Dynamic Memory Allocation: Advanced Concepts

15-213: Introduction to Computer Systems 20th Lecture, July 11, 2018

Instructor:

Brian Railing

Dynamic Memory Allocation

- Programmers use dynamic memory allocators (such as malloc) to acquire VM at run time.
 - For data structures whose size is only known at runtime.
- Dynamic memory allocators manage an area of process virtual memory known as the *heap*.





Last Lecture: Keeping Track of Free Blocks

Method 1: Implicit list using length—links all blocks



Method 2: Explicit list among the free blocks using pointers



- Method 3: Segregated free list
 - Different free lists for different size classes

Method 4: Blocks sorted by size

 Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

Summary: Implicit Lists

- Implementation: very simple
- Allocate cost:
 - linear time worst case
- Free cost:
 - constant time worst case
 - even with coalescing

Memory usage:

- will depend on placement policy
- First-fit, next-fit or best-fit
- Not used in practice for malloc/free because of linear-time allocation
 - used in many special purpose applications

However, the concepts of splitting and boundary tag coalescing are general to *all* allocators

Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

Today

Explicit free lists

- Segregated free lists
- Garbage collection
- Memory-related perils and pitfalls

Keeping Track of Free Blocks

Method 1: Implicit free list using length—links all blocks



Method 2: Explicit free list among the free blocks using pointers



- Method 3: Segregated free list
 - Different free lists for different size classes

Method 4: Blocks sorted by size

 Can use a balanced tree (e.g. Red-Black tree) with pointers within each free block, and the length used as a key

Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

Explicit Free Lists

Allocated (as before)



Free

а

Maintain list(s) of *free* blocks, not *all* blocks

- The "next" free block could be anywhere
 - So we need to store forward/back pointers, not just sizes
- Still need boundary tags for coalescing
- Luckily we track only free blocks, so we can use payload area

Explicit Free Lists

Logically:



Physically: blocks can be in any order



Allocating From Explicit Free Lists

conceptual graphic





Freeing With Explicit Free Lists

- Insertion policy: Where in the free list do you put a newly freed block?
- Unordered
 - LIFO (last-in-fir Aside: Premature Optimization
 - Insert free
 - FIFO (first-in-fi
 - Insert free
 - Pro: simple and
 - Con: studies su

Address-ordere

Insert freed blo

addr(prev) < addr(curr) < addr(next)

- Con: requires search
- Pro: studies suggest fragmentation is lower than LIFO/FIFO

Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

Don't!

Freeing With Explicit Free Lists

- Insertion policy: Where in the free list do you put a newly freed block?
- Unordered
 - LIFO (last-in-first-out) policy
 - Insert freed block at the beginning of the free list
 - FIFO (first-in-first-out) policy
 - Insert freed block at the end of the free list
 - Pro: simple and constant time
 - Con: studies suggest fragmentation is worse than address ordered

Address-ordered policy

- Insert freed blocks so that free list blocks are always in address order: *addr(prev) < addr(curr) < addr(next)*
- Con: requires search
- Pro: studies suggest fragmentation is lower than LIFO/FIFO

Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 1)

conceptual graphic



Insert the freed block at the root of the list



Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 2)

conceptual graphic



Splice out successor block, coalesce both memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list



Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 3)

conceptual graphic



Splice out predecessor block, coalesce both memory blocks, and insert the new block at the root of the list



Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

Freeing With a LIFO Policy (Case 4)

conceptual graphic



Splice out predecessor and successor blocks, coalesce all 3 memory blocks and insert the new block at the root of the list



Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition



- Use circular, doubly-linked list
- Support multiple approaches with single data structure
- First-fit vs. next-fit
 - Either keep free pointer fixed or move as search list
- LIFO vs. FIFO
 - Insert as next block (LIFO), or previous block (FIFO)

Explicit List Summary

- Comparison to implicit list:
 - Allocate is linear time in number of *free* blocks instead of *all* blocks
 - Much faster when most of the memory is full
 - Slightly more complicated allocate and free since needs to splice blocks in and out of the list
 - Some extra space for the links (2 extra words needed for each block)
 - Does this increase internal fragmentation?
- Most common use of linked lists is in conjunction with segregated free lists
 - Keep multiple linked lists of different size classes, or possibly for different types of objects

Today

- **Explicit free lists**
- Segregated free lists
- Garbage collection
- Memory-related perils and pitfalls

Segregated List (Seglist) Allocators

Each size class of blocks has its own free list



- Often have separate classes for each small size
- For larger sizes: One class for each two-power size

Seglist Allocator

Given an array of free lists, each one for some size class

To allocate a block of size n:

- Search appropriate free list for block of size m > n
- If an appropriate block is found:
 - Split block and place fragment on appropriate list (optional)
- If no block is found, try next larger class
- Repeat until block is found

If no block is found:

- Request additional heap memory from OS (using sbrk())
- Allocate block of n bytes from this new memory
- Place remainder as a single free block in largest size class.

