

# The cover time of sparse random graphs.

Colin Cooper<sup>\*</sup>      Alan Frieze<sup>†</sup>

January 8, 2003

## Abstract

We study the cover time of a random walk on graphs  $G \in G_{n,p}$  when  $p = \frac{c \log n}{n}$ ,  $c > 1$ . We prove that **whp** the cover time is asymptotic to  $c \log\left(\frac{c}{c-1}\right) n \log n$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a connected graph, let  $|V| = n$ , and  $|E| = m$ . For  $v \in V$  let  $C_v$  be the expected time taken for a simple random walk  $W$  on  $G$  starting at  $v$ , to visit every vertex of  $G$ . The *cover time*  $C_G$  of  $G$  is defined as  $C_G = \max_{v \in V} C_v$ . The cover time of connected graphs has been extensively studied. It is a classic result of Aleliunas, Karp, Lipton, Lovász and Rackoff [1] that  $C_G \leq 2m(n-1)$ . It is also known (see Feige [6], [7]), that for any connected graph  $G$

$$(1 - o(1))n \log n \leq C_G \leq (1 + o(1))\frac{4}{27}n^3.$$

In this paper we study the cover time of the random graph,  $G \in G_{n,p}$ . It was shown by Jonasson [10] that **whp**

- (a)  $C_G = (1 + o(1))n \log n$  if  $\frac{np}{\log n} \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (b) If  $c > 1$  is constant and  $np = c \log n$  then  $C_G > (1 + \alpha)n \log n$  for some constant  $\alpha = \alpha(c)$ .

Thus Jonasson has shown that when the expected average degree  $(n-1)p$  grows faster than  $\log n$ , a random graph has the same cover time **whp** as the complete graph  $K_n$ , whose cover

---

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematical and Computing Sciences, Goldsmiths College, London SW14 6NW, UK

<sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematical Sciences, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh PA15213. Supported in part by NSF grant CCR-9818411.

time is determined by the Coupon Collector problem. Whereas, when  $np = \Omega(\log n)$  this is not the case.

In this paper we sharpen Jonasson's results for the case  $np = c \log n$  where  $\omega = (c-1) \log n \rightarrow \infty$ . This condition on  $\omega$  ensures that **whp**  $G_{n,p}$  is connected, (see Erdős and Rényi [5]).

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $np = c \log n = \log n + \omega$  where  $\omega = (c-1) \log n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $c=O(1)$ . If  $G \in G_{n,p}$ , then **whp***

$$C_G \sim c \log \left( \frac{c}{c-1} \right) n \log n.$$

In the next section we give some properties that hold **whp** in  $G_{n,p}$ . In Section 3 we show that a graph with these properties has a cover time described by Theorem 1.

## 2 Properties of $G_{n,p}$

Let  $\delta, \Delta$  denote the minimum and maximum degree, and let  $d(u, v)$  denote the distance between the vertices  $u, v$  of the graph  $G$ .

Let  $np = c \log n$  where  $c > 1$ . **Whp**  $G \in G_{n,p}$  has the structural properties **P0–P7** given below. We say that a graph  $G$  with these properties is *typical*. The proof of the following lemma is given in the Appendix.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $p = \frac{c \log n}{n}$  where  $\omega = (c-1) \log n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $c=O(1)$ . Then **whp**  $G \in G_{n,p}$  is typical.*

**P0:**  $G$  is connected.

**P1:**  $\Delta(G) \leq \Delta_0 = (c+10) \log n$  and

$$\delta(G) \geq \begin{cases} 1 & c \leq 1 + e^{-500} \\ \alpha \log n & c > 1 + e^{-500} \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha = \alpha^*/2$  and  $\alpha^* > e^{-600}$  satisfies  $c-1 = \alpha^* \log(ce/\alpha^*)$ .

