

scTranslate: Learning to Translate Between Epigenetic and Transcriptomic Single-Cell Assays Benjamin J. Lengerich^{*1}, Michael Kleyman^{*1}, Andreas R. Pfenning¹, Eric P. Xing^{1,2} {blengeri, mkleyman} @cs.cmu.edu 1) Carnegie Mellon University 2) Petuum, Inc.

Guiding Questions

Is there a latent space which summarizes **both** epigenetic and transcriptomic cell state?

Can we use this to translate between single cell RNA-seq data and single cell ATAC-seq data?

Motivation

. Impute missing assay from single assay data Majority of single cell data is scRNA-seq or scATAC-seq. Ability to infer the other assay would provide understanding without needing to perform expensive dual assays.

2. Cell type-specific gene regulatory mechanisms Interpretable translator could link changes in open chromatin events to gene expression events.

3. Learn a highly accurate latent space to define cell state from multiple views.

Data

Snare-seq: Dual scRNA-seq and scATAC-seq on the same adult mouse cerebra cortex cells (Chen et al.2019)



Computational Pipeline

Computational	Doublet	scRNA-seq	scATAC-seq	scATAC-seq	Cell type
Step	Removal	Normalization	QC	peak calling	inference
Software Package	SCDS	Linnorm	SnapATAC	MACS	Scanpy







Model

Multi-modal Linear VAE

Losses:

- Translation
- Latent
- Reconstruction

Design allows for semisupervised training

Open Questions

- 1. How much transferability of translators is there between replicates, different tissues, and different assay platforms?
- we train on one cell type and predict on the other?
- 3. How much will semi-supervised training help?

Summary

- For the first time, we have data to translate between epigenetic and transcriptomic state at the level of individual cells.
- Can investigate cell type-specific regulatory patterns.
- Translation is technically challenging because assays are sparse and have many sources of experimental noise.
- Simple models tend to outperform complex ones, especially in this setting of limited data. Generalizability is the highest priority.

References

Chen, S., Lake, B.B. and Zhang, K., 2019. High-throughput sequencing of the transcriptome and chromatin accessibility in the same cell. Nature biotechnology, pp.1-6. Bais, A.S. and Kostka, D., 2019. scds: Computational Annotation of Doublets in Single Cell RNA Sequencing Data. bioRxiv, p.564021

Yip, S.H., Wang, P., Kocher, J.P.A., Sham, P.C. and Wang, J., 2017. Linnorm: improved Stoeckius, M., Smibert, P. and Satija, R., 2019. Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell statistical analysis for single cell RNA-seq expression data. Nucleic acids research, 45(22), pp.e179-e179. Liu, T., 2015. MACS–Model-based Analysis of ChIP-Seq.



2. How similar will cell types need to be perform transfer learning where

4. What loss functions would be optimal for the translation architecture?

Fang, R., Preissl, S., Hou, X., Lucero, J., Wang, X., Motamedi, A., Shiau, A.K., Mukamel, E.A Zhang, Y., Behrens, M.M. and Ecker, J., 2019. Fast and Accurate Clustering of Single Cell Epigenomes Reveals Cis-Regulatory Elements in Rare Cell Types. *bioRxiv*, p.615179 Wolf, F.A., Angerer, P. and Theis, F.J., 2018. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expressio lata analysis. Genome biology, 19(1), p.15. Stuart, T., Butler, A., Hoffman, P., Hafemeister, C., Papalexi, E., Mauck III, W.M., Hao, Y