# Crossing the Chasm: Sneaking a parallel file system into Hadoop

Wittawat Tantisiriroj, Swapnil Patil, Garth Gibson

### Overview


#### **Internet Services**

- Applications are becoming data-intentive
  - Large input data set (e.g. the entire web)
  - Distributed, parallel execution
- Distributed file system is a key component of the computing system
  - Purpose-built for anticipated workloads
  - New, diverse semantics (typically not support POSIX)
- Hadoop & Hadoop distributed file system (HDFS)
  - Distribute data across multiple nodes
  - Use triplication for reliability
  - Divide application into many small units of work
  - Use file layout information, which shows where data is located, to collocate computation and data

### High performance computing (HPC)

- Equally large scale applications
  - Large input data set (e.g. astronomy data)
  - Distributed, parallel execution
  - Use parallel file systems for scalable I/O
- Parallel file system
  - Handle a wide variety of workload
    - Concurrent reads and writes
    - Small file support, scalable metadata
  - Typically support POSIX and VFS interface
  - Maturing and being standardized (pNFS)

## PVFS plug-in under Hadoop stack




- Readahead buffer: reads 4MB from PVFS and replies in 4KB units to Hadoop
- File layout information: exposes file layout stored in PVFS as extended attributes
- Replication: triplicates write request to three PVFS files with disjoint layouts


## **Experiment Setup**


- Yahoo! M45 cluster (Xeon quad-core 1.86 GHz, 6GB Memory, 7200 rpm SATA 750 GB disks, Gigabit Ethernet)
- Benchmarks
  - Grep: Search for a rare pattern in a hundred million 100-byte records (100GB)
  - Sort: Sort a hundred million 100-byte records (100GB)
  - Never-Ending Language Learning (NELL): (from J. Betteridge) Count the number of selected phases in 37GB data-set

## **Experiment Results**





 By using both readahead buffer and file layout information, PVFS performance is comparable to HDFS





PVFS performance is comparable to HDFS for both read-intensive applications





• sort using HDFS is faster than running sort on PVFS because HDFS writes the first copy locally

## Conclusion

- With few modification in a non-intrusive PVFS shim layer, PVFS delivers promising performance for Hadoop applications
- File layout information is essential for Hadoop to collocate computation and data

## Acknowledgements

Sams Lang, Rob Ross, Yahoo!, Julio Lopez,
Justin Betteridge, Le Zhao, Jamie Callan, Shay Cohen,
Noah Smith, U Kang and Christos Faloutsos



Carnegie Mellon