

#### 10-301/601 Introduction to Machine Learning

Machine Learning Department School of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University

# Linear Regression

Matt Gormley & Henry Chai Lecture 7 Sep. 20, 2021

#### Reminders

- Homework 2: Decision Trees
  - Out: Wed, Sep. 8
  - Due: Mon, Sep. 20 at 11:59pm
- Homework 3: KNN, Perceptron, Lin.Reg.
  - Out: Mon, Sep. 20
  - Due: Sun, Sep. 26 at 11:59pm
  - Only 2 grace days allowed on HW3!
- Today's In-Class Poll

   http://poll.mlcourse.org

#### **ANALYSIS OF PERCEPTRON**

#### Geometric Margin

**Definition:** The margin of example x w.r.t. a linear separator w is the distance from x to the plane  $w \cdot x = 0$  (or the negative if on wrong side)



Slide from Nina Balcan

#### Geometric Margin

**Definition:** The margin of example x w.r.t. a linear separator w is the distance from x to the plane  $w \cdot x = 0$  (or the negative if on wrong side)

**Definition:** The margin  $\gamma_w$  of a set of examples *S* w.r.t. a linear separator *w* is the smallest margin over points  $x \in S$ .



Slide from Nina Balcan

#### Geometric Margin

- **Definition:** The margin of example x w.r.t. a linear separator w is the distance from x to the plane  $w \cdot x = 0$  (or the negative if on wrong side)
- **Definition:** The margin  $\gamma_w$  of a set of examples *S* w.r.t. a linear
- separator w is the smallest margin over points  $x \in S$ .
- **Definition:** The margin  $\gamma$  of a set of examples *S* is the maximum  $\gamma_w$  over all linear separators *w*.



## Linear Separability

**Def:** For a **binary classification** problem, a set of examples *S* is **linearly separable** if there exists a linear decision boundary that can separate the points



#### Perceptron Mistake Bound

**Guarantee:** if some data has margin  $\gamma$  and all points lie inside a ball of radius R, then the online Perceptron algorithm makes  $\leq (R/\gamma)^2$  mistakes

(Normalized margin: multiplying all points by 100, or dividing all points by 100, doesn't change the number of mistakes! The algorithm is invariant to scaling.)



## Perceptron Mistake Bound

**Guarantee:** if some data has margin  $\gamma$  and all points lie inside a ball of radius R, then the online Perceptron algorithm makes  $\leq (R/\gamma)^2$  mistakes

(Normalized margin: multiplying all points by 100, or dividing all points by 100, doesn't change the number of mistakes! The algorithm is invariant to scaling.)

···· + ···

**Def:** We say that the (batch) perceptron algorithm has **converged** if it stops making mistakes on the training data (perfectly classifies the training data).

*Main Takeaway*: For linearly separable data, if the perceptron algorithm cycles repeatedly through the data, it will converge in a finite # of steps.

# PROVING THE BOUND (COVERED IN RECITATION)

Perceptron Mistake Bound Theorem 0.1 (Block (1962), Novikoff (1962)). Given dataset:  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\}_{i=1}^{N}$ . Suppose:

- 1. Finite size inputs:  $||x^{(i)}|| \leq R$
- 2. Linearly separable data:  $\exists \theta^*$  s.t.  $||\theta^*|| = 1$  and  $y^{(i)}(\theta^* \cdot \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \geq \gamma, \forall i$

Then: The number of mistakes made by the Perceptron algorithm on this dataset is

$$k \le (R/\gamma)^2$$



Perceptron Mistake Boun Theorem 0.1 (Block (1962), Novikoff (19 Given dataset:  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\}_{i=1}^N$ Suppose:

Common Misunderstanding: The radius is centered at the origin, not at the center of the points.

