# 16-350

# **Planning Techniques for Robotics**

# Planning Representations: Skeletonization- and Grid-based Graphs Explicit vs. Implicit Graphs

Maxim Likhachev Robotics Institute Carnegie Mellon University

### 2D Planning for Omnidirectional Point Robot

Planning for omnidirectional point robot:

What is  $M^R = \langle x, y \rangle$ What is  $M^W = \langle obstacle/free \ space \rangle$ What is  $s^R_{current} = \langle x_{current}, y_{current} \rangle$ What is  $s^W_{current} = constant$ What is  $C = Euclidean \ Distance$ What is  $G = \langle x_{goal}, y_{goal} \rangle$ 



### Planning as Graph Search Problem

1. Construct a graph representing the planning problem

2. Search the graph for a (hopefully, close-to-optimal) path

The two steps above are often interleaved

# Planning as Graph Search Problem

1. Construct a graph representing the planning problem *This class* 

2. Search the graph for a (hopefully, close-to-optimal) path *Next class* 

The two steps above are often interleaved

More on this in this & later classes

# 2D Planning for Omnidirectional Point Robot

Planning for omnidirectional point robot:

What is  $M^R = \langle x, y \rangle$ What is  $M^W = \langle obstacle/free space \rangle$ What is  $s^{R}_{current} = \langle x_{current}, y_{current} \rangle$ What is  $s^{W}_{current} = constant$ What is C = Euclidean Distance What is  $G = \langle x_{goal}, y_{goal} \rangle$ 

Any ideas on how to construct a graph for planning?



- Skeletonization
  - -Visibility graphs
  - -Voronoi diagrams
  - Probabilistic roadmaps

- Cell decomposition
  - X-connected grids
  - lattice-based graphs

- Skeletonization
  - -Visibility graphs
  - -Voronoi diagrams
  - Probabilistic roadmaps 🗸

- Cell decomposition
  - X-connected grids
  - lattice-based graphs

Will be covered

in later classes

- Skeletonization
  - -Visibility graphs
  - -Voronoi diagrams
  - Probabilistic roadmaps

- Cell decomposition
  - X-connected grids
  - lattice-based graphs

• Visibility Graphs [Wesley & Lozano-Perez '79]

- based on idea that *the shortest path consists of obstacle-free straight line segments connecting all obstacle vertices and start and goal* 



• Visibility Graphs [Wesley & Lozano-Perez '79]

- based on idea that *the shortest path consists of obstacle-free straight line segments connecting all obstacle vertices and start and goal* 



• Visibility Graphs [Wesley & Lozano-Perez '79]

- construct a graph by connecting all vertices, start and goal by obstacle-free straight line segments (graph is  $O(n^2)$ , where n - # of vert.)



• Visibility Graphs [Wesley & Lozano-Perez '79]

- construct a graph by connecting all vertices, start and goal by obstacle-free straight line segments (graph is  $O(n^2)$ , where n - # of vert.)



- Visibility Graphs
  - advantages:
    - independent of the size of the environment
  - disadvantages:
    - path is too close to obstacles
    - hard to deal with the cost function that is not distance
    - hard to deal with non-polygonal obstacles
    - hard to maintain the polygonal representation of obstacles
    - can be expensive in spaces higher than 2D

- Skeletonization
  - -Visibility graphs
  - -Voronoi diagrams
  - Probabilistic roadmaps

- Cell decomposition
  - X-connected grids
  - lattice-based graphs

• Voronoi diagram [Rowat '79]

- set of all points that are equidistant to two nearest obstacles

(can be computed O (n log n), where n - # of points that represent obstacles)



- Voronoi diagram-based graph
  - Edges: Boundaries in Voronoi diagram
  - Vertices: Intersection of boundaries
  - Add start and goal vertices
  - Add edges that correspond to:
    - shortest path segment from start to the nearest segment on the Voronoi diagram
    - shortest path segment from goal to the nearest segment on the Voronoi diagram



- Voronoi diagram-based graph
  - Edges: Boundaries in Voronoi diagram
  - Vertices: Intersection of boundaries
  - Add start and goal vertices
  - Add edges that correspond to:
    - shortest path segment from start to the nearest segment on the Voronoi diagram
    - shortest path segment from goal to the nearest segment on the Voronoi diagram



Disadvantages of the Voronoi diagram-based Graphs?

