

Analysis of Algorithms: Solutions 4

				X									
				X	X	X							
				X	X	X							
		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
number of		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
homeworks		X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		
	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X		

		5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12				
		grades											

Problem 1

Give an efficient implementation of a `HEAP-INCREASE-KEY(A, i, k)` algorithm, which sets $A[i] \leftarrow \max(A[i], k)$ and updates the heap structure appropriately. Determine its time complexity and briefly explain your answer.

```

HEAP-INCREASE-KEY( $A, i, k$ )
if  $k > A[i]$ 
    then while  $i > 1$  and  $A[\text{PARENT}(i)] < k$ 
        do  $A[i] \leftarrow A[\text{PARENT}(i)]$ 
            $i \leftarrow \text{PARENT}(i)$ 
     $A[i] \leftarrow k$ 
    
```

The worst-case running time is proportional to the height of the heap; hence, it is $O(\lg n)$.

Problem 2

Using Figure 8.1 (page 155) in the textbook as a model, illustrate the operation of the `PARTITION` algorithm (which is a subroutine of `QUICK-SORT`) on the following array:

4 5 1 4 2 1 8 3

The values in the array are as follows:

initially:	4	5	1	4	2	1	8	3
after the first exchange:	3	5	1	4	2	1	8	4
after the second exchange:	3	1	1	4	2	5	8	4
after the third exchange:	3	1	1	2	4	5	8	4

Problem 3

Briefly describe how to adapt (a) MERGE-SORT and (b) QUICK-SORT to sort elements stored in a linked list, without copying them into an array. Give the time complexity of your algorithms; is it the same as the complexity of sorting an array?

We assume that every element x of a linked list has two fields, $next[x]$ and $key[x]$. The $next$ field points to the next element of the linked list, whereas key contains a numeric value. If x is the last element in the list, then $next[x]$ is NIL.

We describe the modified versions of MERGE-SORT and QUICK-SORT procedures. The time complexity of both procedures is the same as that for sorting arrays.

(a) The MERGE-SORT procedure gets two arguments, the first element of a linked list and the number of elements in the list. The procedure finds the middle of the list and cuts it in two sublists, y and z . Then, it makes recursive calls to sort these sublists. The MERGE procedure is similar to that for arrays; however, it may be implemented to sort in-place.

MERGE-SORT(x, n) \triangleright x is the first element; n is the number of elements

if $n > 1$

then $q \leftarrow \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$

$y \leftarrow x$

for $i \leftarrow 1$ **to** $q - 1$ \triangleright find the middle of the list

do $x \leftarrow next[x]$

$z \leftarrow next[x]$ \triangleright beginning of the second sublist

$next[x] \leftarrow \text{NIL}$ \triangleright end of the first sublist

$y \leftarrow \text{MERGE-SORT}(y, q)$ \triangleright sort the first sublist

$z \leftarrow \text{MERGE-SORT}(z, n - q)$ \triangleright sort the second sublist

return MERGE(y, z) \triangleright return the sorted list

(b) The QUICK-SORT procedure gets the first element of a linked list, and calls PARTITION to divide the input list into two lists. The PARTITION procedure uses the key value of the first element as the “pivot” for partitioning, and constructs two new linked lists: one with the values smaller than the pivot, and the other with the values larger than the pivot; it returns both lists. After calling PARTITION, the QUICK-SORT procedure makes recursive calls to sort the two lists, and then appends the second sorted list to the end of the first one.

QUICK-SORT(x) \triangleright x is the first element of the list

if $next[x] \neq \text{NIL}$ \triangleright more than one element?

then $y, z \leftarrow \text{PARTITION}(x)$ \triangleright PARTITION returns two lists

$y \leftarrow \text{QUICK-SORT}(y)$

$z \leftarrow \text{QUICK-SORT}(z)$

$x \leftarrow y$

while $next[x] \neq \text{NIL}$ \triangleright find the end of the first list

do $x \leftarrow next[x]$

$next[x] \leftarrow z$ \triangleright append the second list to the end of the first one

return y

```

PARTITION( $x$ )
 $k \leftarrow \text{key}[x]$     ▷  $k$  is the pivot for partitioning
 $y \leftarrow \text{NIL}$      ▷ list of elements smaller than  $k$ 
 $z \leftarrow \text{NIL}$      ▷ list of elements greater than  $k$ 
while  $x \neq \text{NIL}$ 
    do  $x\text{-next} \leftarrow \text{next}[x]$ 
        if  $\text{key}[x] \leq k$ 
            then     ▷ add  $x$  to the smaller-element list
                 $\text{next}[x] \leftarrow y$ 
                 $y \leftarrow x$ 
            else     ▷ add  $x$  to the larger-element list
                 $\text{next}[x] \leftarrow z$ 
                 $z \leftarrow x$ 
         $x \leftarrow x\text{-next}$     ▷ move to the next element
return  $y, z$ 

```

Problem 4

A d -ary heap is like a binary heap, but instead of 2 children, nodes have d children.

(a) How would you represent a d -ary heap in an array? What are the expressions for determining the parent of a given element, $\text{PARENT}(i)$, and a j -th child of a given element, $\text{CHILD}(i, j)$, where $1 \leq j \leq d$?

The following expressions determine the parent and j -th child of element i (where $1 \leq j \leq d$):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PARENT}(i) &= \left\lfloor \frac{i + d - 2}{d} \right\rfloor, \\ \text{CHILD}(i, j) &= (i - 1)d + j + 1. \end{aligned}$$

(b) What is the height of a d -ary heap of n elements in terms of n and d ? You need to give an *exact* expression for the height, without using the Θ -notation.

The height h of a heap is *approximately* equal to $\log_d n$. The exact height is

$$h = \lceil \log_d(nd - n + 1) - 1 \rceil.$$