

10-301/601 Introduction to Machine Learning

Machine Learning Department School of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University

Hidden Markov Models (Part II)

Matt Gormley & Henry Chai Lecture 19 Oct. 29, 2021

Reminders

- Homework 6: Learning Theory / Generative Models
 - Out: Thu, Oct. 21
 - Due: Thu, Oct. 28 at 11:59pm
 - Same collaboration policy as Homework 3
 - Opt-in to homework groups on Piazza
 - IMPORTANT: you may only use 2 grace days on Homework 6
 - Last posible moment to submit HW6: Sat, Oct. 30 at 11:59pm
- Midterm Exam 2
 - Tue, Nov. 2, 6:30pm 8:30pm
- Practice for Exam 2
 - Practice problems released on course website
 - (Tentatively) Out: Thu, Oct. 21
 - Mock Exam 2
 - (Tentatively) Out: Thu, Oct. 28
 - Due Sun, Oct. 31 at 11:59pm

SUPERVISED LEARNING FOR HMMS

Recipe for Closed-form MLE

- 1. Assume data was generated i.i.d. from some model (i.e. write the generative story) $x^{(i)} \sim p(x|\theta)$
- 2. Write log-likelihood

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log p(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \dots + \log p(\mathbf{x}^{(N)}|\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

3. Compute partial derivatives (i.e. gradient)

```
\partial \boldsymbol{\ell}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) / \partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_1 = \dots
\partial \boldsymbol{\ell}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) / \partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_2 = \dots
```

 $\partial \boldsymbol{\ell}(\boldsymbol{\Theta}) / \partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\mathsf{M}} = \dots$

- 4. Set derivatives to zero and solve for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ $\partial \boldsymbol{\ell}(\boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}_{m} = 0$ for all $m \in \{1, ..., M\}$ $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{MLE} =$ solution to system of M equations and M variables
- 5. Compute the second derivative and check that $\ell(\theta)$ is concave down at θ^{MLE}

MLE of Categorical Distribution

1. Suppose we have a **dataset** obtained by repeatedly rolling a M-sided (weighted) die N times. That is, we have data

$$\mathcal{D} = \{x^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$$

where $x^{(i)} \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ and $x^{(i)} \sim \mathsf{Categorical}(\phi)$.

2. A random variable is **Categorical** written $X \sim \text{Categorical}(\phi)$ iff

$$P(X=x) = p(x; \phi) = \phi_x$$

where $x \in \{1, ..., M\}$ and $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \phi_m = 1$. The **log-likelihood** of the data becomes:

$$\ell(\pmb{\phi}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log \phi_{x^{(i)}} \text{ s.t. } \sum_{m=1}^M \phi_m = 1$$

Solving this constrained optimization problem yields the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE):

$$\phi_m^{MLE} = \frac{N_{x=m}}{N} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \mathbb{I}(x^{(i)} = m)}{N}$$



Hidden Markov Model

HMM Parameters:

Emission matrix, **A**, where $P(X_t = k | Y_t = j) = A_{j,k}, \forall t, k$ Transition matrix, **B**, where $P(Y_t = k | Y_{t-1} = j) = B_{j,k}, \forall t, k$ Initial probs, **C**, where $P(Y_1 = k) = C_k, \forall k$



Training HMMs

Whiteboard

- (Supervised) Likelihood for an HMM
- Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) for HMM

Supervised Learning for HMMs

Learning an HMM decomposes into solving two (independent) Mixture Models





Hidden Markov Model

HMM Parameters:

Emission matrix, **A**, where $P(X_t = k | Y_t = j) = A_{j,k}, \forall t, k$ Transition matrix, **B**, where $P(Y_t = k | Y_{t-1} = j) = B_{j,k}, \forall t, k$

Assumption: $y_0 = \text{START}$ Generative Story: $Y_t \sim \text{Multinomial}(\mathbf{B}_{Y_{t-1}}) \ \forall t$ $X_t \sim \text{Multinomial}(\mathbf{A}_{Y_t}) \ \forall t$

For notational convenience, we fold the initial probabilities **C** into the transition matrix **B** by our assumption.



Hidden Markov Model

Joint Distribution: $y_0 = \text{START}$

$$p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}|y_0) = \prod_{t=1}^T p(x_t|y_t) p(y_t|y_{t-1})$$
$$= \prod_{t=1}^T A_{y_t, x_t} B_{y_{t-1}, y_t}$$



Supervised Learning for HMMs



TO HMMS AND BEYOND...

