

#### 10-301/10-601 Introduction to Machine Learning

Machine Learning Department School of Computer Science Carnegie Mellon University


# Machine Learning as Function Approximation

Matt Gormley Lecture 2 Jan. 22, 2023

#### Q&A

**Q:** How many bonus points for HW1 did I get from the Background Test?

#### A: Lots of bonus points!



**Q:** Matt, how did you do on the Background Test?

- A: Well... I certainly didn't ace it.
- **Q:** Are you and I cut out for 10-301/601?

A: Yes! But we both have some studying to do...

#### Reminders

- Homework 1: Background
  - Out: Wed, Jan 19 (1st lecture)
  - Due: Wed, Jan 26 at 11:59pm
  - Two parts:
    - 1. written part to Gradescope
    - 2. programming part to Gradescope
  - unique policies for this assignment:
    - 1. unlimited submissions for programming (i.e. keep submitting until you get 100%)
    - 2. we will grant (essentially) any and all extension requests


# Big Ideas

- 1. How to formalize a learning problem
- 2. How to learn an expert system (i.e. Decision Tree)
- 3. Importance of inductive bias for generalization
- 4. Overfitting

#### **FUNCTION APPROXIMATION**

### **Function Approximation**

**Quiz:** Implement a simple function which returns -sin(x).



A few constraints are imposed:

- 1. You can't call any other trigonometric functions
- You can call an existing implementation of sin(x) a few times (e.g. 100) to test your solution
- 3. You only need to evaluate it for x in [0, 2\*pi]

#### **SUPERVISED MACHINE LEARNING**

## Medical Diagnosis


- Setting:
  - Doctor must decide whether or not patient is sick
  - Looks at attributes of a patient to make a medical diagnosis
  - (Prescribes treatment if diagnosis is positive)
- Key problem area for Machine Learning
- Potential to reshape health care

### Medical Diagnosis


**Interview Transcript** 

Date: Jan. 15, 2023 Parties: Matt Gormley and Doctor S. Topic: Medical decision making


As a (supervised) binary classification task



As a (<u>supervised</u>) binary classification task




As a (supervised) <u>binary</u> classification task




As a (supervised)


examples

#### classification task





As a (supervised) binary classification task



Doctor diagnoses the patient as sick or not  $y \in \{+, -\}$ based on attributes of the patient  $x_1, x_1, \dots, x_M$ 

	У	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	x <sub>3</sub>	x <sub>4</sub>
i	allergic?	hives?	sneezing?	red eye?	has cat?
1	-	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν

Doctor diagnoses the patient as sick or not  $y \in \{+, -\}$ based on attributes of the patient  $x_1, x_1, \dots, x_M$ 

	У	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	x <sub>3</sub>	x <sub>4</sub>
i	allergic?	hives?	sneezing?	red eye?	has cat?
1	-	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν
2	-	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν
3	+	Y	Y	Ν	Ν
4	-	Y	Ν	Y	Y
5	+	Ν	Y	Y	Ν

Doctor diagnoses the patient as sick or not  $y \in \{+, -\}$ based on attributes of the patient  $x_1, x_1, \dots, x_M$ 

	у	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	X <sub>3</sub>	x <sub>4</sub>
i	allergic?	hives?	sneezing?	red eye?	has cat?
1	y <sup>(1)</sup> -	x <sub>1</sub> <sup>(1)</sup> Y	X <sub>2</sub> <sup>(1)</sup> N	x <sub>3</sub> <sup>(1)</sup> N	x <sub>4</sub> <sup>(1)</sup> N
2	y <sup>(2)</sup> -	x <sub>1</sub> <sup>(2)</sup> N	$x_{2}^{(2)} Y$	x <sub>3</sub> <sup>(2)</sup> N	x <sub>4</sub> <sup>(2)</sup> N
3	y <sup>(3)</sup> +	x <sub>1</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> Y	x <sub>2</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> Y	x <sub>3</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> N	x <sub>4</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> N
4	y <sup>(4)</sup> -	x <sub>1</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> Y	x <sub>2</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> N	x <sub>3</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> Y	x <sub>4</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> Y
5	y <sup>(5)</sup> +	x <sub>1</sub> <sup>(4)</sup> N	x <sub>2</sub> <sup>(4)</sup> Y	x <sub>3</sub> <sup>(4)</sup> Y	x <sub>4</sub> <sup>(4)</sup> N

Doctor diagnoses the patient as sick or not  $y \in \{+, -\}$  based on attributes of the patient  $x_1, x_1, \dots, x_M$ 


