

Machine Learning for Signal Processing

Hidden Markov Models

Bhiksha Raj

A little parable

You've been kidnapped



A little parable

You've been kidnapped



And blindfolded

A little parable

You've been kidnapped



And blindfolded

You can only *hear* the car

You must find your way back home from wherever they drop you off

Kidnapped




- Determine automatically, by only *listening* to a running automobile, if it is:
 - Idling; or
 - Travelling at constant velocity; or
 - Accelerating; or
 - Decelerating
- You are super acoustically sensitive and can determine sound pressure level (SPL)
 - The SPL is measured once per second

What you know


- An automobile that is at rest can accelerate, or continue to stay at rest
- An accelerating automobile can hit a steady-state velocity, continue to accelerate, or decelerate
- A decelerating automobile can continue to decelerate, come to rest, cruise, or accelerate
- A automobile at a steady-state velocity can stay in steady state, accelerate or decelerate

What else you know



- The probability distribution of the SPL of the sound is different in the various conditions
 - As shown in figure
 - In reality, depends on the car
- The distributions for the different conditions overlap
 - Simply knowing the current sound level is not enough to know the state of the car

The Model!




- The state-space model
 - Assuming all transitions from a state are equally probable
 - We will help you find your way back home in the next class

What is an HMM


- The model assumes that the process can be in one of a number of states at any time instant
- The state of the process at any time instant depends only on the state at the previous instant (causality, Markovian)
- At each instant the process generates an observation from a probability distribution that is specific to the current state
- The generated observations are all that we get to see
 - the actual state of the process is not directly observable
 - Hence the qualifier hidden

What is an HMM






- “Probabilistic function of a markov chain”
- Models a dynamical system
- System goes through a number of states
 - Following a Markov chain model
- On arriving at any state it generates observations according to a state-specific probability distribution

Hidden Markov Models



- A Hidden Markov Model consists of two components
 - A state/transition backbone that specifies how many states there are, and how they can follow one another
 - A set of probability distributions, one for each state, which specifies the distribution of all vectors in that state




Markov chain




Data distributions

How an HMM models a process

HMM assumed to be generating data



state sequence




state distributions


observation sequence

HMM Parameters

- The *topology* of the HMM
 - Number of states and allowed transitions
 - E.g. here we have 3 states and cannot go from the blue state to the red
- The transition probabilities
 - Often represented as a matrix as here
 - T_{ij} is the probability that when in state i , the process will move to j
- The probability π_i of beginning at any state s_i
 - The complete set is represented as π
- The *state output distributions*



$$T = \begin{pmatrix} .6 & .4 & 0 \\ 0 & .7 & .3 \\ .5 & 0 & .5 \end{pmatrix}$$



Three Basic HMM Problems


- What is the probability that it will generate a specific observation sequence
- Given a observation sequence, how do we determine which observation was generated from which state
 - The state segmentation problem
- How do we *learn* the parameters of the HMM from observation sequences

Computing the Probability of an Observation Sequence


- Two aspects to producing the observation:
 - Progressing through a sequence of states
 - Producing observations from these states

Progressing through states

HMM assumed to be generating data



state
sequence



- The process begins at some state (red) here
- From that state, it makes an allowed transition
 - To arrive at the same or any other state
- From that state it makes another allowed transition
 - And so on



Probability that the HMM will follow a particular state sequence

$$P(s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots) = P(s_1) P(s_2 | s_1) P(s_3 | s_2) \dots$$

- $P(s_1)$ is the probability that the process will initially be in state s_1
- $P(s_i | s_j)$ is the transition probability of moving to state s_i at the next time instant when the system is currently in s_j
 - Also denoted by T_{ij} earlier

Generating Observations from States

HMM assumed to be generating data



- At each time it generates an observation from the state it is in at that time

Probability that the HMM will generate a particular observation sequence given a state sequence (state sequence known)

$$P(o_1, o_2, o_3, \dots | s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots) = P(o_1 | s_1) P(o_2 | s_2) P(o_3 | s_3) \dots$$