**P2:** There are at most  $n^{1/3}$  *small* vertices (i.e of degree at most  $\log n/20$ ) and no two small vertices are within distance  $\leq \frac{\log n}{(\log \log n)^2}$  of each other.

**P3:** For  $L \subseteq V$ ,  $|L| \leq 4$ , let  $H = G - L$ . For  $S \subseteq V - L$  let  $e_H(S, \bar{S})$  be the number of edges of  $H$  with one end in  $S$  and the other in  $\bar{S} = V - (L \cup S)$ .

For all  $H \subseteq G$  such that  $\delta(H) \geq 1$ , and for all  $S \subseteq V - L$ ,  $|S| \leq n/2$ ,

$$\frac{e_H(S, \bar{S})}{d_H(S)} \geq \frac{1}{6}.$$

**P4:** Let  $\overline{D}(k) = n \binom{n-1}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-1-k}$  denote the expected size of  $D(k)$  in  $G_{n,p}$ .  
Let  $D(k)$  be the number of vertices of degree  $k$  in  $G$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= \{k \in [1, \Delta_0] : \overline{D}(k) \leq (\log n)^{-2}\}. \\ K_1 &= \{1 \leq k \leq 15 : (\log n)^{-2} \leq \overline{D}(k) \leq \log \log n\}. \\ K_2 &= \{k \in [16, \Delta_0] : (\log n)^{-2} \leq \overline{D}(k) \leq (\log n)^2\}. \\ K_3 &= [1, \Delta_0] \setminus (K_0 \cup K_1 \cup K_2). \end{aligned}$$

**P4a:** If  $k \in K_3$  then  $\frac{1}{2}\overline{D}(k) \leq D(k) \leq 2\overline{D}(k)$ , and

$$D(k) \begin{cases} = 0 & k \in K_0 \\ \leq (\log \log n)^2 & k \in K_1 \\ \leq (\log n)^4 & k \in K_2 \end{cases}$$

**P4b:** If  $\omega \geq (\log n)^{2/3}$  then  $K_1 = \emptyset$  and

$$\min\{k \in K_2\} \geq (\log n)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad |K_2| = O(\log \log n).$$

**P5:** The number of edges  $m = m(G)$  of  $G$  satisfies  $|m - \frac{1}{2}cn \log n| \leq n^{1/2} \log n$ .

**P6:** Let  $k^* = \lceil (c-1) \log n \rceil$ ,  $V^* = \{v : d(v) = k^*\}$  and let  
 $B^* = \{v \in V^* : \text{dist}(v, w) \leq \frac{10 \log n}{(\log \log n)^2} \text{ for some } w \in V^*, w \neq v\}$ . Then

$$|V^*| \geq \frac{1}{2}\overline{D}(k^*) \quad \text{and} \quad |B^*| \leq \frac{1}{10}\overline{D}(k^*).$$

Let  $X = \{v : \delta_v \leq \alpha \log n\}$  where  $\delta_v \geq 2$  is the minimum degree of a neighbour of  $v$ , excluding neighbours of degree one. Then

$$|V^* \cap X| \leq \frac{1}{10}\overline{D}(k^*).$$

**P7** The minimum distance between two *small* cycles of length  $\leq \frac{\log n}{10 \log \log n}$  is at least  $\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$   
and the minimum distance between a small vertex and a small cycle is at least  $\frac{\log n}{10 \log \log n}$ .

### 3 The cover time of a typical graph

In this section  $G$  denotes a fixed graph with vertex set  $[n]$  which satisfies **P0–P7** and  $u$  is some arbitrary vertex from which a walk is started. For a subgraph  $H$  of  $G$  let  $W_{u,H}$  denote a random walk on  $H$  which starts at vertex  $u$  and let  $W_{u,H}(t)$  denote the walk generated by the first  $t$  steps. Let  $X_{u,H}(t)$  be the vertex reached at step  $t$  and let  $P_{u,H}^{(t)}(v) = \mathbf{Pr}(X_{u,H}(t) = v)$ . Let  $\pi_{u,H}(v)$  be the steady state probability of the random walk  $W_{u,H}$ . For an unbiased random

walk on a connected graph  $H$  with  $m(H)$  edges,  $\pi_H(v) = \pi_{u,H}(v) = \frac{d_H(v)}{2m(H)}$  where  $d_H(v)$  denotes degree in  $H$ .

