurious "weak" memories

Recap: Stochastic Hopfield Nets



$$z_i = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{j \neq i} w_{ji} y_j$$

$$P(y_i = 1) = \sigma(z_i)$$

The field quantifies the energy difference obtained by flipping the current unit

- The evolution of the Hopfield net can be made *stochastic*

If the difference is not large, the probability of flipping approaches 0.5

- Instead of deterministically responding to the sign of the local field, each neuron responds *probabilistically*
  - This is much more in accord with Thermodynamic models
  - The evolution of the network is more likely to escape spurious "weak" memories

Recap: Stochastic Hopfield Nets



$$z_i = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{j \neq i} w_{ji} y_j$$

$$P(y_i = 1) = \sigma(z_i)$$

The field quantifies the energy difference obtained by flipping the current unit

- The evolution of the Hopfield net can be made *stochastic*

If the difference is not large, the probability of flipping approaches 0.5

T is a "temperature" parameter: increasing it moves the probability of the bits towards 0.5
At T=1.0 we get the traditional definition of field and energy
At T = 0, we get deterministic Hopfield behavior

  - The evolution of the network is more likely to escape spurious "weak" memories

Evolution of a stochastic Hopfield net

1. Initialize network with initial pattern

$$y_i(0) = x_i, \qquad 0 \le i \le N-1$$

2. Iterate $0 \le i \le N-1$

$$P = \sigma\left(\sum_{j \ne i} w_{ji} y_j\right)$$

$$y_i(t+1) \sim Binomial(P)$$

Assuming T = 1



Evolution of a stochastic Hopfield net

1. Initialize network with initial pattern

$$y_i(0) = x_i, \qquad 0 \le i \le N-1$$

2. Iterate $0 \le i \le N-1$

$$P = \sigma\left(\sum_{j \ne i} w_{ji} y_j\right)$$

$$y_i(t+1) \sim Binomial(P)$$

Assuming T = 1



- When do we stop?
- What is the final state of the system
  - How do we "recall" a memory?

Evolution of a stochastic Hopfield net

1. Initialize network with initial pattern

$$y_i(0) = x_i, \qquad 0 \le i \le N-1$$

2. Iterate $0 \le i \le N-1$

$$P = \sigma\left(\sum_{j \ne i} w_{ji} y_j\right)$$

$$y_i(t+1) \sim Binomial(P)$$

Assuming T = 1



- When do we stop?
- What is the final state of the system
  - How do we "recall" a memory?

Evolution of a stochastic Hopfield net

1. Initialize network with initial pattern

$$y_i(0) = x_i, \qquad 0 \le i \le N-1$$

2. Iterate $0 \le i \le N-1$

$$P = \sigma\left(\sum_{j \ne i} w_{ji} y_j\right)$$

$$y_i(t+1) \sim Binomial(P)$$

Assuming T = 1



- Let the system evolve to “equilibrium”
- Let $\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_L$ be the sequence of values (L large)
- Final predicted configuration: from the average of the final few iterations

$$\mathbf{y} = \left(\frac{1}{M}\sum_{t=L-M+1}^{L} \mathbf{y}_t\right) > 0?$$

  - Estimates the probability that the bit is 1.0.
  - If it is greater than 0.5, sets it to 1.0

Annealing

```
1. Initialize network with initial pattern
       $y_i(0) = x_i, \qquad 0 \le i \le N-1$
2. For $T = T_0$ down to $T_{min}$
    i. For iter $1..L$
        a) For $0 \le i \le N-1$
               $P = \sigma\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{j\neq i} w_{ji} y_j\right)$
               $y_i(t+1) \sim Binomial(P)$
```

- Let the system evolve to "equilibrium"
- Let $\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_L$ be the sequence of values (L large)
- Final predicted configuration: from the average of the final few iterations

$$\mathbf{y} = \left(\frac{1}{M}\sum_{t=L-M+1}^{L} \mathbf{y}_t\right) > 0?$$

Evolution of the stochastic network

1. Initialize network with initial pattern

$$y_i(0) = x_i, \qquad 0 \le i \le N-1$$

2. For $T = T_0 \; down \; to \; T_{min}$

Noisy pattern completion: Initialize the entire network and let the entire network evolve