Computed from the Gaussian or Gaussian mixture for state s_1


- $P(o_i | s_i)$ is the probability of generating observation o_i when the system is in state s_i

Proceeding through States and Producing Observations

HMM assumed to be generating data



state sequence



- At each time it produces an observation and makes a transition

Probability that the HMM will generate a particular state sequence and from it, a particular observation sequence

$$P(o_1, o_2, o_3, \dots, s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots) =$$

$$P(o_1, o_2, o_3, \dots | s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots) P(s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots) =$$

$$P(o_1 | s_1) P(o_2 | s_2) P(o_3 | s_3) \dots P(s_1) P(s_2 | s_1) P(s_3 | s_2) \dots$$

Probability of Generating an Observation Sequence

- The precise state sequence is not known
- All possible state sequences must be considered


$$P(o_1, o_2, o_3, \dots) = \sum_{\substack{\text{all possible} \\ \text{state sequences}}} P(o_1, o_2, o_3, \dots, s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots) =$$

$$\sum_{\substack{\text{all possible} \\ \text{state sequences}}} P(o_1|s_1)P(o_2|s_2)P(o_3|s_3)\dots P(s_1)P(s_2|s_1)P(s_3|s_2)\dots$$

Computing it Efficiently

- Explicit summing over all state sequences is not tractable
 - A very large number of possible state sequences
- Instead we use the forward algorithm
- A dynamic programming technique.

Illustrative Example



- Example: a generic HMM with 5 states and a “terminating state”.
 - Left to right topology
 - $P(s_i) = 1$ for state 1 and 0 for others
 - The arrows represent transition for which the probability is not 0

Introducing the Trellis

- Draw grid showing state vs time
- Explain state

Introducing the Trellis

- Draw grid showing state vs time
- Explain state
- Show a single path and explain how it's a state sequence

Introducing the Trellis

- Draw grid showing state vs time
- Explain state
- Show a single path and explain how it's a state sequence
- Draw entire trellis and show its all paths

Introducing the Trellis


- Draw grid showing state vs time
- Explain state
- Show a single path and explain how it's a state sequence
- Draw entire trellis and show its all paths
- Introduce alpha from time 0 in fact

Introducing the Trellis

- Draw grid showing state vs time
- Explain state
- Show a single path and explain how it's a state sequence
- Draw entire trellis and show its all paths
- Introduce alpha from time 0 in fact
- Explain alpha at next time
- Then recurse

The Forward Algorithm


$$\alpha(s, t) = P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t, \text{state}(t) = s)$$



- $\alpha(s, t)$ is the total probability of ALL state sequences that end at state s at time t , and all observations until x_t

The Forward Algorithm

$$\alpha(s, t) = P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t, state(t) = s)$$




Can be recursively estimated starting from the *first* time instant
(forward recursion)

$$\alpha(s, t) = \sum_{s'} \alpha(s', t-1) P(s | s') P(x_t | s)$$

- $\alpha(s, t)$ can be recursively computed in terms of $\alpha(s', t')$, the forward probabilities at time $t-1$

The Forward Algorithm

$$Totalprob = \sum_s \alpha(s, T)$$




- In the final observation the alpha at each state gives the probability of all state sequences ending at that state
- General model: The total probability of the observation is the sum of the alpha values at all states

Problem 2: State segmentation


- Given only a sequence of observations, how do we determine which sequence of states was followed in producing it?

The HMM as a generator

HMM assumed to be generating data



state sequence




state distributions

observation sequence

- The process goes through a series of states and produces observations from them

States are hidden


HMM assumed to be generating data



state
sequence

state
distributions


observation
sequence




- The observations do not reveal the underlying state

The state segmentation problem


HMM assumed to be generating data



state sequence



state distributions



observation sequence



- State segmentation: Estimate state sequence given observations

Estimating the State Sequence

- Many different state sequences are capable of producing the observation
- Solution: Identify the most *probable* state sequence
 - The state sequence for which the probability of progressing through that sequence and generating the observation sequence is maximum
 - i.e $P(o_1, o_2, o_3, \dots, s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots)$ is maximum