Seglist Allocator (cont.)

- To free a block:
 - Coalesce and place on appropriate list

Advantages of seglist allocators

- Higher throughput
 - log time for power-of-two size classes
- Better memory utilization
 - First-fit search of segregated free list approximates a best-fit search of entire heap.
 - Extreme case: Giving each block its own size class is equivalent to best-fit.

More Info on Allocators

- D. Knuth, "The Art of Computer Programming", 2nd edition, Addison Wesley, 1973
 - The classic reference on dynamic storage allocation
- Wilson et al, "Dynamic Storage Allocation: A Survey and Critical Review", Proc. 1995 Int'l Workshop on Memory Management, Kinross, Scotland, Sept, 1995.
 - Comprehensive survey
 - Available from CS:APP student site (csapp.cs.cmu.edu)

Today

- Explicit free lists
- Segregated free lists
- Garbage collection
- Memory-related perils and pitfalls

Implicit Memory Management: Garbage Collection

 Garbage collection: automatic reclamation of heap-allocated storage—application never has to free

```
void foo() {
    int *p = malloc(128);
    return; /* p block is now garbage */
}
```

Common in many dynamic languages:

Python, Ruby, Java, Perl, ML, Lisp, Mathematica

Variants ("conservative" garbage collectors) exist for C and C++

However, cannot necessarily collect all garbage

Garbage Collection

- How does the memory manager know when memory can be freed?
 - In general we cannot know what is going to be used in the future since it depends on conditionals
 - But we can tell that certain blocks cannot be used if there are no pointers to them

Must make certain assumptions about pointers

- Memory manager can distinguish pointers from non-pointers
- All pointers point to the start of a block
- Cannot hide pointers
 (e.g., by coercing them to an int, and then back again)

Classical GC Algorithms

- Mark-and-sweep collection (McCarthy, 1960)
 - Does not move blocks (unless you also "compact")
- Reference counting (Collins, 1960)
 - Does not move blocks (not discussed)

Copying collection (Minsky, 1963)

Moves blocks (not discussed)

Generational Collectors (Lieberman and Hewitt, 1983)

- Collection based on lifetimes
 - Most allocations become garbage very soon
 - So focus reclamation work on zones of memory recently allocated
- For more information:

Jones and Lin, "Garbage Collection: Algorithms for Automatic Dynamic Memory", John Wiley & Sons, 1996.

Memory as a Graph

We view memory as a directed graph

- Each block is a node in the graph
- Each pointer is an edge in the graph
- Locations not in the heap that contain pointers into the heap are called *root* nodes (e.g. registers, locations on the stack, global variables)



A node (block) is *reachable* if there is a path from any root to that node.

Non-reachable nodes are *garbage* (cannot be needed by the application)

Mark and Sweep Collecting

- Can build on top of malloc/free package
 - Allocate using malloc until you "run out of space"
- When out of space:
 - Use extra mark bit in the head of each block
 - Mark: Start at roots and set mark bit on each reachable block
 - Sweep: Scan all blocks and free blocks that are not marked



Assumptions For a Simple Implementation

Application

- **new(n):** returns pointer to new block with all locations cleared
- read(b,i): read location i of block b into register
- write(b,i,v): write v into location i of block b

Each block will have a header word

- addressed as b[-1], for a block b
- Used for different purposes in different collectors

