### 16-350

### **Planning Techniques for Robotics**

# Case Study: Planning for Mobile Manipulation and Articulated Robots

Maxim Likhachev Robotics Institute Carnegie Mellon University

# Two Examples

- Planning for Mobile Manipulation
- Planning for Articulated Robots

# Two Examples

- Planning for Mobile Manipulation
- Planning for Articulated Robots

Robotic Bartender Demo ([Phillips et al.])

• Robot takes in a command from User Interface as to what soda can and snack to deliver









Graph for Navigation with Complex 3D Body [Hornung et al., '12]

- 3D  $(x,y,\theta)$  lattice-based graph representation for full-body collision checking
  - takes set of motion primitives as input
  - takes *N* footprints of the robot defined as polygons as input
  - each footprint corresponds to the projection of a part of the body onto x,y plane
  - collision checking/cost computation is done for each footprint at the corresponding projection of the 3D map



Graph for Navigation with Complex 3D Body [Hornung et al., '12]

- 3D  $(x,y,\theta)$  lattice-based graph representation for full-body collision checking
  - takes set of motion primitives as input
  - takes *N* footprints of the robot defined as polygons as input
  - each footprint corresponds to the projection of a part of the body onto x,y plane
  - collision checking/cost computation is done for each footprint at the corresponding projection of the 3D map















# Two Examples

- Planning for Mobile Manipulation
- Planning for Articulated Robots

Little Dog Demo [Vernaza et al., '09]

- Little Dog robot needs to traverse a fully-known terrain
- Planning
  - Plans footsteps first with an anytime variant of  $A^*$
  - Compute COM of the robot afterwards to support execution





#### Footstep Planner [Vernaza et al., '09]

#### Assumptions of the planner:

- Only one leg lifted at a time to ensure static stability
- Center of mass shifts during quadsupport phase to prevent tipping
- Footholds chosen deliberately to maximize stability



### Footstep Planner [Vernaza et al., '09]

**Planner builds Graph:** 

Implicit or explicit graph?

- Node (stance): 9-dimensional foothold configuration
  - feet positions and current gait phase
- Edge: feasible transition between stances
- Edge costs for transitions computed based on risk, anticipated delay

### Footstep Planner [Vernaza et al., '09]

Planner builds (<u>implicit</u>) Graph:

Requires definition of:

GetSuccessors(state S)

GetCost(state S, state S')

- Node (stance): 9-dime. configuration
  - feet positions and current gait phase
- Edge: feasible transition between stances
- Edge costs for transitions computed based on risk, anticipated delay

### Implementation of *GetSuccessors(s)* Function



- Finite set of good quality candidate footholds selected prior to planning
- Valid stances are kinematically feasible 4-tuples of candidate footholds
- Successors of a given stance computed by:
  - determining reachable candidate footholds that result in a valid stance

• Edgecosts are weighted sum of:



- Edgecosts are weighted sum of:
  - Overhead
    - Fixed cost per step
  - Center of mass travel
    - Discourages backwards motion of COM
  - Incircle radius
    - Farthest distance from interior to exterior of support triangle



- Edgecosts are weighted sum of:
  - Collision
    - Risk of body/foot colliding with terrain
  - Foot height variance
    - Encourages robot to stay level



- Edgecosts are weighted sum of:
  - Reachability
    - Robot's ability to reach next foothold, switch to next support triangle without dragging feet

### Terrain slope

Ensures terrain slope supports direction of motion

#### Terrain cost

 Considers slippage potential given terrain



Lots of features make up the cost function.

- Edgecosts are weighted sum of:
  - Reachability
    - Robot's ability to Fine tuning them is not fun Support triangle without

Terrain slope

 Ensures terrain slope supports direction of motion

#### Terrain cost

 Considers slippage potential given terrain



- Edgecosts are weighted sum of:
  - Reachability
    - Robot's ability to
      *F* support triangle without

Lots of features make up the cost function. Fine tuning them is not fun  $\mathfrak{S}$ 

1000

- Terrain slope
  - Ensures terrain el direction

There are ways to learn them but this is a topic for an advanced class

- Terrain cost
  - Considers slippage potential given terrain



### Sometimes smart but often stupid

#### Search-based planning for a legged robot over rough terrain

Paul Vernaza, Maxim Likhachev, Subhrajit Bhattacharya, Sachin Chitta\*, Aleksandr Kushleyev, Daniel D. Lee

GRASP Laboratory University of Pennsylvania

\*Willow Garage, Inc.





no footstep planning

# What You Should Know...

- Typical pipeline for mobile manipulation
- Use of multiple planners for mobile manipulation
- Factors and cost terms involved when planning locomotion for articulated robots (e.g., quadrupeds and humanoids)