Constructive Logic (15-317), Spring 2023 Assignment 4: Cut Elimination (70 points)

Instructor: Frank Pfenning

Due: February 23, 2023, 11:59 pm

1 Cut Elimination (30 points)

Theorem 1 (Cut Admissibility) *If* $\Gamma \implies A$ *and* $\Gamma, A \implies C$ *then* $\Gamma \implies C$ *. Alternatively, the rule*

$$\begin{array}{c} \Gamma \Longrightarrow A \quad \Gamma, A \Longrightarrow C \\ \hline \Gamma \Longrightarrow C \end{array} \ {\rm cut} \end{array}$$

is admissible.

The proof goes by nested inductions on the structure of A, the derivation \mathcal{D} of $\Gamma \Longrightarrow A$ and \mathcal{E} of $\Gamma, A \Longrightarrow C$. The proof is constructive: we show how to construct \mathcal{F} of $\Gamma \Longrightarrow C$ from \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} :

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathcal{D} & \mathcal{E} \\
\Gamma \Longrightarrow A & \Gamma, A \Longrightarrow C \\
\hline \Gamma \Longrightarrow C & \text{cut} & \mathcal{F} \\
\hline \Gamma \Longrightarrow C & & & & \\
\end{array}$$

Let us add a new connective opt[A], "optional A", with the following rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Longrightarrow A}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \mathsf{opt}[A]} \operatorname{opt} R_1 \quad \frac{}{\Gamma \Longrightarrow \mathsf{opt}[A]} \operatorname{opt} R_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, \mathsf{opt}[A], A \Longrightarrow C \quad \Gamma, \mathsf{opt}[A] \Longrightarrow C}{\Gamma, \mathsf{opt}[A] \Longrightarrow C} \ \mathsf{opt}L$$

Adding a new connective means we must extend our proofs of admissibility with a few cases.

To extend our proof of *cut admissibility* so that it holds for our logic with opt[A], we need to check four cases:

- *Principal cases*: \mathcal{D} ends with an opt R_1 (or opt R_2) and \mathcal{E} ends with an optL, where optL operates on the *principal formula* (the one the cut introduces).
- \mathcal{D} ends with an optL and \mathcal{E} is arbitrary.
- \mathcal{D} is arbitrary and \mathcal{E} ends with an opt R_1 (or opt R_2)
- D is arbitrary and E ends with an optL, where optL operates on a *side formula* (a formula that is not the principal one).

Task 1 (30 points) *Show the two principal cases and show one of the other (commuting) cases (you choose which one).*

2 Rule Induction (40 points)

Rule induction is when we use induction on the structure of a derivation. It allows us to apply the induction hypothesis whenever a derivation's size decreases. In the following tasks, we want to prove that

by rule induction (induction on the derivation \mathcal{D} of $A\uparrow$).

Task 2 (10 points) Because we define $A\uparrow$ and $A\downarrow$ mutually, the induction hypothesis we get directly from the proposition is not enough to prove it. Extend the induction hypothesis so that it is strong enough.

Task 3 (10 points) *Check the case of the proof where the last rule in* D *is* $\supset I$ *.*

Task 4 (10 points) *Check the case of the proof where the last rule applied to the judgment is* $\supset E$ *.*

Task 5 (10 points) *Check the case of the proof where the last rule in* \mathcal{D} *is* $\downarrow\uparrow$ *.*