Foot Structure and Pitch Contour Paper Review

Arthur R. Toth

Language Technologies Institute

Carnegie Mellon University

7/22/2004

Papers

- Esther Klabbers, Jan van Santen and Johan Wouters, “Prosodic Factors for Predicting Local Pitch Shape,” IEEE 2002 Workshop on Speech Synthesis
- Esther Klabbers and Jan P. H. van Santen, “Control and prediction of the impact of pitch modification on synthetic speech quality,” Eurospeech 2003
- Esther Klabbers and Jan P. H. van Santen, “Clustering of foot-based pitch contours in expressive speech,” SSW5, 2004.

1st Paper: IEEE 2002 Workshop

- Investigate predictive power of different prosodic factoring schemes.
- Extend diphone voice by making additional recordings under different prosodic contexts.
- Use foot structure to guide choice of prosodic contexts.

Introduction

- Problem: corpora typically have 1 example per diphone coming from stressed context
  - These examples are sometimes bad matches for prosodic context, and much signal modification (with potential quality degradation) can be necessary.
  - Adding many examples to cover more possibilities could lead to a large database
    - Difficult to use in embedded devices
    - Difficult to keep speaker consistent across more examples
    - Need to find good “selection criteria”

Feet and Pitch

- "Left-headed foot"
  - Sequence of 1 or more syllables, 1st is accented
  - Followed by accented syllable or phrase boundary
- Typical accent, up-down pitch movement
  - Monosyllabic: rise-fall on single syllable
  - Polysyllabic: rise on first, fall on rest

Factorization Schemes

	Simple	Foot	Complex1	Complex2
	Stress {0,1}	Last accent {0,1,2}	Accent{0,1}	Accent {0,1}
	Accent {0,1}	Next accent {0,1,(2)}	Last accent {0,1,2}	Last accent {0,1,2,3}
	Phrase-fin. Syll.{0,1,2}	Phrase-fin. Foot{0,1,2}	Next accent {0,1,2}	Next accent {0,1,2,3}
Levels	12	19	54	96

Experiments

- Corpus
  - 472 sentences spoken by a female
  - Segmented and annotated by hand
  - 1493 of 8860 syllables were used
    - Only ones starting with a sonorant
- Measures
  - RMSE between one contour and another contour estimated from the second
  - Delta distance

Results

Mean	Simple	Foot	Complex1	Complex2
Levels	12	19	30	48
RMSE	13.1	12.8	12.7	11.9
Delta Distance	11.9	10.9	11.3	10.4

Discussion

- Foot scheme performs better than Simple and similar/better than Complex1
- Complex2 performs best but has too many factors.
- “Hypothesis 1: The distinction between medial, phrase-final and utterance-final feet is important for predicting pitch contour shapes.”
- “Hypothesis 2: The position of the previous accented syllable is irrelevant if the current syllable is the head of the foot.”

Text Corpus Analysis

- Analyzed large text corpus
  - 359,276 sentences from newspapers, novels, and bible
  - Used Festival to compute foot factor levels for each diphone: 16,926,727 total of 22,865 types
  - Simplified by disregarding consonant position and only having single versions of consonant-consonant diphones: 9,367,407 tokens of 21,458 types
  - Using a standard database of 3353 diphones, only 6020 had to be added to cover 95% of diphone-foot tags.

2nd Paper: Eurospeech 2003

- Continues in the vein of trying to reduce the amount of signal modification necessary by using foot structure to improve selection.
- Perceptual experiment to investigate degradation caused by pitch modification
- Correlation of weighted perceptual score with different pitch and delta pitch distances

Speech Corpus Analysis

- Same prosodic factorization as 1st paper
- Corpora
  - Duration corpus: corpus from 1st paper
  - Foot Corpus I
    - Recorded to testing effect of position on pitch contour
    - 285 sentences, spoken by a highly-expressive female
    - Each sentence target is an all-sonorant CVC syllable
  - Foot Corpus II:
    - Instructed speaker to be less expressive, speaker uncomfortable

