Lab 1 Sax

15-417/817: HOT Compilation Frank Pfenning

Due Thu Jan 23 (tests), Thu Jan 30 (compilers) 150 points

In this first lab we study our intermediate language Sax with a linear type system. We further restrict ourselves to the first-order fragment where all types are positive, augmented with metavariables that internalize the typing judgment. Extensions will continue to be the core of our compiler throughout the semester.

1 Submissions

Your submissions should be handed in directly to Gradescope from Github or Bitbucket. You may hand in as often as you like.

1.1 Test Cases (30 points)

Your handin should have a directory tests/ that contains 10 distinct files with a variety of Sax source files <file>.sax. Your files should have a mix of negative tests (which are required to fail) and positive tests. Among the positive tests should be procedures with parameters and those with only a destination. Parameterless procedures are executed, each of the resulting values will be printed to a file <file>.sax.val. You may validate your test files using the reference implementation of Sax available at ~/bin/sax and ~/bin/sax-test. Some of your test files should implement some interesting algorithms. Efficiency, whether of type-checking or execution, is not yet an issue so the test cases should not aim to excessively stress the implementation.

1.2 Compiler (120 points)

Your handin should contain a Makefile at the top level that compiles your sources to create the executable ./sax. This executable should take a single <file>.sax as an argument and write a file <file>.sax.val (which may be empty if there are no paramaterless procedures in <file>.sax). These are so-called *large values* rather than the contents of memory at the end of execution, which we don't consider directly observable.

There is starter code available in Standard ML, OCaml, and Rust. You may use another implementation language, but you should contact the course staff to make sure it is available in the correct configuration in the autograder on Gradescope.

Lab 1 L1.2

2 Grammars

2.1 Lexical Analysis

Keywords cannot be used as identifiers <id>.

2.2 Sax Grammar (files * . sax)

```
< ::= <defn>*
<defn> ::= 'type' <id> '=' <tp>
       'proc' <id> <parm> <parm>* '=' <cmd>
       'fail' <defn>
<cmd> ::= 'read' <id> <pat> <cmd>
      'read' <id>'{' <branch>+ '}'
      'write' <id> <pat>
      / cut' <id>':' <tp> <cmd> <cmd>
      / id' <id> <id>
      'call' <id> <id> <id>*
      ' {' <cmd>' }'
<branch> ::= '|' <pat> '=>' <cmd>
<pat> ::= <label> '(' <id>')'
      ' (' <id>',' <id>')'
      | '(' ')'
<parm> ::= '(' <id>':' <tp>')'
<tp> ::= '+' '{' <alts> '}'
     | <tp> '*' <tp>
     | '1'
     | <id>
     | '(' <tp>')'
```

Lab 1 L1.3

2.3 Statics

In addition to linear typing as detailed in the lecture notes, we have the following static requirements. Note that all types and procedures may be mutually recursive, respectively.

- 1. Sums may not be empty
- 2. Branches may not be empty
- 3. Sums may not contain any duplicate labels
- 4. Type definitions must be contractive (that is, right-hand side cannot be a type name)
- 5. Type names may be defined at most once
- 6. Type names that are used must be defined
- 7. Type names can be defined with arbitrary mutually recursion
- 8. Procedures may be defined at most once
- 9. Procedures that are called must be defined
- 10. Procedures can be defined with arbitrary mutually recursion
- 11. Destinations and parameters in procedure definitions must be pairwise distinct
- 12. Bound variables can shadow parameters and other bound variables, but not destinations
- 13. fail <defn> succeeds if <defn> fails some static check (including type checking)
- 14. fail cannot be nested

2.4 Value Grammar (files * . sax . val)

The value file *.sax.val may not have any missing or extraneous definitions, compared to the parameterless procedures in *.sax

Lab 1 L1.4

2.5 Typing

Typing rules can be found in Section 9.1 of the notes for Lecture 1 as augmented with subtyping as in Section 5 of notes for Lecture 2. In these rules, the order of antecedents is seen as irrelevant, and the comma operator conjoins contexts with disjoints sets of variables. Some shadowing is allowed (see subsection 2.3) which could be implemented via silent renaming of bound variables, or via keeping the context ordered in your implementation.

In addition the restrictions from subsection 2.3 are to be respected.

Section 3 of Lecture 2 provides a definition of so-called *additive algorithmic typing*. This represents one possible way type checking might be implemented, but it is in no way required. The typing rules themselves provide the specification.

2.6 Subtyping

The coinductive rules for subtyping $A \leq B$ are given in Section 5 of Lecture 2.

2.7 Dynamics

The multiset rewriting rules for the dynamics can be found in Section 9.2 of Lecture 1. Again this is a specification which can be implemented any number of ways. In particular, we recommend a sequential implementation to keep matters simple. The implementation should execute parameterless procedures in the input file <file>.sax and write the corresponding values to the output file <file>.sax.val.