10-423/623: Generative AI Lecture 3 – Learning LLMs and Decoding

Henry Chai & Matt Gormley

9/4/24

#### Front Matter

- Announcements:
	- HW0 released 8/28, due 9/9 (next Monday) at 11:59 PM
		- Two components: written and programming
			- Separate assignments on Gradescope
		- Unique policy specific to HW0: **we will grant (almost) any extension request**
	- Quiz 1 in-class on 9/11 (next Wednesday)
	- Instructor OH start this week; see the OH calendar for more details

Recall: Scaled Dot-Product Attention





$$
[\boldsymbol{q}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{q}_N] = \boldsymbol{W}_q^T[\boldsymbol{x}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_N]
$$
  

$$
[\boldsymbol{k}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{k}_N] = \boldsymbol{W}_k^T[\boldsymbol{x}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_N]
$$
  

$$
[\boldsymbol{v}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{v}_N] = \boldsymbol{W}_v^T[\boldsymbol{x}_1, \cdots, \boldsymbol{x}_N]
$$









Which dimension is the softmax applied over: row -wise or column -wise?



Holy cow, that's a lot of new arrows… do we always want/need all of those?



## Causal Attention



$$
AV = \left(\text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V\right)
$$

 $A = \text{softmax}(S)$ 

• Suppose we're training our transformer to predict the next token(s) given the input… • … then attending to tokens that come after

the current token is

cheating!

Idea: we can effectively delete or "mask" some of these





**Masking** 



#### 9/4/24 **13**

Idea: we can effectively delete or "mask" some of these

Which of the mask matrices corresponds to this set of arrows?



Idea: we can effectively delete or "mask" some of these



# Masked Multi -headed Attention: Matrix Form

$$
X' = \text{concat}\left\{\text{softmax}\left(\frac{Q^{(i)}K^{(i)}}{\sqrt{d_k}} + M\right)V^{(i)}\right\} \text{ where } K^{(i)} = XW_{q}^{(i)}
$$
\n\nMasked

\nMulti-headed

\nAttention:

\nMatrix Form

\n

w <sub>q</sub> <sup>(i)</sup>	w <sub>1</sub> <sup>(i)</sup>	w <sub>2</sub> <sup>(i)</sup>
Matrix Form	w <sub>q</sub> <sup>(i)</sup>	wulti-headed attention

\nMatrix Form

\n

w <sub>q</sub> <sup>(i)</sup>	w <sub>q</sub> <sup>(i)</sup>	
Matrix Form	w <sub>q</sub> <sup>(i)</sup>	w <sub>q</sub> <sup>(i)</sup>

**Summary** thus Far

- 1. Language Modeling
	- $\cdot$  Key idea: condition on previous words to **sample the next word**
	- To define the **probability** of the next word, we can…
		- $\cdot$  use conditional independence assumption (*n*-grams)
		- throw a neural network at it (RNN-LM or Transformer-LM)
- 2. (Module-based) AutoDiff
	- A tool for **computing gradients** of a differentiable function,  $b = f(a)$
	- $\cdot$  Key building block: **modules** with forward() and backward()
		- $\cdot$  Can define  $f$  as **code** in forward() by chaining existing modules together
- <sup>9/4/24</sup> <sup>17</sup> Can define *f* as a **computation graph**

**Summary** thus Far

- 1. Language Modeling
	- $\cdot$  Key idea: condition on previous words to sample the next word
	- To define the **probability** of the next word, we can…
		- $\cdot$  use conditional independence assumption (*n*-grams)

· throw a.nto alearn one of these? Pansformer-LM)

#### 2. (Module-based) AutoDiff

- A tool for **computing gradients** of a differentiable function,  $b = f(a)$
- · Key buil How can we use this stuffand backward() • Can define f as **code** in forward() by chaining existing modules together
- Can define as a **computation graph** 9/4/24 **18**

**Stochastic** Gradient Descent

• Input: training dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}, y^{(n)})\}$  $n=1$  $\boldsymbol{N}$ , step size  $\gamma$ 

- 1. Randomly initialize the parameters of your neural LM  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$ and set  $t = 0$
- 2. While TERMINATION CRITERION is not satisfied
	- a. Bandomly sample a data point from  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$
	- b. Compute the gradient of the loss w.r.t. the sample using (module-based) AutoDiff:  $\nabla\!J^{(i)}\!\big(\bm{\theta}^{(t)}\big)$
	- c. Update  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \colon \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} \gamma \nabla\!J^{(i)}\!\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}\right)$
	- d. Increment  $t: t \leftarrow t + 1$

