

Stacks and Queues

In lecture, we talked about stacks (a last in, first out data structure) with this signature:

```
1 stack stack_new();
2 bool stack_empty(stack S);
3 void push(stack S, string x);
4 string pop(stack S)
5 /*@requires !stack_empty(S); @*/ ;
```

as well as queues (a first in, first out data structure) with this signature:

```
1 queue queue_new();
2 bool queue_empty(queue Q);
3 void enq(queue Q, string x);
4 string deq(queue Q)
5 /*@requires !queue_empty(Q); @*/ ;
```

In other words, you can insert items into both stacks and queues, but the order that they come out in is different. In a queue, elements come out in exactly the same order that they go in: If I insert “A”, “B”, and “C” into a queue (in that order) and then dequeue an element, I’ll get “A”.

Stacks work differently: If I insert “A”, “B”, and “C” into a stack (in that order) and then pop an element, I’ll get “C”.

This is really the absolute minimum interface we might want to stacks and queues, but it still gives us a lot of possibilities. Let’s write some functions that manipulate stacks and queues. Except for the two reverse functions, the stack or queue passed to the function should be returned to its original state when the function returns.

```
1 int queue_size(queue S) // Create only queues in the function
2 int stack_size_1(stack S) // Create only stacks in the function
3 int stack_size_2(stack S) // Recursive. Don't allocate any other data structures
4 void stack_reverse_1(stack S) // Create only queues in the function
5 void stack_reverse_2(stack S) // Create only stacks in the function
6 stack stack_copy(stack S) // Recursive. Only allocate the one stack you return
7 queue queue_copy(queue Q) // Only allocate the one queue you return
```

What is the asymptotic complexity of each of the functions you wrote? As we will see in Thursday’s lecture, some of these operations can be implemented with better asymptotic complexity if we’re willing to change the interface to stacks or queues.

Clac

clac is a relatively simple *postfix*-based programming language. As we read in numbers from the input (which we represent as a queue), we push operands onto a stack and act on them based on the instructions that are in the queue.

Here's an example of *clac* processing some input (you can get this yourself when working on the *clac* assignment by running *clac-ref*).

```
$ clac-ref -trace
Clac top level
clac>> 5 9 2 7 3 + - / dup * %

      stack || queue
          || 5 9 2 7 3 + - / dup * %
      5 || 9 2 7 3 + - / dup * %
    5 9 || 2 7 3 + - / dup * %
  5 9 2 || 7 3 + - / dup * %
5 9 2 7 || 3 + - / dup * %
5 9 2 7 3 || + - / dup * %
5 9 2 10 || - / dup * %
  5 9 -8 || / dup * %
    5 -1 || dup * %
5 -1 -1 || * %
  5 1 || %
    0 ||

0
```

What's happening here? Well, we push all of the numbers onto the stack after reading them out of the queue. Then, we get to the `+`, so we pop two items (the 7 and the 3) off of the stack, add them, and push their sum, 10, back on. Next, we get to the `-`, pop off the 2 and 10 and subtract them, and get -8, which we push on to the stack. Then, we get to the `/`. We pop 9 and -8 and divide them. 9/-8 rounds to -1, so we push that onto the stack. Next, we execute the `dup`, which simply makes the top element of the stack appear twice. We get to the `*`, which multiplies the top two elements, giving us 1. Finally, we get to the `%`. `5 % 1 == 0`, so we push 0. Then, we're out of instructions, so we end and pop the top item off of the stack and print it.

A common source of confusion with *clac* is `if` statements and `else` statements.

When we get to an `if` statement, we pop the top item off of the stack. If it is 0, we skip the next *two* tokens in the queue – we just ignore them. Otherwise (if it's non-zero), we continue processing tokens as normal.

When we get to an `else` statement, we *always* skip the next token in the queue.

So, why are these `if/else` statements? Let's take a look at some *clac* code

NOTE: Whenever I type `x` in *clac* code below, I'm using it to mean any arbitrary int – you should fill in an int, like 1, -1, 0, etc, if you're actually running the code.

```
$ clac-ref -trace
Clac top level
clac>> 0 if 2 else 3
```

```
stack || queue
      || 0 if 2 else 3
0 || if 2 else 3
  || 3
  3 ||
```

```
3
clac>> 1 if 2 else 3
```

```
stack || queue
      3 || 1 if 2 else 3
3 1 || if 2 else 3
   3 || 2 else 3
   3 2 || else 3
   3 2 ||
```

```
2
```

Next, let's write a simple clac program: one that calculates absolute value. We can define $|x|$ as follows:

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x * 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \\ x * -1 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

So, if x is less than 0, we want to multiply it by -1 and otherwise we want to multiply it by 1. If we run the clac command `x 0 <`, then it will result in 1 being on the top of the stack if $x < 0$ and 0 being on the top of the stack otherwise.

We eventually want to multiply by either 1 or -1 , so we should push the appropriate one of them onto the stack: If $x < 0$ we multiply by -1 , otherwise we multiply by 1.

So, we add `if -1 else 1` to our command. Now we have

```
x 0 < if -1 else 1
```

This says "if $x < 0$, push -1 onto the stack. Otherwise, push 1 onto the stack." This works because when `x 0 <` evaluates to 0 (so $x \geq 0$), we ignore the tokens `-1` and `else`, so we just push 1 onto the stack. If `x 0 <` evaluates to 1 (so $x < 0$), then we push -1 onto the stack and ignore the token 1.

Next, we want to multiply by x , so we add `*` to the end:

```
x 0 < if -1 else 1 *
```

This doesn't work, though! We popped x off of the stack when we did the comparison. If we run the above command, we get:

```
Error: Error: not enough elements on stack
```

So, we need to duplicate x before we compare, so we can still use it later:

```
x dup 0 < if -1 else 1 *
```

That will compute the absolute value of x .