Instructions used by the Garbage Collector

- is_ptr(p) : determines whether p is a pointer
- length (b): returns the length of block b, not including the header
- get_roots(): returns all the roots

```
ptr mark(ptr p) {
    if (!is_ptr(p)) return;
    if (markBitSet(p)) return;
    setMarkBit(p);
    for (i=0; i < length(p); i++)
       mark(p[i]); // in
    return;
}</pre>
```

```
ptr mark(ptr p) {
    if (!is_ptr(p)) return; // if not pointer -> do nothing
    if (markBitSet(p)) return;
    setMarkBit(p);
    for (i=0; i < length(p); i++)
        mark(p[i]); // in
    return;
}</pre>
```

```
ptr mark(ptr p) {
    if (!is_ptr(p)) return; // if not pointer -> do nothing
    if (markBitSet(p)) return; // if already marked -> do nothing
    setMarkBit(p);
    for (i=0; i < length(p); i++)
        mark(p[i]); // in
    return;
}</pre>
```

```
ptr mark(ptr p) {
    if (!is_ptr(p)) return; // if not pointer -> do nothing
    if (markBitSet(p)) return; // if already marked -> do nothing
    setMarkBit(p); // if already marked -> do nothing
    for (i=0; i < length(p); i++)
        mark(p[i]); // in
    return;
}</pre>
```

```
ptr mark(ptr p) {
    if (!is_ptr(p)) return; // if not pointer -> do nothing
    if (markBitSet(p)) return; // if already marked -> do nothing
    setMarkBit(p); // set the mark bit
    for (i=0; i < length(p); i++) // for each word in p's block
        mark(p[i]);
    return;
}</pre>
```

Mark using depth-first traversal of the memory graph



Mark using depth-first traversal of the memory graph



```
ptr sweep(ptr p, ptr end) {
  while (p < end) { // for entire heap
    if markBitSet(p) // did we reach this block?
        clearMarkBit();
    else if (allocateBitSet(p))
        free(p);
        p += length(p);
}</pre>
```

Mark using depth-first traversal of the memory graph



```
ptr sweep(ptr p, ptr end) {
  while (p < end) { // for entire heap
    if markBitSet(p) // did we reach this block?
        clearMarkBit(); // yes -> so just clear mark bit
    else if (allocateBitSet(p))
        free(p);
        p += length(p);
}
```

Mark using depth-first traversal of the memory graph



```
ptr sweep(ptr p, ptr end) {
  while (p < end) { // for entire heap
    if markBitSet(p) // did we reach this block?
        clearMarkBit(); // yes -> so just clear mark bit
    else if (allocateBitSet(p)) // never reached: is it allocated?
        free(p);
        p += length(p);
}
```

Mark using depth-first traversal of the memory graph





Mark using depth-first traversal of the memory graph





Conservative Mark & Sweep in C

- A "conservative garbage collector" for C programs
 - is_ptr() determines if a word is a pointer by checking if it points to an allocated block of memory
 - But, in C pointers can point to the middle of a block



So how to find the beginning of the block?

- Can use a balanced binary tree to keep track of all allocated blocks (key is start-of-block)
- Balanced-tree pointers can be stored in header (use two additional words)



Left: smaller addresses Right: larger addresses

Today

- Explicit free lists
- Segregated free lists
- Garbage collection
- Memory-related perils and pitfalls

Memory-Related Perils and Pitfalls

- Dereferencing bad pointers
- Reading uninitialized memory
- Overwriting memory
- Referencing nonexistent variables
- Freeing blocks multiple times
- Referencing freed blocks
- Failing to free blocks

C operators



->, (), and [] have high precedence, with * and & just below Unary +, -, and * have higher precedence than binary forms

Source: K&R page 53, updated 45

C Pointer Declarations: Test Yourself!

int *p	p is a pointer to int
int *p[13]	p is an array[13] of pointer to int
int *(p[13])	p is an array[13] of pointer to int
int **p	p is a pointer to a pointer to an int
int (*p)[13]	p is a pointer to an array[13] of int
int *f()	f is a function returning a pointer to int
int (*f)()	f is a pointer to a function returning int
int (*(*f())[13])()	f is a function returning ptr to an array[13] of pointers to functions returning int
int (*(*x[3])())[5]	x is an array[3] of pointers to functions returning pointers to array[5] of ints

46

Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

Parsing: int (*(*f())[13])()

f

- int (*(*<mark>f</mark>())[13])()
- int (*(*<mark>f()</mark>)[13])()

int (*(*f())[13])()

int (*(*f())[13])()

int (*(*f())[13])()

int (*(*f())[13])()

Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

f is a function

f is a function that returns a ptr

f is a a function that returns a ptr to an array of 13

f is a ptr to a function that returns a ptr to an array of 13 ptrs

f is a ptr to a function that returns a ptr to an array of 13 ptrs to function returning an int

C Pointer Declarations: Test Yourself!