Our definition of typical does not rule out  $G$  being bipartite, even though  $G_{n,p}$  is non-bipartite **whp** for these values of  $p$ . In which case there is no steady state distribution. We therefore assume that in such a case, at each step, the random walk does nothing with probability  $1/2$  and only moves to an adjacent vertex with probability  $1/2$ . We double the expected time to cover the vertices, but the asymptotic number of non-trivial steps remains the same.

Let  $H(v) = G - \{v\}$  if  $v$  is not a neighbour of a vertex  $w$  of degree 1, and let  $H(v) = G - \{v, w\}$  if  $v$  has a neighbour  $w$  of degree 1. (Note that **P2** rules out a neighbour having two neighbours of degree 1). For a subgraph  $H$  let  $N_H(v)$  be the neighbourhood of  $v$  in  $H$  (i.e.  $N_H(v) = N_G(v) \cap V(H)$ ). When  $H = G$  we drop the  $H$  from the above notation and often drop the  $u$  as well.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  be typical, then there exists a sufficiently large constant  $K > 0$  such that if  $\tau_0 = K \log n$  then for all  $v \in V$ , and for all  $u, x \in H = H(v)$ , after  $t \geq \tau_0$  steps*

$$|P_{u,H}^{(t)}(x) - \pi_{u,H}(x)| = O(n^{-10}). \quad (1)$$

**Proof** The *conductance*  $\Phi$  of the walk  $W_{u,H}$  is defined by

$$\Phi(W_{u,H}) = \min_{\pi(S) \leq 1/2} \frac{e_H(S : \bar{S})}{d_H(S)}.$$

It follows from **P3** that the conductance  $\Phi$  of the walk  $W_{u,H}$  satisfies  $\Phi \geq \frac{1}{6}$ . Now it follows from Jerrum and Sinclair [9] that

$$|P_{u,H}^{(t)}(x) - \pi_{u,H}(x)| = O\left(n^{1/2} \left(1 - \frac{\Phi^2}{2}\right)^t\right). \quad (2)$$

For sufficiently large  $K$ , the RHS above will be  $O(n^{-10})$  at  $\tau_0$ . We remark that there is a technical point here. The result of [9] assumes that the walk is *lazy*, and only makes a move to a neighbour with probability  $1/2$  at any step. This halves the conductance but still (2) remains true. For us it is sufficient simply to keep the walk lazy for  $2\tau_0$  steps until it is mixed. This is negligible compared to the cover time.  $\square$

For  $v \neq u \in V$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_t(v)$  be the event that  $W_{u,G}(t)$  does not visit  $v$ .

**Lemma 3.**

(a) *If  $t > 2\tau_0$  and  $\delta_v \geq 2$  then*

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\mathcal{A}_t(v)) &\leq \left(1 - \left(\left(\frac{\delta_v - 1}{\delta_v}\right)^2 - O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right) \frac{d(v)}{2m}\right)^{t-2\tau_0} \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{2\tau_0}(v)) \\ \Pr(\mathcal{A}_t(v)) &\geq \left(1 - \left(\left(\frac{\delta_v}{\delta_v - 1}\right)^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right) \frac{d(v)}{2m}\right)^{t-2\tau_0} \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{2\tau_0}(v)) \end{aligned}$$

(b) Suppose that  $v, v' \in V^* \setminus X$  (see **P6**) and that  $\text{dist}(v, v') > \frac{10 \log n}{(\log \log n)^2}$ . Then

$$\Pr(\mathcal{A}_{2\tau_0}(v) \cap \mathcal{A}_{2\tau_0}(v')) \leq \left(1 - \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right) \frac{k^*}{m}\right)^{t-2\tau_0}.$$