Estimating the state sequence

- Once again, exhaustive evaluation is impossibly expensive
- But once again a simple dynamic-programming solution is available

$$P(o_1, o_2, o_3, \dots, s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots) =$$

$$\underline{P(o_1 | s_1) P(o_2 | s_2) P(o_3 | s_3) \dots} \underline{P(s_1) P(s_2 | s_1) P(s_3 | s_2) \dots}$$

- Needed:

$$\arg \max_{s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots} P(o_1 | s_1) P(s_1) P(o_2 | s_2) P(s_2 | s_1) P(o_3 | s_3) P(s_3 | s_2)$$

Estimating the state sequence

- Once again, exhaustive evaluation is impossibly expensive
- But once again a simple dynamic-programming solution is available

$$P(o_1, o_2, o_3, \dots, s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots) =$$



$$P(o_1 | s_1) P(o_2 | s_2) P(o_3 | s_3) \dots P(s_1) P(s_2 | s_1) P(s_3 | s_2) \dots$$

- Needed:

$$\arg \max_{s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots} P(o_1 | s_1) P(s_1) P(o_2 | s_2) P(s_2 | s_1) P(o_3 | s_3) P(s_3 | s_2)$$

The HMM as a generator

HMM assumed to be generating data




- Each enclosed term represents one forward transition and a subsequent emission

The state sequence

- The probability of a state sequence $?, ?, ?, ?, s_x, s_y$ ending at time t , and producing all observations until o_t
 - $P(o_{1..t-1}, ?, ?, ?, ?, s_x, o_t, s_y) = P(o_{1..t-1}, \underline{?, ?, ?, ?, s_x}) P(o_t | s_y) P(s_y | s_x)$
- The *best* state sequence that ends with s_x, s_y at t will have a probability equal to the probability of the best state sequence ending at $t-1$ at s_x times $P(o_t | s_y) P(s_y | s_x)$


Extending the state sequence



- The probability of a state sequence $?, ?, ?, ?, s_x, s_y$ ending at time t and producing observations until o_t
 - $P(o_{1..t-1}, o_t, ?, ?, ?, s_x, s_y) = P(o_{1..t-1}, ?, ?, ?, s_x)P(o_t | s_y)P(s_y | s_x)$

Trellis


- The graph below shows the set of all possible state sequences through this HMM in five time instants



The cost of extending a state sequence


sequence

- The cost of *extending* a state sequence ending at s_x is only dependent on the transition from s_x to s_y , and the observation probability at s_y




The cost of extending a state sequence

- The best path to s_y through s_x is simply an extension of the best path to s_x



The Recursion


- The overall best path to s_y is an extension of the best path to one of the states at the previous time



The Recursion

- Prob. of best path to $s_y =$



$$\text{Max}_{s_x} \text{BestP}(o_{1..t-1}, ?, ?, ?, ?, s_x) \mathbf{P}(o_t | s_y) \mathbf{P}(s_y | s_x)$$



Finding the best state sequence

- The simple algorithm just presented is called the VITERBI algorithm in the literature
 - After A.J.Viterbi, who invented this dynamic programming algorithm for a completely different purpose: decoding error correction codes!

Viterbi Search (contd.)





Initial state initialized with path-score = $P(s_1)b_1(1)$

time →

In this example all other states have score 0 since $P(s_i) = 0$ for them

Viterbi Search (contd.)



- State with best path-score
- State with path-score < best
- State without a valid path-score

$$P_j(t) = \max_i [P_i(t-1) t_{ij} b_j(t)]$$



State transition probability, i to j

Score for state j , given the input at time t



Total path-score ending up at state j at time t

time


Viterbi Search (contd.)




Viterbi Search (contd.)





Viterbi Search (contd.)





Viterbi Search (contd.)





Viterbi Search (contd.)





Viterbi Search (contd.)



Viterbi Search (contd.)




Viterbi Search (contd.)



Viterbi Search (contd.)