int	*p	p is a pointer to int
int	*p[13]	p is an array[13] of pointer to int
int	*(p[13])	p is an array[13] of pointer to int
int	**p	p is a pointer to a pointer to an int
int	(*p) [13]	p is a pointer to an array[13] of int
int	*f()	f is a function returning a pointer to int
int	(*f)()	f is a pointer to a function returning int
int	(*(*f())[13])()	f is a function returning ptr to an array[13] of pointers to functions returning int
int	(*(*x[3])())[5]	x is an array[3] of pointers to functions returning pointers to array[5] of ints

Source: K&R Sec 5.12

Bryant and O'Hallaron, Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Third Edition

A better way: int (*(*f())[13])()

// pointer to a function returning an int
typedef int (*pfri)();

// An array of thirteen pfri's
typedef pfri arr13pfri[13];

// pointer to an array of thirteen pfri's
typedef arr13pfri* ptrToArr;

// ptr to function returning a
// ptr to an array of 13 pointer's to functions which return ints
typedef ptrToArr (*pfrArr13fri)();

Dereferencing Bad Pointers

The classic scanf bug

```
int val;
...
scanf("%d", val);
```

Reading Uninitialized Memory

Assuming that heap data is initialized to zero

```
/* return y = Ax */
int *matvec(int **A, int *x) {
    int *y = malloc(N*sizeof(int));
    int i, j;
    for (i=0; i<N; i++)
        for (j=0; j<N; j++)
            y[i] += A[i][j]*x[j];
    return y;
}</pre>
```

Can avoid by using calloc

Allocating the (possibly) wrong sized object

```
int **p;
p = malloc(N*sizeof(int));
for (i=0; i<N; i++) {
    p[i] = malloc(M*sizeof(int));
}
```

• Can you spot the bug?

Off-by-one errors

```
char **p;
p = malloc(N*sizeof(char *));
for (i=0; i<=N; i++) {
    p[i] = malloc(M*sizeof(char));
}
```

```
char *p;
p = malloc(strlen(s));
strcpy(p,s);
```

Not checking the max string size

```
char s[8];
int i;
gets(s); /* reads "123456789" from stdin */
```

Basis for classic buffer overflow attacks

Misunderstanding pointer arithmetic

```
int *search(int *p, int val) {
  while (p && *p != val)
     p += sizeof(int);
  return p;
}
```

Referencing a pointer instead of the object it points to

```
int *BinheapDelete(int **binheap, int *size) {
    int *packet;
    packet = binheap[0];
    binheap[0] = binheap[*size - 1];
    *size--;
    Heapify(binheap, *size, 0);
    return (packet) ;
                                       Operators
                                                                          Associativity
}
                                                                           left to right
                                          []
                                                            (type) sizeof
                                                                           right to left
                                                                           left to right
                                       *
                                            8
                                                                          left to right
                                       +
                                                                          left to right
                                       << >>
                                                                          left to right
                                       < <= > >=
                                          !=
                                                                          left to right
                                       ==
                                                                          left to right
                                       8
                                       ~
                                                                          left to right
                                                                          left to right
                                                                          left to right
                                       88
```

11

?:

1

= += -= *= /= %= &= ^= != <<= >>=

left to right

right to left

right to left left to right

Referencing Nonexistent Variables

Forgetting that local variables disappear when a function returns

Freeing Blocks Multiple Times

Nasty!

Referencing Freed Blocks

Evil!

Failing to Free Blocks (Memory Leaks)

Slow, long-term killer!

```
foo() {
    int *x = malloc(N*sizeof(int));
    ...
    return;
}
```

Failing to Free Blocks (Memory Leaks)

Freeing only part of a data structure

```
struct list {
   int val;
   struct list *next;
};
foo() \{
   struct list *head = malloc(sizeof(struct list));
   head \rightarrow val = 0;
   head->next = NULL;
   <create and manipulate the rest of the list>
    . . .
   free(head);
   return;
```

Dealing With Memory Bugs

Debugger: gdb

- Good for finding bad pointer dereferences
- Hard to detect the other memory bugs

Data structure consistency checker

- Runs silently, prints message only on error
- Use as a probe to zero in on error

Binary translator: valgrind

- Powerful debugging and analysis technique
- Rewrites text section of executable object file
- Checks each individual reference at runtime
 - Bad pointers, overwrites, refs outside of allocated block

glibc malloc contains checking code

setenv MALLOC_CHECK_ 3