Pattern completion: Fix the "seen" bits and only let the "unseen" bits evolve

- Let the system evolve to "equilibrium"
- Let $\mathbf{y}_0, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_L$ be the sequence of values (L large)
- Final predicted configuration: from the average of the final few iterations

$$\mathbf{y} = \left(\frac{1}{M}\sum_{t=L-M+1}^{L} \mathbf{y}_t\right) > 0?$$

Evolution of a stochastic Hopfield net

1. Initialize network with initial pattern

$$y_i(0) = x_i, \qquad 0 \le i \le N-1$$

2. Iterate $0 \le i \le N-1$

$$P = \sigma\left(\sum_{j \ne i} w_{ji} y_j\right)$$

$$y_i(t+1) \sim Binomial(P)$$

Assuming T = 1

- When do we stop?
- What is the final state of the system
  - How do we “recall” a memory?

Recap: Stochastic Hopfield Nets



$$z_i = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{j \neq i} w_{ji} y_j$$

$$P\left(y_i = 1 | y_{j \neq i}\right) = \sigma(z_i)$$

- The probability of each neuron is given by a *conditional* distribution
- What is the overall probability of *the entire set of neurons* taking any configuration $\mathbf{y}$

The overall probability



$$z_i = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{j\neq i} w_{ji} y_j$$

$$P\left(y_i = 1 | y_{j\neq i}\right) = \sigma(z_i)$$

- The probability of any state $\mathbf{y}$ can be shown to be given by the *Boltzmann distribution*

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y} \qquad P(\mathbf{y}) = Cexp\left(\frac{-E(\mathbf{y})}{T}\right)$$

  – Minimizing energy maximizes log likelihood

The Hopfield net is a distribution



$$z_i = \frac{1}{T}\sum_j w_{ji}s_j$$

$$\boxed{P(s_i = 1|s_{j\neq i}) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z_i}}}$$

- The Hopfield net is a probability distribution over binary sequences
  - The Boltzmann distribution

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T\mathbf{W}\mathbf{y}$$

$$P(\mathbf{y}) = Cexp\left(-\frac{E(\mathbf{y})}{T}\right)$$

  - The parameter of the distribution is the weights matrix $\mathbf{W}$

- The *conditional* distribution of individual bits in the sequence is a logistic
- We will call this a Boltzmann machine

The Boltzmann Machine



$$z_i = \frac{1}{T}\sum_j w_{ji} s_j$$

$$\boxed{P(s_i = 1 | s_{j \neq i}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z_i}}}$$

- The entire model can be viewed as a *generative model*
- Has a probability of producing any binary vector $\mathbf{y}$:

$$E(\mathbf{y}) = -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{y}$$

$$P(\mathbf{y}) = Cexp\left(-\frac{E(\mathbf{y})}{T}\right)$$

Training the network



$$E(S) = -\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s_i s_j$$

$$\boxed{P(S) = \frac{exp(-E(S))}{\sum_{S'} exp(-E(S'))}}$$

$$\boxed{P(S) = \frac{exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s_i s_j\right)}{\sum_{S'} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s'_i s'_j\right)}}$$

- Training a Hopfield net: Must learn weights to "remember" target states and "dislike" other states
  - **"State" == binary pattern of all the neurons**

- Training Boltzmann machine: Must learn weights to assign a desired probability distribution to states
  - (vectors $\mathbf{y}$, which we will now calls S because I'm too lazy to normalize the notation)
  - This should assign more probability to patterns we "like" (or try to memorize) and less to other patterns

Training the network

Visible Neurons



$$E(S) = -\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s_i s_j$$

$$\boxed{P(S) = \frac{exp(-E(S))}{\sum_{S'} exp(-E(S'))}}$$

$$\boxed{P(S) = \frac{exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s_i s_j\right)}{\sum_{S'} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s'_i s'_j\right)}}$$

- Must train the network to assign a desired probability distribution to states
- Given a set of “training” inputs $S_1, \dots, S_P$
  - Assign higher probability to patterns seen more frequently
  - Assign lower probability to patterns that are not seen at all
- Alternately viewed: *maximize likelihood of stored states*

Maximum Likelihood Training

$$\log(P(S)) = \left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_is_j\right) - \log\left(\sum_{S\prime} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i's_j'\right)\right)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{S\in\mathbf{S}} \log(P(S))$$