THE BEST STATE SEQUENCE IS THE ESTIMATE OF THE STATE SEQUENCE FOLLOWED IN GENERATING THE OBSERVATION



Problem3: Training HMM parameters


- We can compute the probability of an observation, and the best state sequence given an observation, using the HMM's parameters
- But where do the HMM parameters come from?
- They must be learned from a collection of observation sequences

Learning HMM parameters: Simple procedure – counting

- Given a set of training instances
- Iteratively:
 1. Initialize HMM parameters
 2. Segment all training instances
 3. Estimate transition probabilities and state output probability parameters by counting

Learning by counting example

- Explanation by example in next few slides
- 2-state HMM, Gaussian PDF at states, 3 observation sequences
- Example shows ONE iteration
 - How to count after state sequences are obtained



Example: Learning HMM Parameters

- We have an HMM with two states s_1 and s_2 .
- Observations are vectors x_{ij}
 - i -th sequence, j -th vector
- We are given the following three observation sequences
 - And have already estimated state sequences



Observation 1

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
state	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S1	S1
Obs	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a8}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

Observation 2

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
state	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S2	S1
Obs	X_{b1}	X_{b2}	X_{b3}	X_{b4}	X_{b5}	X_{b6}	X_{b7}	X_{b8}	X_{b9}

Observation 3

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
state	S1	S2	S1	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c3}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

Example: Learning HMM Parameters

- Initial state probabilities (usually denoted as π):

- We have 3 observations
- 2 of these begin with S1, and one with S2
- $\pi(S1) = 2/3, \pi(S2) = 1/3$



Observation 1

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
state	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S1	S1
Obs	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a8}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

Observation 2

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
state	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S2	S1
Obs	X_{b1}	X_{b2}	X_{b3}	X_{b4}	X_{b5}	X_{b6}	X_{b7}	X_{b8}	X_{b9}

Observation 3

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
state	S1	S2	S1	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c3}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

Example: Learning HMM Parameters

- **Transition probabilities:**
 - State S1 occurs 11 times in **non-terminal** locations



Observation 1

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
state	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S1	S1
Obs	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a8}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

Observation 2

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
state	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S2	S1
Obs	X_{b1}	X_{b2}	X_{b3}	X_{b4}	X_{b5}	X_{b6}	X_{b7}	X_{b8}	X_{b9}

Observation 3

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
state	S1	S2	S1	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c3}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

Example: Learning HMM Parameters



- **Transition probabilities:**
 - State S1 occurs 11 times in non-terminal locations
 - Of these, it is followed immediately by S1 6 times

Observation 1

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
state	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S1	S1
Obs	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a8}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

Observation 2

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
state	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S2	S1
Obs	X_{b1}	X_{b2}	X_{b3}	X_{b4}	X_{b5}	X_{b6}	X_{b7}	X_{b8}	X_{b9}

Observation 3

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
state	S1	S2	S1	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c3}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

Example: Learning HMM Parameters



- **Transition probabilities:**
 - State S1 occurs 11 times in non-terminal locations
 - Of these, it is followed immediately by S1 6 times
 - It is followed immediately by S2 5 times

Observation 1

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
state	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S1	S1
Obs	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a8}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

Observation 2

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
state	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S2	S1
Obs	X_{b1}	X_{b2}	X_{b3}	X_{b4}	X_{b5}	X_{b6}	X_{b7}	X_{b8}	X_{b9}

Observation 3

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
state	S1	S2	S1	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c3}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

Example: Learning HMM Parameters



- **Transition probabilities:**
 - State S1 occurs 11 times in non-terminal locations
 - Of these, it is followed immediately by S1 6 times
 - It is followed immediately by S2 5 times
 - $P(S1 | S1) = 6 / 11$; $P(S2 | S1) = 5 / 11$

Observation 1

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
state	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S1	S1
Obs	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a8}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

Observation 2

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
state	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S2	S1
Obs	X_{b1}	X_{b2}	X_{b3}	X_{b4}	X_{b5}	X_{b6}	X_{b7}	X_{b8}	X_{b9}

