15-496/859X: Computer Science Theory for the Information Age Carnegie Mellon University Spring 2012 V. Guruswami & R. Kannan

## PROBLEM SET 6 SOLUTION BY YUAN ZHOU

1. Let  $\boldsymbol{w}^*$  be the vector such that  $\|\boldsymbol{w}^*\| = 1$  and  $\forall i, (\boldsymbol{w}^* \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_i) l_i \geq \gamma$ . As the algorithm runs, we keep track of the value  $\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}^*$  and  $\|\boldsymbol{w}\|$ . At each iteration, when we update the vector  $\boldsymbol{w}$  by  $\boldsymbol{w}' = \boldsymbol{w} + l_i \boldsymbol{a}_i$ , we have

$$\boldsymbol{w}' \cdot \boldsymbol{w}^* = \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}^* + l_i(\boldsymbol{a}_i \cdot \boldsymbol{w}^*) \geq \boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}^* + \gamma,$$

and

$$\|\boldsymbol{w}'\| = \|\boldsymbol{w} + l_i \boldsymbol{a}_i\| = \sqrt{\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2 + \|\boldsymbol{a}_i\|^2 + 2l_i(\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{a}_i)} \le \sqrt{\|\boldsymbol{w}\|^2 + 1 + \gamma \|\boldsymbol{w}\|}.$$

We now show by induction that at step t, we have  $\|\boldsymbol{w}^{(t)}\| \leq \frac{3}{\gamma} + \frac{2\gamma}{3} \cdot t$ .

- When t = 0, we have  $\|\boldsymbol{w}^{(0)}\| = 0 \le \frac{3}{\gamma}$ .
- For t > 0, we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{w}^{(t)}\| \leq \sqrt{\|\boldsymbol{w}^{(t-1)}\|^2 + 1 + \gamma \|\boldsymbol{w}^{(t-1)}\|} \\ \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{\gamma} + \frac{2\gamma}{3} \cdot (t-1)\right)^2 + 1 + \gamma \left(\frac{3}{\gamma} + \frac{2\gamma}{3} \cdot (t-1)\right)} \quad (*).$$

Note that since

$$\begin{split} &\left(\frac{3}{\gamma} + \frac{2\gamma}{3} \cdot t\right)^2 - \left(\frac{3}{\gamma} + \frac{2\gamma}{3} \cdot (t-1)\right)^2 - 1 - \gamma \left(\frac{3}{\gamma} + \frac{2\gamma}{3} \cdot (t-1)\right) \\ &= \frac{2\gamma}{3} \left(\frac{6}{\gamma} + \frac{2\gamma}{3} \cdot (2t-1)\right) - 1 - \gamma \left(\frac{3}{\gamma} + \frac{2\gamma}{3} \cdot (t-1)\right) \\ &= \frac{4\gamma^2}{9} \cdot (2t-1) - \gamma \cdot \frac{2\gamma}{3} \cdot (t-1) \\ &= \left(\frac{8}{9} - \frac{2}{3}\right) \gamma^2 t + \left(\frac{2}{3} - \frac{4}{9}\right) \gamma^2 \ge 0, \end{split}$$

we have

$$(*) \leq \sqrt{\left(\frac{3}{\gamma} + \frac{2\gamma}{3} \cdot t\right)^2} = \frac{3}{\gamma} + \frac{2\gamma}{3} \cdot t.$$

This completes the induction.

Therefore, at step t, we have

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{w}\cdot\boldsymbol{w}^*}{\|\boldsymbol{w}\|} \geq \frac{t\cdot\gamma}{\frac{3}{\gamma}+\frac{2\gamma}{3}\cdot t} = \frac{1}{\frac{3}{t\cdot\gamma^2}+\frac{2}{3}}$$

When  $t > 9/\gamma^2$ , this value is greater than 1. On the other hand, since  $\frac{\boldsymbol{w} \cdot \boldsymbol{w}^*}{\|\boldsymbol{w}\|}$  is the cosine value of the angle between  $\boldsymbol{w}$  and  $\boldsymbol{w}^*$  and cannot be greater than 1, we know that the algorithm terminates within  $9/\gamma^2$  steps.

- 2. 7. (Proof omitted).
- 3. Let  $A \subseteq U$  be a set that is shattered such that |A| = d. Suppose that  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d\}$  and  $\epsilon < 1/2$ .