**Proof** (a) Fix  $w \neq v$  and  $y \in N_H(v)$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}_k(y)$  denote the set of walks in  $H(v)$  which start at  $w$ , finish at  $y$ , are of length  $2\tau_0$  and which *leave* a vertex in the neighbourhood  $N_H(v)$  exactly  $k$  times. (Note that the walk can leave  $y \in N_H(v)$  without necessarily leaving  $N_H(v)$ .) Let  $\mathcal{W}_k = \bigcup_y \mathcal{W}_k(y)$  and let  $W = (w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{2\tau_0}) \in \mathcal{W}_k(y)$ . Let

$$\rho_W = \frac{\Pr(X_{w,G}(s) = w_s, s = 0, 1, \dots, 2\tau_0)}{\Pr(X_{w,H}(s) = w_s, s = 0, 1, \dots, 2\tau_0)}. \quad (3)$$

Then

$$1 \geq \rho_W \geq \left(\frac{\delta_v - 1}{\delta_v}\right)^k.$$

This is because

$$\frac{\Pr(X_{w,H}(s) = w_s \mid X_{w,H}(s-1) = w_{s-1})}{\Pr(X_{w,G}(s) = w_s \mid X_{w,G}(s-1) = w_{s-1})} = \begin{cases} 1 & w_{s-1} \notin N_G(v) \\ \frac{d_G(w_{s-1})}{d_G(w_{s-1})-1} & w_{s-1} \in N_G(v) \end{cases}$$

If  $\mathcal{E} = \{X_{w,G}(\tau) \neq v, 0 \leq \tau \leq 2\tau_0\}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\mathcal{E}) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_k} \Pr(W_{w,G}(2\tau_0) = W) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_k} \rho_W \Pr(W_{w,H}(2\tau_0) = W) \\ &\geq \sum_{k \geq 0} p_k \left(\frac{\delta_v - 1}{\delta_v}\right)^k \end{aligned}$$

where

$$p_k = \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_k} \Pr(W_{w,H}(2\tau_0) = W) = \Pr(W_{w,H}(2\tau_0) \in \mathcal{W}_k).$$

We will show later that

$$p_0 + p_1 + p_2 \geq 1 - O((\log n)^{-1}) \quad (4)$$

which immediately implies that

$$\Pr(\mathcal{E}) \geq p_0 + p_1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\delta_v}\right) + p_2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\delta_v}\right)^2 \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\delta_v}\right)^2 - O((\log n)^{-1}).$$

Now fix  $y$  and write

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(X_{w,G}(2\tau_0) = y \mid \mathcal{E}) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_k(y)} \Pr(W_{w,G}(2\tau_0) = W) \Pr(\mathcal{E})^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{W \in \mathcal{W}_k(y)} \rho_W \Pr(W_{w,H}(2\tau_0) = W) \Pr(\mathcal{E})^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Now if

$$\begin{aligned}p_{k,y} &= \frac{\Pr(W_{w,H} \in \mathcal{W}_k(y))}{\Pr(X_{w,H}(2\tau_0) = y)} \\ &= \Pr(W_{w,H}(2\tau_0) \text{ leaves a vertex of } N_H(v) \text{ } k \text{ times} \mid X_{w,H}(2\tau_0) = y)\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} p_{k,y} \left( \frac{\delta_v - 1}{\delta_v} \right)^k \leq \frac{\Pr(X_{w,G}(2\tau_0) = y \mid \mathcal{E})}{\Pr(X_{w,H}(2\tau_0) = y)} \leq \Pr(\mathcal{E})^{-1}.$$