Observation 3

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
state	S1	S2	S1	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c3}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

Example: Learning HMM Parameters



- **Transition probabilities:**
 - State S2 occurs 13 times in non-terminal locations

Observation 1

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
state	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S1	S1
Obs.	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a8}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

Observation 2

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
state	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S2	S1
Obs	X_{b1}	X_{b2}	X_{b3}	X_{b4}	X_{b5}	X_{b6}	X_{b7}	X_{b8}	X_{b9}

Observation 3

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
state	S1	S2	S1	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c3}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

Example: Learning HMM Parameters



- **Transition probabilities:**
 - State S2 occurs 13 times in non-terminal locations
 - Of these, it is followed immediately by S1 5 times

Observation 1

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
state	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S1	S1
Obs	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a8}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

Observation 2

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
state	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S2	S1
Obs	X_{b1}	X_{b2}	X_{b3}	X_{b4}	X_{b5}	X_{b6}	X_{b7}	X_{b8}	X_{b9}

Observation 3

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
state	S1	S2	S1	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c3}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

Example: Learning HMM Parameters



- **Transition probabilities:**
 - State S2 occurs 13 times in non-terminal locations
 - Of these, it is followed immediately by S1 5 times
 - It is followed immediately by S2 8 times

Observation 1

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
state	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S1	S1
Obs	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a8}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

Observation 2

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
state	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S2	S1
Obs	Δ_{b1}	Δ_{b2}	Δ_{b3}	X_{b4}	Δ_{b5}	Δ_{b6}	Δ_{b7}	Δ_{b8}	Δ_{b9}

Observation 3

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
state	S1	S2	S1	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c3}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

Example: Learning HMM Parameters



- **Transition probabilities:**
 - State S2 occurs 13 times in non-terminal locations
 - Of these, it is followed immediately by S1 5 times
 - It is followed immediately by S2 8 times
 - $P(S1 | S2) = 5 / 13$; $P(S2 | S2) = 8 / 13$

Observation 1

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
state	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S1	S1
Obs	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a8}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

Observation 2

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
state	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S2	S1
Obs	X_{b1}	X_{b2}	X_{b3}	X_{b4}	X_{b5}	X_{b6}	X_{b7}	X_{b8}	X_{b9}

Observation 3

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
state	S1	S2	S1	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c3}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

Parameters learnt so far

- State initial probabilities, often denoted as π
 - $\pi(S1) = 2/3 = 0.66$
 - $\pi(S2) = 1/3 = 0.33$
- State transition probabilities
 - $P(S1 | S1) = 6/11 = 0.545$; $P(S2 | S1) = 5/11 = 0.455$
 - $P(S1 | S2) = 5/13 = 0.385$; $P(S2 | S2) = 8/13 = 0.615$
 - Represented as a transition matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} P(S1 | S1) & P(S2 | S1) \\ P(S1 | S2) & P(S2 | S2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.545 & 0.455 \\ 0.385 & 0.615 \end{pmatrix}$$

Each row of this matrix must sum to 1.0

Example: Learning HMM Parameters

- State output probability for S1
 - There are 13 observations in S1



Observation 1

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
state	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S1	S1
Obs	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a8}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

Observation 2


Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
state	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S2	S1
Obs	X_{b1}	X_{b2}	X_{b3}	X_{b4}	X_{b5}	X_{b6}	X_{b7}	X_{b8}	X_{b9}

Observation 3

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
state	S1	S2	S1	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c3}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

Example: Learning HMM Parameters

- State output probability for S1
 - There are 13 observations in S1
 - Segregate them out and count
 - Compute parameters (mean and variance) of Gaussian output density for state S1



Time	1	2	6	7	9	10
state	S1	S1	S1	S1	S1	S1
Obs	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

$$P(X | S_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d |\Theta_1|}} \exp(-0.5(X - \mu_1)^T \Theta_1^{-1} (X - \mu_1))$$