Unsupervised Learning for HMMs

- Unlike discriminative models p(y|x), generative models p(x,y) can maximize the likelihood of the data D = {x⁽¹⁾, x⁽²⁾, ..., x^(N)} where we don't observe any y's.
- This **unsupervised learning** setting can be achieved by finding parameters that maximize the **marginal likelihood**
- We optimize using the **Expectation-Maximization** algorithm

Since we don't observe y, we define the marginal probability:

$$p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$$

The log-likelihood of the data is thus:

$$\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \log \prod_{i=1}^{N} p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \sum_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{Y}} p_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{y})$$



HMMs: History

- Markov chains: Andrey Markov (1906) ٠
 - Random walks and Brownian motion
- Used in Shannon's work on information theory (1948) •
- Baum-Welsh learning algorithm: late 60's, early 70's. •
 - Used mainly for speech in 60s-70s.
- Late 80's and 90's: David Haussler (major player in ٠ learning theory in 80's) began to use HMMs for modeling biological sequences
- Mid-late 1990's: Dayne Freitag/Andrew McCallum •
 - Freitag thesis with Tom Mitchell on IE from Web using logic programs, grammar induction, etc.
 - McCallum: multinomial Naïve Bayes for text
 - With McCallum, IE using HMMs on CORA



Higher-order HMMs

• 1st-order HMM (i.e. bigram HMM)



• 2nd-order HMM (i.e. trigram HMM)



• 3rd-order HMM



Higher-order HMMs



BACKGROUND: MESSAGE PASSING

Count the soldiers



Count the soldiers there's Belief: 1 of me Must be 3 = 6 of2 +1 2 us before you only see behind my incoming you messages



Each soldier receives reports from all branches of tree



Each soldier receives reports from all branches of tree



Each soldier receives reports from all branches of tree



Each soldier receives reports from all branches of tree



Each soldier receives reports from all branches of tree



INFERENCE FOR HMMS

Inference

Question:

True or False: The joint probability of the observations and the hidden states in an HMM is given by:

$$P(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}) = C_{y_1} \left[\prod_{t=1}^T A_{y_t, x_t} \right] \left[\prod_{t=1}^{T-1} B_{y_t, y_{t+1}} \right]$$

Recall:

Emission matrix, **A**, where $P(X_t = k | Y_t = j) = A_{j,k}, \forall t, k$ Transition matrix, **B**, where $P(Y_t = k | Y_{t-1} = j) = B_{j,k}, \forall t, k$ Initial probs, **C**, where $P(Y_1 = k) = C_k, \forall k$

Inference

Question:

True or False: The **probability of the observations** in an HMM is given by:

$$P(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} A_{x_t, x_{t-1}}$$

Recall:

Emission matrix, **A**, where $P(X_t = k | Y_t = j) = A_{j,k}, \forall t, k$ Transition matrix, **B**, where $P(Y_t = k | Y_{t-1} = j) = B_{j,k}, \forall t, k$ Initial probs, **C**, where $P(Y_1 = k) = C_k, \forall k$

Inference for HMMs

Whiteboard

- Three Inference Problems for an HMM
 - 1. Evaluation: Compute the probability of a given sequence of observations
 - 2. Viterbi Decoding: Find the most-likely sequence of hidden states, given a sequence of observations
 - 3. Marginals: Compute the marginal distribution for a hidden state, given a sequence of observations

THE SEARCH SPACE FOR FORWARD-BACKWARD

Dataset for Supervised Part-of-Speech (POS) Tagging Data: $\mathcal{D} = \{x^{(n)}, y^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{N}$



Example: HMM for POS Tagging

A Hidden Markov Model (HMM) provides a joint distribution over the the sentence/tags with an assumption of dependence between adjacent tags.



Example: HMM for POS Tagging



Inference for HMMs

Whiteboard

- Brute Force Evaluation
- Forward-backward search space

THE FORWARD-BACKWARD ALGORITHM





Forward-Backward Algorithm Y_1 Y_2 Y_3 V 77 n n n START END а а а X_3 X_{I} X_2 find tags

• Let's show the possible values for each variable



• Let's show the possible values for each variable



- Let's show the possible *values* for each variable One possible assignment •
- •



- Let's show the possible values for each variable
- One possible assignment
- And what the 7 transition / emission factors think of it ...



- Let's show the possible *values* for each variable
- One possible assignment
- And what the 7 transition / emission factors think of it ...

Viterbi Algorithm: Most Probable Assignment



- So $p(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{n}) = (1/Z) * product of 7 numbers$
- Numbers associated with edges and nodes of path
- Most probable assignment = path with highest product

Viterbi Algorithm: Most Probable Assignment



• So $p(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{n}) = (1/Z) * product weight of one path$



- So $p(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{n}) = (1/Z) * product weight of one path$
- Marginal probability $p(Y_2 = a) = (1/Z)$ * total weight of all paths through a



- So $p(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{n}) = (1/Z) * product weight of one path$
- Marginal probability $p(Y_2 = n) = (1/Z)$ * total weight of all paths through n



- So $p(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{n}) = (1/Z) * product weight of one path$
- Marginal probability $p(Y_2 = v) = (1/Z)$ * total weight of all paths through v



- So $p(\mathbf{v} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{n}) = (1/Z) * product weight of one path$
- Marginal probability $p(Y_2 = n) = (1/Z)$ * total weight of all paths through n

52



(found by dynamic programming: matrix-vector products)



(found by dynamic programming: matrix-vector products)



Product gives ax+ay+az+bx+by+bz+cx+cy+cz = total weight of paths

Oops! The weight of a path through a state also includes a weight at that state. So $\alpha(\mathbf{n}) \cdot \beta(\mathbf{n})$ isn't enough.

The extra weight is the opinion of the emission probability at this variable.



"belief that $Y_2 = \mathbf{n}$ "



"belief that $Y_2 = \mathbf{v}$ "

"belief that $Y_2 = \mathbf{n}$ "