- Voronoi diagram-based graph
  - advantages:
    - tends to stay away from obstacles
    - independent of the size of the environment
    - can work with any obstacles represented as set of points
  - disadvantages:
    - can result in highly suboptimal paths
    - hard to deal with the cost function that is not distance
    - hard to use/maintain beyond 2D

- Skeletonization
  - -Visibility graphs
  - -Voronoi diagrams
  - Probabilistic roadmaps

- Cell decomposition
  - X-connected grids
  - lattice-based graphs

- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
  - overlay uniform grid (discretize)



- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
  - construct a graph



- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
  - construct a graph



- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
  - construct a graph



- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
  - construct a graph



- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
  - what to do with partially blocked cells?



- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
  - what to do with partially blocked cells?
  - make it untraversable incomplete (may not find a path that exists)



- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
  - what to do with partially blocked cells?
  - make it traversable unsound (may return invalid path)

so, what's the solution?



- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
  - solution 1:
    - make the discretization very fine
    - expensive, especially in high-D



- Approximate Cell Decomposition:
  - solution 2:
    - make the discretization adaptive
    - various ways possible





- Graph construction:
  - connect neighbors



- Graph construction:
  - connect neighbors
  - path is restricted to 45° degrees



- Graph construction:
  - connect neighbors
  - path is restricted to 45° degrees





- Graph construction:
  - connect cells to neighbor of neighbors
  - path is restricted to ? degrees



- Graph construction:
  - connect cells to neighbor of neighbors
  - path is restricted to 26.6°/63.4° degrees



- Graph construction:
  - connect cells to neighbor of neighbors
  - path is restricted to 26.6°/63.4° degrees



# Cell Decomposition-based Graphs

- Grid-based graph
  - advantages:
    - very simple to implement (super popular)
    - can represent any dimensional space
    - works well with obstacles represented as set of points
    - works with any cost function
  - disadvantages:
    - size does depend on the size of the environment
    - expensive to maintain/compute grids of dimensions > 3

# Cell Decomposition-based Graphs

- Grid-based graph
  - advantages:
    - very simple to implement (super popular)
    - can represent any dimensional space
    - works well with obstacles represented as set of points
    - works with any cost function
  - disadvantages:
    - size does depend on the size of the environment
    - expensive to maintain/compute grids of dimensions > 3

More on this in a later class on

Implicit Graph representations for high-dimensional planning problems

Planning for omnidirectional point robot:

What is  $M^R = \langle x, y \rangle$ What is  $M^W = \langle obstacle/free \ space \rangle$ What is  $s^R_{current} = \langle x_{current}, y_{current} \rangle$ What is  $s^W_{current} = constant$ What is  $C = Euclidean \ Distance$ What is  $G = \langle x_{goal}, y_{goal} \rangle$ 





# **Configuration Space**

- Configuration is legal if it does not intersect any obstacles and is valid
- Configuration Space is the set of legal configurations

Legal configurations for the base of the robot:

# **Configuration Space**

- Configuration is legal if it does not intersect any obstacles and is valid
- Configuration Space is the set of legal configurations

*Legal configurations for the base of the robot:* 

What is the dimensionality of this configuration space?

Configuration space for a robot base in 2D world is:
2D if robot's base is circular



- expand all obstacles by radius r of the robot's base
- graph construction can then be done assuming point robot

Configuration space for a robot base in 2D world is:
2D if robot's base is circular



- expand all obstacles by radius r of the robot's base
- graph construction can then be done assuming point robot

Is this a correct

Configuration space for a robot base in 2D world is:
2D if robot's base is circular



- expand all obstacles by radius r of the robot's base
- graph construction can then be done assuming point robot

Configuration space for a robot bac O(n) methods exist to compute distance transforms efficiently
 2D if robot's base is circular

How to perform expansion of obstacles?