Time	3	4	9
state	S1	S1	S1
Obs	X_{b3}	X_{b4}	X_{b9}

$$\mu_1 = \frac{1}{13} \begin{pmatrix} X_{a1} + X_{a2} + X_{a6} + X_{a7} + X_{a9} + X_{a10} + X_{b3} + \\ X_{b4} + X_{b9} + X_{c1} + X_{c2} + X_{c4} + X_{c5} \end{pmatrix}$$

Time	1	3	4	5
state	S1	S1	S1	S1
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{1}{13} \begin{pmatrix} (X_{a1} - \mu_1)(X_{a1} - \mu_1)^T + (X_{a2} - \mu_1)(X_{a2} - \mu_1)^T + \dots \\ (X_{b3} - \mu_1)(X_{b3} - \mu_1)^T + (X_{b4} - \mu_1)(X_{b4} - \mu_1)^T + \dots \\ (X_{c1} - \mu_1)(X_{c1} - \mu_1)^T + (X_{c2} - \mu_1)(X_{c2} - \mu_1)^T + \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

Example: Learning HMM Parameters

- State output probability for S2
 - There are 14 observations in S2



Observation 1

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
state	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S1	S1
Obs	X_{a1}	X_{a2}	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a6}	X_{a7}	X_{a8}	X_{a9}	X_{a10}

Observation 2

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
state	S2	S2	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2	S2	S1
Obs	X_{b1}	X_{b2}	X_{b3}	X_{b4}	X_{b5}	X_{b6}	X_{b7}	X_{b8}	X_{b9}

Observation 3

Time	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
state	S1	S2	S1	S1	S1	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c1}	X_{c2}	X_{c3}	X_{c4}	X_{c5}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

Example: Learning HMM Parameters

- State output probability for S2
 - There are 14 observations in S2
 - Segregate them out and count
 - Compute parameters (mean and variance) of Gaussian output density for state S2



Time	3	4	5	8
state	S2	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{a3}	X_{a4}	X_{a5}	X_{a8}

$$P(X | S_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d |\Theta_2|}} \exp(-0.5(X - \mu_2)^T \Theta_2^{-1} (X - \mu_2))$$

Time	1	2	5	6	7	8
state	S2	S2	S2	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{b1}	X_{b2}	X_{b5}	X_{b6}	X_{b7}	X_{b8}

$$\mu_2 = \frac{1}{14} \left(X_{a3} + X_{a4} + X_{a5} + X_{a8} + X_{b1} + X_{b2} + X_{b5} + X_{b6} + X_{b7} + X_{b8} + X_{c2} + X_{c6} + X_{c7} + X_{c8} \right)$$

Time	2	6	7	8
state	S2	S2	S2	S2
Obs	X_{c2}	X_{c6}	X_{c7}	X_{c8}

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{1}{14} \left((X_{a3} - \mu_2)(X_{a3} - \mu_2)^T + \dots \right)$$

We have learnt all the HMM parameters

- State initial probabilities, often denoted as π
 - $\pi(S_1) = 0.66$ $\pi(S_2) = 1/3 = 0.33$
- State transition probabilities

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0.545 & 0.455 \\ 0.385 & 0.615 \end{pmatrix}$$

- State output probabilities

State output probability for S1

$$P(X | S_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d |\Theta_1|}} \exp(-0.5(X - \mu_1)^T \Theta_1^{-1} (X - \mu_1))$$

State output probability for S2

$$P(X | S_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^d |\Theta_2|}} \exp(-0.5(X - \mu_2)^T \Theta_2^{-1} (X - \mu_2))$$

Update rules at each iteration

$$\pi(s_i) = \frac{\text{No. of observation sequences that start at state } s_i}{\text{Total no. of observation sequences}}$$


$$P(s_j | s_i) = \frac{\sum_{obs} \sum_{t: state(t)=s_i \& state(t+1)=s_j} 1}{\sum_{obs} \sum_{t: state(t)=s_i} 1}$$

$$\mu_i = \frac{\sum_{obs} \sum_{t: state(t)=s_i} X_{obs,t}}{\sum_{obs} \sum_{t: state(t)=s_i} 1}$$

$$\Theta_i = \frac{\sum_{obs} \sum_{t: state(t)=s_i} (X_{obs,t} - \mu_i)(X_{obs,t} - \mu_i)^T}{\sum_{obs} \sum_{t: state(t)=s_i} 1}$$