- 1. Finite size inputs:  $||x^{(i)}|| \leq R$
- 2. Linearly separable data:  $\exists \theta^*$  s.t.  $||\theta^*|| = 1$  and  $y^{(i)}(\theta^* \cdot \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \geq \gamma, \forall i$

Then: The number of mistakes made by the Perceptron algorithm on this dataset is

$$k \le (R/\gamma)^2$$



**Proof of Perceptron Mistake Bound:** 

We will show that there exist constants A and B s.t.  $Ak \leq ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)}|| \leq B\sqrt{k}$ 



**Proof of Perceptron Mistake Bound:** Part 1: for some A,  $Ak \leq ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)}||$  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} + y^{(i)} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \boldsymbol{\theta}^*$ by Perceptron algorithm update  $= \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^* \cdot \mathbf{x}^{(i)})$  $> \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^* + \gamma$ by assumption  $\Rightarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^* > k\gamma$ by induction on k since  $\theta^{(1)} = \mathbf{0}$  $\Rightarrow ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)}|| > k\gamma$ since  $||\mathbf{w}|| \times ||\mathbf{u}|| \ge \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{u}$  and  $||\theta^*|| = 1$ **Cauchy-Schwartz inequality** 

**Proof of Perceptron Mistake Bound:** Part 2: for some B,  $||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)}|| < B\sqrt{k}$  $||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)}||^2 = ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} + y^{(i)}\mathbf{x}^{(i)}||^2$ by Perceptron algorithm update  $= ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}||^{2} + (y^{(i)})^{2}||\mathbf{x}^{(i)}||^{2} + 2y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{(i)})$  $< ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}||^2 + (y^{(i)})^2 ||\mathbf{x}^{(i)}||^2$ since kth mistake  $\Rightarrow y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \cdot \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \leq 0$  $= ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}||^2 + R^2$ since  $(y^{(i)})^2 ||\mathbf{x}^{(i)}||^2 = ||\mathbf{x}^{(i)}||^2 = R^2$  by assumption and  $(y^{(i)})^2 = 1$  $\Rightarrow || \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)} ||^2 < kR^2$ by induction on k since  $(\theta^{(1)})^2 = 0$  $\Rightarrow || \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)} || < \sqrt{kR}$ 

**Proof of Perceptron Mistake Bound:** Part 3: Combining the bounds finishes the proof.

$$k\gamma \le ||\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)}|| \le \sqrt{kR}$$
$$\Rightarrow k \le (R/\gamma)^2$$

The total number of mistakes must be less than this

#### What if the data is not linearly separable?

- 1. Perceptron will **not converge** in this case (it can't!)
- 2. However, Freund & Schapire (1999) show that by projecting the points (hypothetically) into a higher dimensional space, we can achieve a similar bound on the number of mistakes made on **one pass** through the sequence of examples

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\langle (\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_m, y_m) \rangle$  be a sequence of labeled examples with  $||\mathbf{x}_i|| \le R$ . Let **u** be any vector with  $||\mathbf{u}|| = 1$  and let  $\gamma > 0$ . Define the deviation of each example as

$$d_i = \max\{0, \gamma - y_i(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{x}_i)\},\$$

and define  $D = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{m} d_i^2}$ . Then the number of mistakes of the online perceptron algorithm on this sequence is bounded by

$$\left(\frac{R+D}{\gamma}\right)^2$$

#### Perceptron Exercises

#### **Question:**

Unlike Decision Trees and K-Nearest Neighbors, the Perceptron algorithm **does not suffer from overfitting** because it does not have any hyperparameters that could be over-tuned on the training data.

- A. True
- B. False
- C. True and False







#### Summary: Perceptron

- Perceptron is a **linear classifier**
- Simple learning algorithm: when a mistake is made, add / subtract the features
- Perceptron will converge if the data are linearly separable, it will not converge if the data are linearly inseparable
- For linearly separable and inseparable data, we can bound the number of mistakes (geometric argument)
- Extensions support nonlinear separators and structured prediction

# Perceptron Learning Objectives

You should be able to...

- Explain the difference between online learning and batch learning
- Implement the perceptron algorithm for binary classification [CIML]
- Determine whether the perceptron algorithm will converge based on properties of the dataset, and the limitations of the convergence guarantees
- Describe the inductive bias of perceptron and the limitations of linear models
- Draw the decision boundary of a linear model
- Identify whether a dataset is linearly separable or not
- Defend the use of a bias term in perceptron

#### REGRESSION

# Regression

#### Goal:

- Given a training dataset of pairs (x,y) where
  - **x** is a vector
  - y is a scalar
- Learn a function (aka. curve or line) y' = h(x) that best fits the training data

#### **Example Applications:**

- Stock price prediction
- Forecasting epidemics
- Speech synthesis
- Generation of images (e.g. Deep Dream)





#### Regression

Х

**Example:** Dataset with only one feature x and one scalar output y

y

Q: What is the function that best fits these points?