Distance Measures

- Tried various distance measures

$$D_p = \sum (\log 10(F_{0i}) - \log 10(F_{0j}))^2$$

$$D_{wp} = \frac{\sum E(\log 10(F_{0i}) - \log 10(F_{0j}))^2}{\sum E}$$

$$D_{\Delta p} = \sum (\Delta \log 10(F_{0i}) - \Delta \log 10(F_{0j}))^2$$

$$D_{w\Delta p} = \sum E(\Delta \log 10(F_{0i}) - \Delta \log 10(F_{0j}))^2$$

where $E = \sqrt{E_i \times E_j}$

Results

- Foot annotation scheme performed better than Simple for all 3 corpora and was generally better then complex
- It appeared that some levels in the Foot scheme could be collapsed further
  - For Head, Doesn’t matter whether unstressed syllables follow
  - For unstressed syllables, only matters whether they are immediately preceded by the head
  - For all syllables, important if foot is phrase-medial, phrase-final with continuation rise, or utterance-final.
  - New 12-level factorization scheme that is still better than simple

Perceptual Experiment

- Use OGIresLPC algorithm
- Use data from Foot Corpus I
- Sentences had carrier phrase and target word
  - Target word was sonorant CVC syllable from corpus
  - Two versions: one where syllable is in same prosodic context, another where it is in different context
  - Sentence parts were concatenated with Snack
    - 20ms pause inserted between carrier phrase and target word
- Participants compared pairs on 7-point scale

Results

- Computed weighted score for each sentence, based on z-score normalization
- Used linear regression with different distances to try to predict weighted scores
- At first, appeared that pitch distance and delta distance caused highest variance
- With more varied material, weighted distances might give better correlations.
- Direction of pitch change important.
  - 2 new distance measures were created
  - Decreasing pitch was worse than increasing pitch

3rd Paper: 5th ISCA SSW

- Concerned with categorizing foot-based pitch contours in expressive speech
  - Clustering instead of prediction
- Classifying emotions in speech is problematic, so focusing on what pitch contours actually occur

Models

- TTS system used Generalized Linear Alignment Model
  - Pitch contour consists of phrase curves, accent curves, and segmental perturbation curves
  - Phrase curve has two linear components
    - Phrase start to syllable with nuclear pitch accent
    - There to end, with steeper decline
- This paper uses Simplified Linear Alignment Model
  - Assumes accent is realized by up-down movement, where location depends on # syllables in foot

Corpus

- 2 children's stories by Beattrix Potter
- Read by semi-professional female speaker
- 10 minutes of speech, not counting pauses
- 2929 syllables
- 128 sentences

Annotation

- Automatic phoneme segmentation by CSLU's phonetic alignment system
- Phonetic transcription from Festival
- Phonemes checked and alignments hand-corrected with Wavesurfer
- Syllable transcription created by hand and aligned with phoneme labels
- ESPS get_f0 used to extract pitch every 5ms
  - Wrote Wavesurfer plug-in to interpolate with lines

Pitch Normalization

- Pitch contours are different lengths and need to be normalized for comparison.
  - Simple interpolation doesn’t work because peak location tends to differ between monosyllabic and polysyllabic feet.
  - Predicted peak locations were used to split intervals, and 50 points were sampled on each side.

Analysis

- Distances between pitch contours were calculated as:
- $1\text{-}cor(F_{0i},F_{0j})$

Clustering

- Used S-PLUS “hclust” method for clustering (non-metrical hierarchical)
  - Each object gets own clustered, then clusters joined until only 1
  - Used “ward” method: minimum variance method that finds compact spherical clusters
  - Final number of clusters determined empirically by looking and listening

Results

- 6 clusters were selected
- The paper has figures of medians of z-normalized pitch contours for each cluster.
- There is a also a table showing bigram relative frequencies, with some discussion.

Conclusion

- Authors feel this paper has shown assumptions made in Generalized Linear Alignment Model are correct.
- Discoveries
  - “two feet (most frequently occurring at the end of a minor or major phrase) can be connected by what seems to be a different type of phrase curve consisting of an increasing movement on the first foot and a decreasing movement on the last foot.”
  - “continuation rise which was always assumed to be present at minor phrase boundaries was only observed in fewer than 10% of feet occurring at the minor phrase boundary in this corpus.”
- Need to confirm these discoveries for other speakers