Average log likelihood of training vectors (to be maximized)

$$= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{S}\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_is_j\right) - \log\left(\sum_{S\prime} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i's_j'\right)\right)$$

- Maximize the average log likelihood of all “training” vectors $\mathbf{S} = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, SN\}$
  - In the first summation, s_i and s_j are bits of S
  - In the second, s_i' and s_j' are bits of S'

Maximum Likelihood Training

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{S}\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i s_j\right) - \log\left(\sum_{S'} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s'_i s'_j\right)\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw_{ij}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{S} s_i s_j - ???$$

- We will use gradient descent, but we run into a problem..
- The first term is just the average $s_i s_j$ over all training patterns
- But the second term is summed over *all* states
  - Of which there can be an exponential number!

The second term

$$\frac{d\log\left(\sum_{S'} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s'_i s'_j\right)\right)}{dw_{ij}} = \sum_{S'} \frac{exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s'_i s'_j\right)}{\sum_{S''} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s''_i s''_j\right)} s'_i s'_j$$

$$\frac{d\log\left(\sum_{S'} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s'_i s'_j\right)\right)}{dw_{ij}} = \sum_{S'} P(S') s'_i s'_j$$

- The second term is simply the *expected value* of $s_i s_j$, over all possible values of the state
- We cannot compute it exhaustively, but we can compute it by sampling!

Estimating the second term

$$\frac{d\log\left(\sum_{S'} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i's_j'\right)\right)}{dw_{ij}} = \sum_{S'} P(S')s_i's_j'$$

$$\sum_{S'} P(S')s_i's_j' \approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{S'\in\mathbf{S}_{samples}} s_i's_j'$$

- The expectation can be estimated as the average of samples drawn from the distribution
- Question: How do we draw samples from the Boltzmann distribution?
  - How do we draw samples from the network?

The simulation solution



- Initialize the network randomly and let it "evolve"
  - By probabilistically selecting state values according to our model
- After many many epochs, take a snapshot of the state
- Repeat this many many times
- Let the collection of states be

$$\mathbf{S}_{simul} = \{S_{simul,1}, S_{simul,1=2}, \dots, S_{simul,M}\}$$

The simulation solution for the second term

$$\boxed{\frac{d\log\left(\sum_{S'} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s_i' s_j'\right)\right)}{dw_{ij}} = \sum_{S'} P(S') s_i' s_j'}$$

$$\boxed{\sum_{S'} P(S') s_i' s_j' \approx \frac{1}{M} \sum_{S' \in \mathbf{S}_{simul}} s_i' s_j'}$$

- The second term in the derivative is computed as the average of sampled states when the network is running “freely”

Maximum Likelihood Training

Sampled estimate

$$\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{S}\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i s_j\right) - \log\left(\sum_{S\prime\in\mathbf{S}_{simul}} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i' s_j'\right)\right)$$

$$\frac{d\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle}{dw_{ij}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{S} s_i s_j - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{S\prime\in\mathbf{S}_{simul}} s_i' s_j'$$

$$w_{ij} = w_{ij} + \eta\frac{d\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle}{dw_{ij}}$$

- The overall gradient ascent rule

Overall Training



$$\frac{d\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle}{dw_{ij}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{S} s_i s_j - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{S' \in \mathbf{S}_{simul}} s'_i s'_j$$

$$w_{ij} = w_{ij} + \eta \frac{d\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle}{dw_{ij}}$$

- Initialize weights
- Let the network run to obtain simulated state samples
- Compute gradient and update weights
- Iterate

Overall Training



$$\frac{d\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle}{dw_{ij}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{S} s_i s_j - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{S' \in \mathbf{S}_{simul}} s_i' s_j'$$

$$w_{ij} = w_{ij} + \eta \frac{d\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle}{dw_{ij}}$$

Note the similarity to the update rule for the Hopfield network



Adding Capacity to the Hopfield Network / Boltzmann Machine

- The network can store up to N N-bit patterns
- How do we increase the capacity

Expanding the network



- Add a large number of neurons whose actual values you don't care about!