- Assumes state output PDF = Gaussian
 - For GMMs, estimate GMM parameters from collection of observations at any state

Training by segmentation: Viterbi training



- Initialize all HMM parameters
- Segment all training observation sequences into states using the Viterbi algorithm with the current models
- Using estimated state sequences and training observation sequences, reestimate the HMM parameters
- This method is also called a “segmental k-means” learning procedure

Alternative to counting: SOFT counting

- Expectation maximization
- *Every* observation contributes to every state

Update rules at each iteration

$$\pi(s_i) = \frac{\sum_{Obs} P(\text{state}(t=1) = s_i | Obs)}{\text{Total no. of observation sequences}}$$

$$P(s_j | s_i) = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i, \text{state}(t+1) = s_j | Obs)}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

$$\mu_i = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs) X_{Obs,t}}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

$$\Theta_i = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs) (X_{Obs,t} - \mu_i)(X_{Obs,t} - \mu_i)^T}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

- Every observation contributes to every state

Update rules at each iteration

$$\pi(s_i) = \frac{\sum_{Obs} P(\text{state}(t=1) = s_i | Obs)}{\text{Total no. of observation sequences}}$$

$$P(s_j | s_i) = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i, \text{state}(t+1) = s_j | Obs)}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

$$\mu_i = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs) X_{Obs,t}}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

$$\Theta_i = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs) (X_{Obs,t} - \mu_i)(X_{Obs,t} - \mu_i)^T}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

- Where did these terms come from?

$$P(\text{state}(t) = s \mid \text{Obs})$$


- The probability that the process was at s when it generated X_t given the entire observation
 - Dropping the “Obs” subscript for brevity

$$P(\text{state}(t) = s \mid X_1, X_2, \dots, X_T) \propto P(\text{state}(t) = s, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_T)$$

- We will compute $P(\text{state}(t) = s_i, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T)$ first
 - This is the probability that the process visited s at time t while producing the entire observation


$$P(\text{state}(t) = s, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T)$$

- The probability that the HMM was in a particular state s when generating the observation sequence is the probability that it followed a state sequence that passed through s at time t




$$P(\text{state}(t) = s, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T)$$

- This can be decomposed into two multiplicative sections
 - The section of the lattice leading into state s at time t and the section leading out of it




The Forward Paths

- The probability of the red section is the total probability of all state sequences ending at state s at time t
 - This is simply $\alpha(s,t)$
 - Can be computed using the forward algorithm




The Backward Paths

- The blue portion represents the probability of all state sequences that began at state s at time t
 - Like the red portion it can be computed using a *backward recursion*



The Backward Recursion

$$\beta(s, t) = P(x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, \dots, x_T \mid state(t) = s)$$




$$\beta(s, t) = \sum_{s'} \beta(s', t + 1) P(s' \mid s) P(x_{t+1} \mid s')$$

- $\beta(s, t)$ is the total probability of ALL state sequences that depart from s at time t , and all observations after x_t
 - $\beta(s, T) = 1$ at the final time instant for all valid final states

The complete probability

$$\alpha(s, t)\beta(s, t) = P(x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, \dots, x_T, \text{state}(t) = s)$$



Posterior probability of a state

- The probability that the process was in state s at time t , given that we have observed the data is obtained by simple normalization

$$P(\text{state}(t) = s \mid \text{Obs}) = \frac{P(\text{state}(t) = s, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T)}{\sum_{s'} P(\text{state}(t) = s, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T)} = \frac{\alpha(s, t)\beta(s, t)}{\sum_{s'} \alpha(s', t)\beta(s', t)}$$