Mini-batch **Stochastic** Gradient Descent

• Input: training dataset  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}, y^{(n)})\}$  $n=1$  $\boldsymbol{N}$ , step size  $\gamma$ ,

and batch size  $B$ 

- 1. Randomly initialize the parameters of your neural LM  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$ and set  $t = 0$
- 2. While TERMINATION CRITERION is not satisfied
	- a. Randomly sample B data points from D,  $\{(\boldsymbol{x}^{(b)}, y^{(b)})\}$  $b=1$  $\overline{B}$
	- b. Compute the gradient of the loss w.r.t. the sampled *batch* using (module-based) AutoDiff:  $\nabla J^{(B)}\big(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}\big)$
	- c. Update  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \colon \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} \gamma \nabla\!J^{(B)}\!\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}\right)$
	- d. Increment  $t: t \leftarrow t + 1$

Recall:  $n$ -gram Language Model **Training** 

- $\cdot$  How do we train an  $n$ -gram language model?
- Using training data! Simply count frequency of next words, which **maximizes the likelihood** of the data under the various categorial distributions in the model

**Narwhals are** big aquatic mammals that…

Who knows what **narwhals are** hiding?

Watch out, the **narwhals are coming!** 

These **narwhals are** friendly! **Narwhals are a surprisingly large part of the Narwhals are a surprisingly large part of the Narwhin** The **narwhals are** a punk rock **Narwhals are big fans of machine learning Narwhals are** generated by A



same principle of MLE to optimize the parameters of our Neural LMs!  $\cdot$  How do we train an  $n$ -gram language model?

 Using training data! Simply count frequency of next words, which **maximizes the likelihood** of the data under the We can use the various categorial distributions in the model

**Narwhals are** big aquatic mammals that…

Who knows what **narwhals are** hiding?

Watch out, the **narwhals are** cominal

These **narwhals are** friendly! **Narwhals are a surprisingly large part of the Narwhals are a surprisingly large part of the Narwhin** The **narwhals are** a punk rock **Narwhals are big fans of machine learning Narwhals are** generated by A



Recurrent Neural **Networks** 





$$
h_t = \phi(W_{xh}x_t + W_{hh}h_{t-1} + b_h)
$$

$$
y_t = \text{softmax}(W_{hy}h_t + b_y)
$$



$$
h_t = \phi(W_{xh}x_t + W_{hh}h_{t-1} + b_h)
$$

$$
y_t = \text{softmax}(W_{hy}h_t + b_y)
$$



 $h_t = \phi(W_{xh}x_t + W_{hh}h_{t-1} + b_h)$ 

 $y_t = \text{softmax}(W_{hv} h_t + b_v)$ 

- Intuition: we want the true label to have high probability under the output distribution
- Idea: use  $y^*$  to index into the desired element of  $y$



Hidden

Labels,  $y^*$ 

Outputs, y

Units



Hidden

Labels,  $y^*$ 

Inputs,  $x$ 

Units

$$
h_t = \phi(W_{xh}x_t + W_{hh}h_{t-1} + b_h)
$$
\n
$$
y_t = \text{softmax}(W_{hy}h_t + b_y)
$$
\n
$$
\text{minimize } \ell_t = -\sum_{c=1}^{C} y_t^*[c] \log y_t[c]
$$
\n
$$
\text{Outputs, } y
$$
\n
$$
\text{Outputs, } \mathbf{y}
$$
\n
$$
\text{Findden}
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_0
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_1
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_2
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_3
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_4
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_5
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_6
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_7
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_8
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_9
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_1
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_2
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_3
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_4
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_5
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_9
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_1
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_2
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_3
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_4
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_5
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_8
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_9
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_9
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_9
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_1
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_2
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_3
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_4
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_5
$$
\n
$$
\mathbf{h}_9
$$
\n<



Recurrent **Neural** Network Language Models: Loss



Labels?