	У	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	x <sub>3</sub>	x <sub>4</sub>	
i	allergic?	hives?	sneezing?	red eye?	has cat?	
1	y <sup>(1)</sup> -	X <sub>1</sub> <sup>(1)</sup> Y	x <sub>2</sub> <sup>(1)</sup> N	x <sub>3</sub> <sup>(1)</sup> N	x <sub>4</sub> <sup>(1)</sup> N	<b>X</b> <sup>(1)</sup>
2	y <sup>(2)</sup> -	x <sub>1</sub> <sup>(2)</sup> N	x <sub>2</sub> <sup>(2)</sup> Y	x <sub>3</sub> <sup>(2)</sup> N	x <sub>4</sub> <sup>(2)</sup> N	x <sup>(2)</sup>
3	y <sup>(3)</sup> +	x <sub>1</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> Y	x <sub>2</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> Y	x <sub>3</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> N	x <sub>4</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> N	<b>x</b> <sup>(3)</sup>
4	y <sup>(4)</sup> -	X <sub>1</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> Y	x <sub>2</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> N	x <sub>3</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> Y	x <sub>4</sub> <sup>(3)</sup> Y	<b>x</b> <sup>(4)</sup>
5	y <sup>(5)</sup> +	x <sub>1</sub> <sup>(4)</sup> N	x <sub>2</sub> <sup>(4)</sup> Y	x <sub>3</sub> <sup>(4)</sup> Y	x <sub>4</sub> <sup>(4)</sup> N	<b>x</b> <sup>(5)</sup>

N = 5 training examples M = 4 attributes

### ML as Function Approximation


#### Chalkboard

- ML as Function Approximation
  - Problem setting
  - Input space
  - Output space
  - Unknown target function
  - Hypothesis space
  - Training examples
  - Goal of Learning






Learning Algorithm



Doctor diagnoses the patient as sick or not  $y \in \{+, -\}$  based on attributes of the patient  $x_1, x_1, \dots, x_M$ 



M = 4 attributes


function:  $h(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} + \text{ if sneezing} = Y \\ - \text{ otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$ 

- Problem Setting
  - Set of possible inputs,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$  (all possible patients)
  - Set of possible outputs,  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  (all possible diagnoses)
  - Exists an unknown target function, c\* :  $X \rightarrow Y$  (the doctor's brain)
  - Set,  $\mathcal{H}$ , of candidate hypothesis functions,  $h: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ (all possible decision trees)
- Learner is given N training examples D = {(x<sup>(1)</sup>, y<sup>(1)</sup>), (x<sup>(2)</sup>, y<sup>(2)</sup>), ..., (x<sup>(N)</sup>, y<sup>(N)</sup>)} where y<sup>(i)</sup> = c\*(x<sup>(i)</sup>) (history of patients and their diagnoses)
- Learner produces a hypothesis function, ŷ = h(x), that best approximates unknown target function y = c\*(x) on the training data

- Problem Setting
  - Set of possible inputs,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$  (all possible patients)
  - Set of possible outputs,  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  (all possible diagnoses)
  - Exists an up own target function,  $c^* : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ (the doctor's black of the doct
  - Set, H, of candid (all possible deci consider:
- Learner is given N  $D = \{(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), (x^{(2)}, y^{(2)})\}$ where  $y^{(i)} = c^{*}(x^{(i)}, y^{(2)})$ (history of patient 2.
- Learner produces that best approxin c\*(x) on the training
- **Classification**: the possible outputs are **discrete**
- Regression: the possible outputs are real-valued

- Problem Setting
  - Set of possible inputs,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$  (all values in [0, 2\*pi])
  - Set of possible outputs,  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$  (all values in [-1,1])
  - Exists an unknown target function,  $c^* : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ ( $c^*(x) = sin(x)$ )
  - Set,  $\mathcal{H}$ , of candidate hypothesis functions,  $h: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ (all possible piecewise linear functions)
- Learner is given N training examples
   D = {(x<sup>(1)</sup>, y<sup>(1)</sup>), (x<sup>(2)</sup>, y<sup>(2)</sup>), ..., (x<sup>(N)</sup>, y<sup>(N)</sup>)}
   where y<sup>(i)</sup> = c\*(x<sup>(i)</sup>)
   (true values of sin(x) for a few random x's)
- Learner produces a hypothesis function, ŷ = h(x), that best approximates unknown target function y = c\*(x) on the training data