Expanded Network



- New capacity: $\sim(N + K)$ patterns
  - Although we only care about the pattern of the first N neurons
  - We're interested in *N-bit* patterns

Terminology



- Terminology:
  - The neurons that store the actual patterns of interest: *Visible neurons*
  - The neurons that only serve to increase the capacity but whose actual values are not important: *Hidden neurons*
  - These can be set to anything in order to store a visible pattern

Training the network



- For a given pattern of *visible* neurons, there are any number of *hidden* patterns (2^K)
- Which of these do we choose?
  - Ideally choose the one that results in the lowest energy
  - But that’s an exponential search space!

The patterns

- In fact we could have *multiple* hidden patterns coupled with any visible pattern
  - These would be multiple stored patterns that all give the same visible output
  - How many do we permit
- Do we need to specify one or more particular hidden patterns?
  - How about *all* of them
  - What do I mean by this bizarre statement?

Boltzmann machine without hidden units



$$\frac{d\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle}{dw_{ij}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{S} s_i s_j - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{S' \in \mathbf{S}_{simul}} s'_i s'_j$$

$$w_{ij} = w_{ij} + \eta \frac{d\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle}{dw_{ij}}$$

- This basic framework has no hidden units
- Extended to have hidden units

With hidden neurons



- Now, with hidden neurons the complete state pattern for even the *training* patterns is unknown
    - Since they are only defined over visible neurons

With hidden neurons



$$P(S) = \frac{exp(-E(S))}{\sum_{S'} exp(-E(S'))}$$

$$P(S) = P(V,H)$$

$$P(V) = \sum_{H} P(S)$$

- We are interested in the *marginal* probabilities over *visible* bits
  - We want to learn to represent the visible bits
  - The hidden bits are the “latent” representation learned by the network

- $S = (V,H)$
  - V = visible bits
  - H = hidden bits

With hidden neurons



$$\boxed{P(S) = \frac{exp(-E(S))}{\sum_{S'} exp(-E(S'))}}$$

$$\boxed{P(S) = P(V,H)}$$

$$\boxed{P(V) = \sum_{H} P(S)}$$

- We are interested in the *marginal* probabilities over *visible* bits
  - We want to learn to represent the visible bits
  - The hidden bits are the "latent" representation learned by the network

- $S = (V,H)$
  - V = visible bits
  - H = hidden bits

Must train to maximize probability of desired patterns of *visible* bits

Training the network

Visible Neurons



$$E(S) = -\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s_i s_j$$

$$P(S) = \frac{exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s_i s_j\right)}{\sum_{S'} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s_i' s_j'\right)}$$

$$P(V) = \frac{\sum_H exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s_i s_j\right)}{\sum_{S'} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij} s_i' s_j'\right)}$$

- Must train the network to assign a desired probability distribution to *visible* states
- Probability of visible state sums over all hidden states

Maximum Likelihood Training

$$\log(P(V)) = \log\left(\sum_{H} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i s_j\right)\right) - \log\left(\sum_{S\prime} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i' s_j'\right)\right)$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{V\in\mathbf{V}} \log(P(V))$$

Average log likelihood of training vectors (to be maximized)

$$= \frac{1}{N}\sum_{V\in\mathbf{V}} \log\left(\sum_{H} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i s_j\right)\right) - \log\left(\sum_{S\prime} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i' s_j'\right)\right)$$

- Maximize the average log likelihood of all visible bits of "training" vectors $\mathbf{V} = \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_N\}$
  - The first term also has the same format as the second term
    - Log of a sum
  - Derivatives of the first term will have the same form as for the second term

Maximum Likelihood Training

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{V\in\mathbf{V}} \log\left(\sum_{H} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i s_j\right)\right) - \log\left(\sum_{S'} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i' s_j'\right)\right)$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw_{ij}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{V\in\mathbf{V}}\sum_{H} \frac{exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i s_j\right)}{\sum_{H"} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i^{"}s_j^{"}\right)} s_i' s_j' - \sum_{S'} \frac{exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i' s_j'\right)}{\sum_{S"} exp\left(\sum_{i<j} w_{ij}s_i^{"}s_j^{"}\right)} s_i' s_j'$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw_{ij}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{V\in\mathbf{V}}\sum_{H} P(S|V)s_i s_j - \sum_{S'} P(S')s_i' s_j'$$

- We’ve derived this math earlier
- But now *both* terms require summing over an exponential number of states
  - The first term fixes visible bits, and sums over all configurations of hidden states for each visible configuration in our training set
  - But the second term is summed over *all* states