Recurrent **Neural** Network Language Models: Loss



Recurrent **Neural** Network Language Models: Loss



Recurrent Neural Network Language Models: Loss

 $h_0$ 

$$
h_{t} = \phi(W_{xh}x_{t} + W_{hh}h_{t-1} + b_{h})
$$
\n
$$
y_{t} = \text{softmax}(W_{hy}h_{t} + b_{y})
$$
\n
$$
\text{minimize } J = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \ell_{t} = \sum_{t=1}^{T} \left( -\sum_{c=1}^{C} y_{t}^{*}[c] \log y_{t}[c] \right)
$$
\n
$$
\text{maxintals}
$$
\n
$$
\text{maxintals}
$$
\n
$$
\text{are generated by}
$$
\n
$$
\text{maxintals}
$$
\n
$$
\text{maxintals}
$$
\n
$$
\text{are generated by}
$$
\n
$$
\text{maxintals}
$$
\

**33**

Recurrent **Neural** Network Language Models: **Training** 

• Each training data point is a *sequence*  $\pmb{x}^{(i)} = \left[ \pmb{x}^{(i)}_1, ..., \pmb{x}^{(i)}_{T_i} \right]$ 

 The objective function is the log-likelihood of a mini-batch:  $J^{(B)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log | \cdot |$  $b=1$  $\boldsymbol{B}$  $p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(b)}) = \sum_{i=1}^{b} p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(b)})$  $b=1$  $\boldsymbol{B}$  $\log p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(b)})$ (assuming i.i.d. sequences) where  $\log$ 

$$
\log p_{\theta}(x^{(b)}) \coloneqq \log p_{\theta}\left(x_1^{(b)}\middle|\mathbf{h}_1\right) + \dots + \log p_{\theta}\left(x_{T_b}^{(b)}\middle|\mathbf{h}_{T_b}\right)
$$

$$
\coloneqq l_1 + \dots + l_{T_b}
$$

Recurrent Neural Network Language Models: **Training** 



Transformer Language Models: **Training** 

different (differentiable) computation are **SOS** Narwhals are generated **EOS**  $\sum_{i=1}^n$  **Key Takeaway:** Training a transformer LM is equivalent to training an RNN LM: we use the same loss function and optimization algorithms, just with a graph in the middle

Are we really passing in "words" to this transformer?



- How can we break a sequence of text into individual units?
	- Example: "Henry is giving a lecture on transformers"
	- Word-based tokenization:

["henry", "is", "giving" "a", "lecture", "on", "transformers"]

- How can we break a sequence of text into individual units?
	- Example: "Henry is givin' a lectrue on transformers"
	- Word-based tokenization:
		- ["henry", "is", ???, "a", ???, "on", "transformers"]
			- Can have difficulty trading off between vocabulary size and computational tractability
			- Similar words e.g., "transformers" and "transformer" can get mapped to completely disparate representations
			- Typos will typically be out-of-vocabulary (OOV)

- How can we break a sequence of text into individual units?
	- Example: "Henry is givin' a lectrue on transformers"
	- Character-based tokenization:

 $\lceil$ "h", "e", "n", "r", "y", "i", "s", "g", "i", "y", "i", "n", "i", ... ]

- Much smaller vocabularies but a lot of semantic meaning is lost…
- Sequences will be much longer than word-based tokenization, potentially causing computational issues
- Can do well on logographic languages e.g., Kanji 漢字

- How can we break a sequence of text into individual units?
	- Example: "Henry is givin' a lectrue on transformers"
	- Subword tokenization:

["henry", "is", "giv", "##in", " ' ", "a", "lect", "#u", "##re", "on", "transform", "##ers"]

- Split long or rare words into smaller, semantically meaningful components or *subwords*
- No out-of-vocabulary words any non-subword token can be constructed from other subwords (all individual characters are subwords)

Okay, but these are still strings: how do I convert these into things my transformer can work with?

- How can we break a sequence of text into individual units?
	- Example: "Henry is givin' a lectrue on transformers"
	- Subword tokenization:
- → ["henry", "is", "giv", "##in", " ' ", "a", "lect", "#u", "##re", "on", "transform", "##ers"]
	- Split long or rare words into smaller, semantically meaningful components or *subwords*
	- No out-of-vocabulary words any non-subword token can be constructed from other subwords (all individual characters are subwords)

# **Embeddings**

- $\cdot$  Given a vocabulary V with  $|V|$  tokens:
	- 1. Map each token to a (non-negative) integer
	- 2. Define a  $|V| \times d_e$  lookup table, where each row is a dense, numerical vector of length  $d_e$
	- 3. The row corresponding to each token's integer assignment is that token's *embedding*

Are we really passing in "words" to this transformer?



Are we really passing in "words" to this transformer?