# K-NEAREST NEIGHBOR REGRESSION

# k-NN Regression

Х

**Example:** Dataset with only one feature x and one scalar output y

y



#### k=1 Nearest Neighbor Regression

- Train: store all (x, y) pairs
- Predict: pick the nearest x in training data and return its y

#### k=2 Nearest Neighbors Distance Weighted Regression

- Train: store all (x, y) pairs
- Predict: pick the nearest two instances x<sup>(n1)</sup> and x<sup>(n2)</sup> in training data and return the weighted average of their y values

#### **DECISION TREE REGRESSION**

#### **Decision Tree Regression**



#### **Decision Tree Regression**

#### Dataset for Regression

Y	А	В	С
4	1	0	0
1	1	0	1
3	1	0	0
7	0	0	1
5	1	1	0
6	0	1	1
8	1	1	0
9	1	1	1



During learning, choose the attribute that minimizes an appropriate splitting criterion (e.g. mean squared error, mean absolute error)

# LINEAR FUNCTIONS, RESIDUALS, AND MEAN SQUARED ERROR

#### **Linear Functions**

<u>Def</u>: Regression is predicting real-valued outputs

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)} \right) \right\}_{i=1}^{n} \text{ with } \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, y^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$$

**Common Misunderstanding**: Linear functions ≠ Linear decision boundaries



#### Linear Functions

<u>Def</u>: Regression is predicting real-valued outputs

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \left( \mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)} \right) \right\}_{i=1}^{n} \text{ with } \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^{M}, y^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}$$

#### **Common Misunderstanding:** Linear functions $\neq$ Linear decision boundaries



- A general linear function is  $y = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + b$
- A general linear decision boundary is  $y = \operatorname{sign}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + b)$

#### **Regression Problems**

#### Chalkboard

- Residuals
- Mean squared error

The Big Picture

#### **OPTIMIZATION FOR ML**

# Optimization for ML

Not quite the same setting as other fields...

- Function we are optimizing might not be the true goal
  - (e.g. likelihood vs generalization error)
- Precision might not matter
   (e.g. data is noisy, so optimal up to 1e-16 might not help)
- Stopping early can help generalization error (i.e. "early stopping" is a technique for regularization – discussed more next time)

#### min vs. argmin



 $v^* = min_x f(x)$  $x^* = argmin_x f(x)$ 

1. Q: What is v\*?

2. Q: What is x\*?









Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at polley.com/app









Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollew.com/app

55

Notation Trick: Folding in the Intercept Term

$$\mathbf{x}' = [1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_M]^T$$
$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = [b, w_1, \dots, w_M]^T$$

Notation Trick: fold the bias b and the weights w into a single vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  by prepending a constant to x and increasing dimensionality by one!

 $h_{\mathbf{w},b}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} + b$  $h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}') = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \mathbf{x}'$ 

This convenience trick allows us to more compactly talk about linear functions as a simple dot product (without explicitly writing out the intercept term every time).

# Linear Regression as Function $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{N}$ where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{M}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ Approximation

1. Assume  $\mathcal{D}$  generated as:

$$\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \sim p^*(\cdot)$$
$$y^{(i)} = h^*(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$$

2. Choose hypothesis space,  $\mathcal{H}$ : all linear functions in *M*-dimensional space

$$\mathcal{H} = \{h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} : h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^M\}$$

3. Choose an objective function: mean squared error (MSE)

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( y^{(i)} - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right)^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( y^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right)^2$$

- 4. Solve the unconstrained optimization problem via favorite method:
  - gradient descent
  - closed form
  - stochastic gradient descent
  - ...