- expand all obstacles by radius r of the robot's base
- graph construction can then be done assuming point robot

Planning for omnidirectional circular robot:

What is  $M^R = \langle x, y \rangle$ What is  $M^W = \langle obstacle/free \ space \rangle$ What is  $s^R_{current} = \langle x_{current}, y_{current} \rangle$ What is  $s^W_{current} = constant$ What is  $C = Euclidean \ Distance$ What is  $G = \langle x_{goal}, y_{goal} \rangle$ 



Planning for omnidirectional circular robot:

What is  $M^R = \langle x, y \rangle$ What is  $M^W = \langle obstacle/free \ space \rangle$ What is  $s^R_{current} = \langle x_{current}, y_{current} \rangle$ What is  $s^W_{current} = constant$ What is  $C = Euclidean \ Distance$ What is  $G = \langle x_{goal}, y_{goal} \rangle$ 

We can now construct a graph using previously discussed methods (grids, Voronoi graphs, Visibility graphs)



Configuration space for a robot base in 2D world is:
3D if robot's base is non-circular



### Planning as Graph Search Problem

1. Construct a graph representing the planning problem

2. Search the graph for a (hopefully, close-to-optimal) path

The two steps above are often interleaved

#### Planning as Graph Search Problem

1. Construct a graph representing the planning problem

2. Search the graph for a (hopefully, close-to-optimal) path

The two steps above are often interleaved

Graph Search using an **Explicit Graph** (allocated prior to the search itself):

1. Create the graph  $G = \{V, E\}$  in-memory

2. Search the graph

Using Explicit Graphs is typical for low-D (i.e., 2D) problems in Robotics (with the exception of PRMs, covered in a later lecture)

Graph Search using an **Implicit Graph** (allocated as needed by the search):

- 1. Instantiate Start state
- 2. Start searching with the Start state using functions
  - a) Succs = GetSuccessors (State s, Action)
    b) ComputeEdgeCost (State s, Action a, State s')

and allocating memory for the generated states

Using Implicit Graphs is critical for most (>2D) problems in Robotics

• **Board example** for deciding whether to use an Explicit graph or Implicit graph

- Planning for  $(x, y, \Theta)$  for
  - 20 by 20 m environment discretized into 25 cm cells with 8 heading  $\Theta$  values

Is it feasible to use Explicit Graph (memory and pre-computation time reqs)?

• **Board example** for deciding whether to use an Explicit graph or Implicit graph

- Planning for  $(x, y, \Theta)$  for
  - 200 by 200 m environment discretized into 25 cm cells with 16 heading  $\Theta$  values for a real vehicle

Is it feasible to use Explicit Graph (memory and pre-computation time reqs)?

Planning for omnidirectional non-circular robot:

What is  $M^{R} = \langle x, y, \Theta \rangle$ What is  $M^{W} = \langle obstacle/free \ space \rangle$ What is  $s^{R}_{current} = \langle x_{current}, y_{current}, \Theta_{current} \rangle$ What is  $s^{W}_{current} = constant$ What is  $C = Euclidean \ Distance$ What is  $G = \langle x_{goal}, y_{goal}, \Theta_{goal} \rangle$ 





Construct a 3D grid  $(x,y,\Theta)$  assuming point robot (i.e., a cell  $(x,y,\Theta)$  is free whenever its (x,y) is free) and compute the **actual** validity of only those cells that get computed by the graph search

Planning for omnidirectional non-circular robot:

What is  $M^{R} = \langle x, y, \Theta \rangle$ What is  $M^{W} = \langle obstacle/free \ space \rangle$ What is  $s^{R}_{current} = \langle x_{current}, y_{current}, \Theta_{current} \rangle$ What is  $s^{W}_{current} = constant$ What is  $C = Euclidean \ Distance$ What is  $G = \langle x_{goal}, y_{goal}, \Theta_{goal} \rangle$ 





Construct a 3D grid  $(x,y,\Theta)$  assuming point robot (i.e., a cell  $(x,y,\Theta)$  is free whenever its (x,y) is free) and compute the **actual** validity of only those cells that get computed by the graph search

How to compute the actual validity of cell  $(x,y,\Theta)$ ?

Planning for omnidirectional non-circular robot:

What is  $M^{R} = \langle x, y, \Theta \rangle$ What is  $M^{W} = \langle obstacle/free \ space \rangle$ What is  $s^{R}_{current} = \langle x_{current}, y_{current}, \Theta_{current} \rangle$ What is  $s^{W}_{current} = constant$ What is  $C = Euclidean \ Distance$ What is  $G = \langle x_{goal}, y_{goal}, \Theta_{goal} \rangle$ 

Interleave Graph Construction and Graph Search steps!





- What visibility graphs are
- What Voronoi diagram-based graphs are
- X-connected N-dimensional grids
- Configuration Space, C-Space Transform
- Explicit vs. Implicit graphs and pros/cons of each