 $\int$  $\ell_5$   $\ell_6$  $\ell_1$   $\ell_2$   $\ell_3$   $\ell_4$  $\mathbb{R}^n$ T. **Contract** Transformer Layer 2.1 4.3 7.1 3.2 1.1 0.7 0.1 0.5 1.8 2.2 8.0 5.5 3.8 3.8 1.0 7.6 6.5 5.41 87 11 12 50 7 128 #s **EOS** 9/4/24 **SOS** Narwhal #s are generat #ed are

NO

**45**

Recall: Transformer **Computational Complexity** 

#### Important!

- RNN computation graph grows **linearly** with the number of input tokens
- Transformer LM computation graph grows **quadratically** with the number of input tokens
- However, this computation (and therefore, the training of transformer LMs) is **highly parallelizable**



**Parallelizing** Transformer LM Computation

- **Scaled dot-product attention** can be easily parallelized because the attention scores at one timestep do not depend on other timesteps.
- **In multi-headed attention**, each head is also independent of the other heads, which permits yet more parallelism.
- The core computation in attention is **matrix multiplication**, and GPUs/TPUs make this very fast.
- **Model parallelism:** for large models, we can divide the model over multiple GPUs/machines.
- **Key-value caching**: keys and values are re-used over many timesteps so we can cache them for faster access
- **Batching**: rather than process one sequence at a time, transformers take in a *batch*; the computation is identical for each sequence **(if they're of the same length)**

**Parallelizing** Transformer LM **Computation** 

- **Scaled dot-product attention** can be easily parallelized because the attention scores at one timestep do not depend on other timesteps.
- **.** In multi-headed attention, each head is also independent of the other heads, which permits yet more parallelism.
- The core computation in attention is **matrix multiplication**, and GPUs/TPUs make this very fast.
- **Model parallelism:** for large models, we can divide the model over multiple GPUs/machines.
- **Key-value caching**: keys and values are re-used over many timesteps so we can cache them for faster access
- **Batching**: rather than process one sequence at a time, transformers take in a *batch*; the computation is identical for each sequence **(if they're of the same length)**

Batching: Padding & **Truncation**   $\cdot$  Given a block size or maximum length,  $L$  (typically a power of 2):

- $\cdot$  Truncate sequences longer than  $L$  by deleting excess tokens
- Pad sequences shorter than L by adding **PAD** tokens



Batching: Padding & **Truncation**   $\cdot$  Given a block size or maximum length,  $L$  (typically a power of 2):

- $\cdot$  Truncate sequences longer than  $L$  by deleting excess tokens
- Pad sequences shorter than L by adding **PAD** tokens



Batching: Padding & **Truncation**   $\cdot$  Given a block size or maximum length,  $L$  (typically a power of 2):

- $\cdot$  Truncate sequences longer than  $L$  by deleting excess tokens
- Pad sequences shorter than L by adding PAD tokens



Recall: Language Model Generation

- How do we generate new sequences using an RNN language model?
- Exactly the same way we did for an  $n$ -gram language model, by sampling from some learned probability

distributions over next words!



Recall: Language Model Generation

- How do we generate new sequences using a transformer language model?
- Exactly the same way we did for an RNN language model, by sampling from some learned probability

distributions over next words!

**Outputs** 



Is this the distributions over next words! only thing we could do?

- How do we generate new sequences using a transformer language model?
- Exactly the same way we did for an RNN language model, by sampling from some learned probability

**Outputs** 



## Background: Greedy Search

Start

2

4

3

1

7

3 3 4

3

5 6

4

1

 $\overline{2}$ 

2

5

State

• **Goal**: find the lowest (total) weight path from the Start State to any End State • **Greedy Search**:

End

**States** 

7

8 <u>وَ</u>

8

- At each node, select the edge with lowest weight
	- **Heuristic**: does *not* necessarily find the lowest weight path



## Background: Greedy Search

- **Greedy Search**: • **Goal**: find the lowest (total) weight path from the Start State to any End State
	- At each node, select the edge with lowest weight
		- **Heuristic**: does *not* necessarily find the lowest weight path



## Background: Greedy Search

• **Goal**: find the lowest (total) weight path from the Start State to any End State • **Greedy Search**:



- At each node, select the edge with lowest weight
- **Heuristic**: does *not* necessarily find the lowest weight path
- Computation time is **linear** in max path length

Greedy Decoding for Language **Models** 

- **Goal**: find the highest probability sequence of tokens
- Nodes are tokens and weights are (negative) log probabilities



- At each node, select the edge with lowest negative log probability
- **Heuristic**: does *not* necessarily find the highest probability output
- Computation time is **linear** in the maximum path length

Ancestral Sampling for Language **Models** 

- **Goal**: find the highest probability sequence of tokens
- Nodes are tokens and weights are (negative) log probabilities



- At each node, sample an edge with probability proportional to the negative exp'ed weights
- **Exact** method of *sampling*
- Computation time is **linear** in the maximum path length