The simulation solution

$$\boxed{\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dw_{ij}} = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{V\in\mathbf{V}}\sum_{H} P(S|V)s_i s_j - \sum_{S'} P(S')s'_i s'_j}$$

$$\boxed{\sum_{H} P(S|V)s_i s_j \approx \frac{1}{K}\sum_{H\in\mathbf{H}_{simul}} s_i s_j}$$

$$\boxed{\sum_{S'} P(S')s'_i s'_j \approx \frac{1}{M}\sum_{S'\in\mathbf{S}_{simul}} s'_i s'_j}$$

- The first term is computed as the average sampled *hidden* state with the visible bits fixed
- The second term in the derivative is computed as the average of sampled states when the network is running “freely”

More simulations



$$P(S) = \frac{exp(-E(S))}{\sum_{S'} exp(-E(S'))}$$

$$P(V) = \sum_{H} P(S)$$

- Maximizing the marginal probability of V requires summing over all values of H
  - An exponential state space
  - So we will use simulations again

Step 1



- For each training pattern V_i
  - Fix the visible units to V_i
  - Let the hidden neurons evolve from a random initial point to generate H_i
  - Generate $S_i = [V_i, H_i]$
- Repeat K times to generate synthetic training

$$\mathbf{S} = \{S_{1,1}, S_{1,2}, \ldots, S_{1K}, S_{2,1}, \ldots, S_{N,K}\}$$

Step 2



- Now *unclamp* the visible units and let the entire network evolve several times to generate

$$\mathbf{S}_{simul} = \{S_{simul,1}, S_{simul,1=2}, \dots, S_{simul,M}\}$$

Gradients



$$\frac{d\left\langle \log\left(P(\mathbf{S})\right)\right\rangle}{dw_{ij}} = \frac{1}{NK}\sum_{\boldsymbol{S}} s_i s_j - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{S'\in\mathbf{S}_{simul}} s'_i s'_j$$

- Gradients are computed as before, except that the first term is now computed over the *expanded* training data

Overall Training

$$\frac{d\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle}{dw_{ij}} = \frac{1}{NK}\sum_{\mathbf{S}} s_i s_j - \frac{1}{M}\sum_{S' \in \mathbf{S}_{simul}} s'_i s'_j$$



$$w_{ij} = w_{ij} - \eta \frac{d\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle}{dw_{ij}}$$

- Initialize weights
- Run simulations to get clamped and unclamped training samples
- Compute gradient and update weights
- Iterate

Boltzmann machines

- Stochastic extension of Hopfield nets
- Enables storage of many more patterns than Hopfield nets
- But also enables computation of probabilities of patterns, and completion of pattern

Boltzmann machines: Overall

$$z_i = \sum_j w_{ji} s_i + b_i$$

$$\boxed{P(s_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z_i}}}$$

$$\boxed{\frac{d\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle}{dw_{ij}} = \frac{1}{NK} \sum_{\mathbf{S}} s_i s_j - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{S\prime \in \mathbf{S}_{simul}} s_i' s_j'}$$

$$\boxed{w_{ij} = w_{ij} - \eta \frac{d\langle \log(P(\mathbf{S}))\rangle}{dw_{ij}}}$$



- **Training:** Given a set of training patterns
  - Which could be repeated to represent relative probabilities
- Initialize weights
- Run simulations to get clamped and unclamped training samples
- Compute gradient and update weights
- Iterate

Boltzmann machines: Overall



- Running: Pattern completion
  - "Anchor" the *known* visible units
  - Let the network evolve
  - Sample the unknown visible units
    - Choose the most probable value

Applications



Hopfield network reconstructing degraded images from noisy (top) or partial (bottom) cues.