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{ heta}} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{ heta}} J(\boldsymbol{ heta})$$

5. Test time: given a new x, make prediction  $\hat{y}$ 

$$\hat{y} = h_{\hat{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^T \mathbf{x}$$

#### **Contour Plots**

#### **Contour Plots**

- 1. Each level curve labeled with value
- 2. Value label indicates the value of the function for all points lying on that level curve
- 3. Just like a topographical map, but for a function



 $J(\mathbf{\theta}) = J(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (10(\theta_1 - 0.5))^2 + (6(\theta_1 - 0.4))^2$ 1.0 ,000. 130.007 10.000 0.8 -15.000 . 15.000 20.000 0.6 20.000 -**D**DD  $\theta_2$ 0.4 · 5.000 0.2 0.0 -0.6 0.2 0.4 0.8 0.0 1.0

 $\theta_1$ 

# **Optimization by Random Guessing**

#### Optimization Method #0: Random Guessing

- 1. Pick a random  $\theta$
- 2. Evaluate  $J(\theta)$
- 3. Repeat steps 1 and 2 many times
- 4. Return  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  that gives smallest J( $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ )

 $J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = J(\theta_1, \theta_2) = (10(\theta_1 - 0.5))^2 + (6(\theta_1 - 0.4))^2$ 



61

# Optimization by Random Guessing

#### Optimization Method #0: Random Guessing

- 1. Pick a random  $\theta$
- 2. Evaluate  $J(\theta)$
- 3. Repeat steps 1 and 2 many times
- Return θ that gives smallest J(θ)

#### For Linear Regression:

 objective function is Mean Squared Error (MSE)

• MSE = J(w, b)  
= J(
$$\theta_1$$
,  $\theta_2$ ) =  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y^{(i)} - \theta^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2$ 

- contour plot: each line labeled with MSE – lower means a better fit
- minimum corresponds to parameters (w,b) = (θ<sub>1</sub>, θ<sub>2</sub>) that best fit some training dataset



# Linear Regression by Rand. Guessing

#### Optimization Method #0: Random Guessing

- 1. Pick a random  $\theta$
- 2. Evaluate  $J(\theta)$
- 3. Repeat steps 1 and 2 many times
- 4. Return  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  that gives smallest J( $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ )



#### For Linear Regression:

- target function h\*(x) is unknown
- only have access to h\*(x) through training examples (x<sup>(i)</sup>,y<sup>(i)</sup>)
- want h(x; θ<sup>(t)</sup>) that best approximates h\*(x)
- enable generalization w/inductive bias that restricts hypothesis class to linear functions

#### Linear Regression by Rand. Guessing $J(\theta) = J(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y^{(i)} - \theta^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2$ **Optimization Method #0:** 1.0 **Random Guessing** \*000.02 ,30,000 10.000 Pick a random $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ 1. 0.8 Evaluate $J(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ 2. Repeat steps 1 and 2 many 3. 15.000 15.000 0.6 - 000 20.000 times 20.000 Return $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ that gives 4. $\theta_2$ smallest $J(\theta)$ 0.4 $y = h^*(x)$ 5.000 h(x; **θ**<sup>(4)</sup>) (unknown) 0.2 h(x; **θ**<sup>(2)</sup>) # tourists (thousands) h(x; **θ**<sup>(3)</sup>) 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0.0 1.0 $\theta_1$ $J(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ θ θ, t 0.2 0.2 1 10.4 h(x; **θ**<sup>(1)</sup>) 2 0.3 0.7 7.2 3 0.6 0.4 1.0 16.2 0.7 0.9 4 time

64

# **OPTIMIZATION METHOD #1: GRADIENT DESCENT**

# Optimization for ML

Chalkboard

- Unconstrained optimization
- Derivatives
- Gradient

# **Topographical Maps**

Franconia Ridge by Jeff P / <u>CC BY</u>

# **Topographical Maps**







These are the **gradients** that Gradient **Ascent** would follow.



These are the **negative** gradients that Gradient **Descent** would follow.

#### (Negative) Gradient Paths



Shown are the **paths** that Gradient Descent would follow if it were making **infinitesimally small steps**.