#### EVALUATION OF MACHINE LEARNING ALGORITHM



#### **Evaluation of ML Algorithms**


- Definition: loss function,  $\ell : \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \to \mathbb{R}$ 
  - Defines how "bad" predictions,  $\hat{y} = h(x)$ , are compared to the true labels,  $y = c^*(x)$
  - Common choices
  - 1. Squared loss (for regression):  $\ell(y, \hat{y}) = (y \hat{y})^2$
  - 2. Binary or 0-1 loss (for classification):

 $\ell(y,\hat{y}) = \mathbb{1}(y \neq \hat{y})$ 

• Error rate:

$$err(h, \mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}\left(y^{(n)} \neq \hat{y}^{(n)}\right)$$

Q: How do we evaluate a machine learning algorithm?
 A: Check its error rate on a separate test dataset, D<sub>test</sub>



#### **Error Rate**

Consider a hypothesis h its...
 ... error rate over all training data:
 ... error rate over all test data:
 ... true error over all data:

 $error(h, D_{train})$  $error(h, D_{test})$  $error_{true}(h)$ 

This is the quantity we care most about! But, in practice, error<sub>true</sub>(h) is **unknown**.

### Majority Vote Classifier Example

#### Dataset:

Output Y, Attributes A and B

Y	Α	В	
-	1	0	
-	1	0	
+	1	0	
+	1	0	
+	1	1	
+	1	1	
+	1	1	
+	1	1	

#### **In-Class Exercise**

What is the **training error** (i.e. *error rate on the training data*) of the **majority vote classifier** on this dataset?

Choose one of: {0/8, 1/8, 2/8, ..., 8/8}

#### LEARNING ALGORITHMS FOR SUPERVISED CLASSIFICATION

<u>Algorithm 1</u> majority vote: predict the most common label in the training dataset

	у	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	x <sub>3</sub>	x <sub>4</sub>
predictions	allergic?	hives?	sneezing?	red eye?	has cat?
-	-	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν
-	-	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν
-	+	Y	Y	Ν	Ν
-	-	Y	Ν	Y	Y
-	+	Ν	Y	Y	Ν

<u>Algorithm 2</u> memorizer: if a set of features exists in the training dataset, predict its corresponding label; otherwise, predict a random label

	У	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	x <sub>3</sub>	x <sub>4</sub>
predictions	allergic?	hives?	sneezing?	red eye?	has cat?
-	-	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν
-	-	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν
+	+	Y	Y	Ν	Ν
-	-	Y	Ν	Y	Y
+	+	Ν	Y	Y	Ν

#### The memorizer always gets zero training error!

Question: Is the memorizer algorithm learning?

#### Answer:

#### ML as Function Approximation

Chalkboard

- Algorithm 1: Majority Vote
- Algorithm 2: Memorizer
- Aside: Does memorization = learning?

<u>Algorithm 3</u> decision stump: based on a single feature,  $x_d$ , predict the most common label in the training dataset among all data points that have the same value for  $x_d$ 

	у	X <sub>1</sub>	X <sub>2</sub>	x <sub>3</sub>	x <sub>4</sub>
predictions	allergic?	hives?	sneezing?	red eye?	has cat?
-	-	Y	Ν	Ν	Ν
+	-	Ν	Y	Ν	Ν
+	+	Y	Y	Ν	Ν
-	-	Y	Ν	Y	Y
+	+	Ν	Y	Y	Ν

Nonzero training error, but perhaps still better than the memorizer Example decision stump:  $h(x) = \begin{bmatrix} + \text{ if sneezing} = Y \\ - \text{ otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$ 

#### ML as Function Approximation

#### Chalkboard

- Algorithm 2: Decision Stump
- Algorithm 3 (preview): Decision Tree

#### Tree to Predict C-Section Risk

Learned from medical records of 1000 women (Sims et al., 2000) Negative examples are C-sections

[833+,167-] .83+ .17-Fetal\_Presentation = 1: [822+,116-] .88+ .12-| Previous\_Csection = 0: [767+,81-] .90+ .10-| | Primiparous = 0: [399+,13-] .97+ .03-| | Primiparous = 1: [368+,68-] .84+ .16-| | | Fetal\_Distress = 0: [334+,47-] .88+ .12-| | | Birth\_Weight < 3349: [201+,10.6-] .95+ . | | | Birth\_Weight >= 3349: [133+,36.4-] .78+ | | | Fetal\_Distress = 1: [34+,21-] .62+ .38-| Previous\_Csection = 1: [55+,35-] .61+ .39-Fetal\_Presentation = 2: [3+,29-] .11+ .89-Fetal\_Presentation = 3: [8+,22-] .27+ .73-