- Filling out patterns
- Denoising patterns
- *Computing conditional probabilities of patterns*
- ***Classification!!***
  - *How?*

Boltzmann machines for classification



- Training patterns:
  - $[f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots. , \text{class}]$
  - Features can have binarized or continuous valued representations
  - Classes have "one hot" representation
- Classification:
  - Given features, anchor features, estimate a posteriori probability distribution over classes
    - Or choose most likely class

Boltzmann machines: Issues

- Training takes for ever
- Doesn’t really work for large problems
  - A small number of training instances over a small number of bits

Solution: *Restricted* Boltzmann Machines



- Partition visible and hidden units
  - Visible units ONLY talk to hidden units
  - Hidden units ONLY talk to visible units
- Restricted Boltzmann machine..
  - **Originally proposed as “Harmonium Models” by Paul Smolensky**

Solution: *Restricted* Boltzmann Machines



$$z_i = \sum_j w_{ji} s_i + b_i$$

$$\boxed{P(s_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z_i}}}$$

- Still obeys the same rules as a regular Boltzmann machine
- But the modified structure adds a big benefit..

Solution: *Restricted* Boltzmann Machines



HIDDEN

$$z_i = \sum_j w_{ji} v_i + b_i$$

$$\boxed{P(h_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z_i}}}$$

VISIBLE

$$y_i = \sum_j w_{ji} h_i + b_i$$

$$\boxed{P(v_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-y_i}}}$$

Recap: Training full Boltzmann machines: Step 1



- For each training pattern V_i
  - Fix the visible units to V_i
  - Let the hidden neurons evolve from a random initial point to generate H_i
  - Generate $S_i = [V_i, H_i]$
- Repeat K times to generate synthetic training

$$\mathbf{S} = \{S_{1,1}, S_{1,2}, \dots, S_{1K}, S_{2,1}, \dots, S_{N,K}\}$$

Sampling: Restricted Boltzmann machine

$$z_i = \sum_j w_{ji} v_i + b_i$$



$$\boxed{P(h_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z_i}}}$$

- For each sample:
  - Anchor visible units
  - Sample from hidden units
  - No looping!!

Recap: Training full Boltzmann machines: Step 2



- Now *unclamp* the visible units and let the entire network evolve several times to generate

$$\mathbf{S}_{simul} = \{S_{simul,1}, S_{simul,1=2}, \dots, S_{simul,M}\}$$

Sampling: Restricted Boltzmann machine



$$z_i = \sum_j w_{ji} v_i + b_i$$

$$P(h_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z_i}}$$

$$y_i = \sum_j w_{ji} h_i + b_i$$

$$P(v_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-y_i}}$$

- For each sample:
  - Iteratively sample hidden and visible units for a long time
  - Draw final sample of both hidden and visible units

Pictorial representation of RBM training



- For each sample:
  - Initialize V_0 (visible) to training instance value
  - Iteratively generate hidden and visible units
    - For a very long time

Pictorial representation of RBM training



- Gradient (showing only one edge from visible node *i* to hidden node *j*)

$$\frac{\partial \log p(v)}{\partial w_{ij}} = \ <v_i h_j>^0 - <v_i h_j>^\infty$$

- $<v_i, h_j>$ represents average over many generated training samples

Recall: Hopfield Networks

- Really no need to raise the entire surface, or even every valley
- Raise the *neighborhood* of each target memory
  - Sufficient to make the memory a valley
  - The broader the neighborhood considered, the broader the valley



A Shortcut: Contrastive Divergence



- Sufficient to run one iteration!

$$\frac{\partial \log p(v)}{\partial w_{ij}} = \langle v_i h_j \rangle^0 - \langle v_i h_j \rangle^1$$

- This is sufficient to give you a good estimate of the gradient

Restricted Boltzmann Machines

- Excellent generative models for binary (or binarized) data
- Can also be extended to continuous-valued data
  - “Exponential Family Harmoniums with an Application to Information Retrieval”, Welling et al., 2004
- Useful for classification and regression
  - How?
  - More commonly used to *pretrain* models

Continuous-values RBMs



HIDDEN $$z_i = \sum_j w_{ji} v_i + b_i \qquad \boxed{P(h_i = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z_i}}}$$

VISIBLE $$y_i = \sum_j w_{ji} h_i + b_i \qquad \boxed{P(v_i) = r(y_i) exp(y_i)}$$

Hidden units may also be continuous values

Other variants





- Left: “Deep” Boltzmann machines
- Right: Helmholtz machine
  - Trained by the “wake-sleep” algorithm

Topics missed..

- Other algorithms for Learning and Inference over RBMs
  - Mean field approximations
- RBMs as feature extractors
  - Pre training
- RBMs as generative models
- More structured DBMs
- …