- This term is often referred to as the gamma term and denoted by $\gamma_{s,t}$

Update rules at each iteration

$$\pi(s_i) = \frac{\sum_{Obs} P(\text{state}(t=1) = s_i | Obs)}{\text{Total no. of observation sequences}}$$

$$P(s_j | s_i) = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i, \text{state}(t+1) = s_j | Obs)}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

$$\mu_i = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs) X_{Obs,t}}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

$$\Theta_i = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs) (X_{Obs,t} - \mu_i)(X_{Obs,t} - \mu_i)^T}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

- These have been found

Update rules at each iteration

$$\pi(s_i) = \frac{\sum_{Obs} P(\text{state}(t=1) = s_i | Obs)}{\text{Total no. of observation sequences}}$$


$$P(s_j | s_i) = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i, \text{state}(t+1) = s_j | Obs)}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

$$\mu_i = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs) X_{Obs,t}}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

$$\Theta_i = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs) (X_{Obs,t} - \mu_i)(X_{Obs,t} - \mu_i)^T}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(\text{state}(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$


- Where did these terms come from?

$$P(\text{state}(t) = s, \text{state}(t + 1) = s', x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T)$$




$$P(\text{state}(t) = s, \text{state}(t+1) = s', x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T)$$

$$\alpha(s, t)$$




$$P(\text{state}(t) = s, \text{state}(t+1) = s', x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T)$$

$$\alpha(s, t) P(s' | s) P(x_{t+1} | s')$$



$$P(\text{state}(t) = s, \text{state}(t+1) = s', x_1, x_2, \dots, x_T)$$

$$\alpha(s, t) P(s' | s) P(x_{t+1} | s') \beta(s', t+1)$$



The a posteriori probability of transition

$$P(\text{state}(t) = s, \text{state}(t+1) = s' | \text{Obs}) = \frac{\alpha(s, t) P(s' | s) P(x_{t+1} | s') \beta(s', t+1)}{\sum_{s_1} \sum_{s_2} \alpha(s_1, t) P(s_2 | s_1) P(x_{t+1} | s_2) \beta(s_2, t+1)}$$

- The a posteriori probability of a transition given an observation

Update rules at each iteration

$$\pi(s_i) = \frac{\sum_{Obs} P(state(t=1) = s_i | Obs)}{\text{Total no. of observation sequences}}$$

$$P(s_j | s_i) = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(state(t) = s_i, state(t+1) = s_j | Obs)}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(state(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

$$\mu_i = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(state(t) = s_i | Obs) X_{Obs,t}}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(state(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$


$$\Theta_i = \frac{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(state(t) = s_i | Obs) (X_{Obs,t} - \mu_i)(X_{Obs,t} - \mu_i)^T}{\sum_{Obs} \sum_t P(state(t) = s_i | Obs)}$$

- These have been found

Training without explicit segmentation:

Baum-Welch training

- Every feature vector associated with every state of every HMM with a probability



- Probabilities computed using the forward-backward algorithm
- Soft decisions taken at the level of HMM state
- In practice, the segmentation based Viterbi training is much easier to implement and is much faster
- The difference in performance between the two is small, especially if we have lots of training data

HMM Issues

- How to find the best state sequence: Covered
- How to learn HMM parameters: Covered
- How to compute the probability of an observation sequence: Covered

Magic numbers

- How many states:
 - No nice automatic technique to learn this
 - You choose
 - For speech, HMM topology is usually left to right (no backward transitions)
 - For other cyclic processes, topology must reflect nature of process
 - No. of states – 3 per phoneme in speech
 - For other processes, depends on estimated no. of distinct states in process

Applications of HMMs

- Classification:
 - Learn HMMs for the various classes of time series from training data
 - Compute probability of test time series using the HMMs for each class
 - Use in a Bayesian classifier
 - Speech recognition, vision, gene sequencing, character recognition, text mining...
- Prediction
- Tracking

Applications of HMMs

- Segmentation:
 - Given HMMs for various events, find event boundaries
 - Simply find the best state sequence and the locations where state identities change
- Automatic speech segmentation, text segmentation by topic, genome segmentation, ...