Consider the following probability distribution

$$p(a_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{4\epsilon}{d} & \text{for } i \le d/2\\ 0 & \text{for } d/2 < i \le d-1\\ 1-2\epsilon & \text{for } i = d \end{cases}$$

Note that for every  $S \subseteq \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{d/2}\}$  such that  $|S| \ge d/4$ , we have that  $p(S) \ge \epsilon$ . Therefore, there exists  $S' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  such that  $S' \cap A = S$  (therefore  $p(S') = p(S) \ge \epsilon$  by the definition of p).

Since we need to hit all the sets which intersect  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{d/2}\}$  with at least d/4 elements, we need to choose at least d/4 elements from  $\{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{d/2}\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\Omega(d/\epsilon)$  samples are needed.

- 4. (a) n. (Proof omitted.)
  - (b) Suppose g is the unknown conjunction function being learned. For any conjunction function f, if the algorithm sees a sample x such that  $f(x) \neq g(x)$ , f cannot be the final output of the algorithm. Therefore, if f is  $\epsilon$ -far from g, at each sample, with probability  $\epsilon$ , f is "killed". In total, if f is  $\epsilon$ -far from g, f survives with probability at most  $(1-\epsilon)^m$  where m is the number of samples the algorithm uses.

Since there are at most  $3^n$  possible conjunction functions (therefore this is also an upper bound for the number of conjunction functions that are  $\epsilon$ -far from g), by a union bound, the probability at a function  $\epsilon$ -far from g survives is at most  $(1 - \epsilon)^m \cdot 3^n$ . By making  $m = c \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon} (n + \log(1/\delta))$  for some large enough c, we are able to make the probability at most  $\delta$ .

- 5. (a) Since the dimension of  $v_F$  is  $|\mathcal{S}_d|$ , the number of independent vectors  $|\{v_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}| = |\mathcal{F}|$  is at most  $|\mathcal{S}_d| = \sum_{i=0}^d {n \choose i}$ .
  - (b) Note that we have  $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \alpha_F v_F = 0$ . At coordinate X, we have  $\sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \alpha_F v_F(X) = 0$ . Since  $v_F(X) = 1$  when  $X \subseteq F$  and  $v_F(X) = 0$  otherwise, we get

$$\mu(X) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: X \subseteq F} \alpha_F = 0.$$

(c) Since  $\alpha$  is not a 0 vector, let T be the maximum-sized set in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\alpha_T \neq 0$ . Observe that

$$\mu(T) = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{F}: T \subseteq F} \alpha_F = \alpha_T \neq 0.$$

(d) Observe that

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{W:Z\subseteq W\subseteq Y} (-1)^{|W\setminus Z|} \mu(W) \\ &= \sum_{W:Z\subseteq W\subseteq Y} (-1)^{|W\setminus Z|} \sum_{F\in \mathcal{F}: W\subseteq F} \alpha_F \\ &= \sum_{F\in \mathcal{F}: Z\subseteq F} \alpha_F \sum_{W: Z\subseteq W\subseteq Y, W\subseteq F} (-1)^{|W\setminus Z|} \\ &= \sum_{F\in \mathcal{F}: Z\subseteq F} \alpha_F \sum_{W: Z\subseteq W\subseteq Y\cap F} (-1)^{|W\setminus Z|}, \end{split}$$

where for  $Z \subseteq Y \cap F$ ,  $\sum_{W:Z \subseteq W \subseteq Y \cap F} (-1)^{|W \setminus Z|} = 1$  only when  $Z = Y \cap F$  and it equals 0 otherwise. Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{W:Z\subseteq W\subseteq Y} (-1)^{|W\setminus Z|} \mu(W) = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}:Z\subseteq F} \alpha_F \sum_{W:Z\subseteq W\subseteq Y\cap F} (-1)^{|W\setminus Z|} = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}:Y\cap F=Z} \alpha_F.$$

(e) Since Y is the set with smallest cardinality such that  $\mu(Y) \neq 0$ , we have  $\mu(W) = 0$  for all  $W \subsetneq Y$ . Thus,

$$\sum_{W:Z\subseteq W\subseteq Y} (-1)^{|W\setminus Z|} \mu(W) = (-1)^{|Y\setminus Z|} \mu(Y) \neq 0.$$

Therefore, by part (d), we have

$$\sum_{F\in\mathcal{F}:Y\cap F=Z}\alpha_F\neq 0.$$

Therefore, there exists at least one  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $Y \cap F = Z$ .

(f) By part (e), Y is shattered by  $\mathcal{F}$ . By part (b), we have that |Y| > d. Therefore, the VC dimension of  $\mathcal{F}$  is at least d + 1 – a contradiction.