#### Gradient Descent

Chalkboard

- Gradient Descent Algorithm
- Details: starting point, stopping criterion, line search

#### Gradient Descent

Algorithm 1 Gradient Descent

1: procedure 
$$GD(\mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)})$$

2: 
$$\boldsymbol{ heta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{ heta}^{(0)}$$

3: while not converged do 4:  $\theta \leftarrow \theta - \gamma \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$ 

5: return  $\theta$ 

 $\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & &$ 

In order to apply GD to Linear Regression all we need is the **gradient** of the objective function (i.e. vector of partial derivatives).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{d}{d\theta_1} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ \frac{d}{d\theta_2} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{d}{d\theta_M} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) =$ 

## Gradient Descent

Algorithm 1 Gradient Descent

1: procedure 
$$GD(\mathcal{D}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)})$$

2: 
$$\boldsymbol{\theta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$$

3: while not converged do 4:  $\boldsymbol{\theta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} - \boldsymbol{\gamma} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ 

5: return  $\theta$ 



There are many possible ways to detect **convergence**. For example, we could check whether the L2 norm of the gradient is below some small tolerance.

 $||\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta})||_2 \leq \epsilon$ 

Alternatively we could check that the reduction in the objective function from one iteration to the next is small.

# GRADIENT DESCENT FOR LINEAR REGRESSION

# Linear Regression as Function $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^{N}$ where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{M}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ Approximation

1. Assume  $\mathcal{D}$  generated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} &\sim p^*(\cdot) \\ y^{(i)} &= h^*(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \end{aligned}$$

2. Choose hypothesis space,  $\mathcal{H}$ : all linear functions in *M*-dimensional space

$$\mathcal{H} = \{h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} : h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^M\}$$

3. Choose an objective function: mean squared error (MSE)

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_i^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( y^{(i)} - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \right)^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( y^{(i)} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} \right)^2$$

- 4. Solve the unconstrained optimization problem via favorite method:
  - gradient descent
  - closed form
  - stochastic gradient descent
  - ...

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{ heta}} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{ heta}} J(\boldsymbol{ heta})$$

5. Test time: given a new x, make prediction  $\hat{y}$ 

$$\hat{y} = h_{\hat{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}) = \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^T \mathbf{x}$$

#### Linear Regression by Gradient Desc. $J(\theta) = J(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y^{(i)} - \theta^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2$

#### Optimization Method #1: Gradient Descent

- 1. Pick a random  $\theta$
- Repeat:
   a. Evaluate gradient ∇J(θ)
   b. Step opposite gradient
- Return θ that gives smallest J(θ)



#### Linear Regression by Gradient Desc.

#### Optimization Method #1: Gradient Descent

1. Pick a random  $\theta$ 

2.

- Repeat: a. Evaluate gradient ∇J(**θ**) b. Step opposite gradient
- Return θ that gives smallest J(θ)



t	θ	θ2	$J(\theta_1, \theta_2)$
1	0.01	0.02	25.2
2	0.30	0.12	8.7
3	0.51	0.30	1.5
4	0.59	0.43	0.2

# Linear Regression by Gradient Desc. $J(\theta) = J(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y^{(i)} - \theta^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)})^2$

#### **Optimization Method #1: Gradient Descent**

Pick a random  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ 1.

2.

>

**Repeat:** a. Evaluate gradient  $\nabla J(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ b. Step opposite gradient

Х

Return  $\boldsymbol{\theta}$  that gives 3. smallest  $J(\theta)$ 



3

4

0.51

0.59

0.30

0.43

1.5

0.2

#### Linear Regression by Gradient Desc. mean squared error, $J(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ iteration, t $y = h^*(x)$ (unknown) h(x; **θ**<sup>(4)</sup>) h(x; **θ**<sup>(3)</sup>) > $J(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ $\theta_1$ θ, t 0.02 25.2 0.01 h(x; **θ**<sup>(2)</sup>) 1 8.7 0.12 2 0.30 h(x; **θ**<sup>(1)</sup>) 3 0.51 0.30 1.5 0.59 0.2 4 0.43

83



# **Optimization for Linear Regression**

#### Chalkboard

- Computing the gradient for Linear Regression
- Gradient Descent for Linear Regression

## GD for Linear Regression

Gradient Descent for Linear Regression repeatedly takes steps opposite the gradient of the objective function