We will show later that

$$p_{0,y} + p_{1,y} + p_{2,y} \geq 1 - O((\log n)^{-1}) \quad (5)$$

and so

$$\left( \frac{\delta_v - 1}{\delta_v} \right)^2 - O\left( \frac{1}{\log n} \right) \leq \left| \frac{\Pr(X_{w,G}(2\tau_0) = y \mid \mathcal{E})}{\Pr(X_{w,H}(2\tau_0) = y)} \right| \leq \left( \frac{\delta_v}{\delta_v - 1} \right)^2 + O\left( \frac{1}{\log n} \right).$$

Taking  $w$  as  $X_{u,G}(t - 2\tau_0 - 1)$ , and conditioning on  $\mathcal{A}_{t-2\tau_0-1}(v)$ , we deduce that

$$\left( \frac{\delta_v - 1}{\delta_v} \right)^2 - O\left( \frac{1}{\log n} \right) \leq \left| \frac{\Pr(X_{u,G}(t-1) = y \mid \mathcal{A}_{t-1}(v))}{\Pr(X_{w,H}(2\tau_0) = y)} \right| \leq \left( \frac{\delta_v}{\delta_v - 1} \right)^2 + O\left( \frac{1}{\log n} \right).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\Pr(\mathcal{A}_t(v) \mid \mathcal{A}_{t-1}(v)) &\geq 1 - \left( \left( \frac{\delta_v}{\delta_v - 1} \right)^2 + O\left( \frac{1}{\log n} \right) \right) \sum_{y \in N_H(v)} P_{w,H(v)}^{(2\tau_0)}(y) \frac{1}{d(y)} \\ &= 1 - \left( \left( \frac{\delta_v}{\delta_v - 1} \right)^2 + O\left( \frac{1}{\log n} \right) \right) \sum_{y \in N_H(v)} \left( \frac{d(y) - 1}{2m - 2d(v)} + O\left( \frac{1}{n^{10}} \right) \right) \frac{1}{d(y)} \\ &\geq 1 - \left( \left( \frac{\delta_v}{\delta_v - 1} \right)^2 + O\left( \frac{1}{\log n} \right) \right) \left( \frac{d(v)}{2m} - \frac{1}{2m - 2d(v)} \sum_{y \in N_H(v)} \frac{1}{d(y)} \right) \\ &= 1 - \left( \left( \frac{\delta_v}{\delta_v - 1} \right)^2 + O\left( \frac{1}{\log n} \right) \right) \frac{d(v)}{2m}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we use **P2** to see that  $\sum_{y \in N_H(v)} \frac{1}{d(y)} \leq \frac{40d(v)}{\log n}$ .

Similarly,

$$\Pr(\mathcal{A}_t(v) \mid \mathcal{A}_{t-1}(v)) \leq 1 - \left( \left( \frac{\delta_v - 1}{\delta_v} \right)^2 - O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right) \right) \frac{d(v)}{2m}$$

and the lemma follows immediately.

**Proof of (4,5).** Clearly, we only need to prove (5) and so fix  $y \in N_H(v)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{W}(a, b, t)$  denote the set of walks in  $H$  from  $a$  to  $b$  of length  $t$  and for  $W \in \mathcal{W}(a, b, t)$  let  $\Pr(W) = \Pr(W_{a,H}(t) = W)$ . Then for  $x \in V(H)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(X_{w,H}(\tau_0) = x \mid X_{w,H}(2\tau_0) = y) &= \sum_{\substack{W_1 \in \mathcal{W}(w, x, \tau_0) \\ W_2 \in \mathcal{W}(x, y, \tau_0)}} \frac{\Pr(W_1)\Pr(W_2)}{\Pr(\mathcal{W}(w, y, 2\tau_0))} \\ &= \pi_{x,H}^{-1} \sum_{\substack{W_1 \in \mathcal{W}(w, x, \tau_0) \\ W_2 \in \mathcal{W}(x, y, \tau_0)}} \frac{\Pr(W_1)\pi_{x,H}\Pr(W_2)}{\Pr(\mathcal{W}(w, y, 2\tau_0))} \end{aligned}$$

and with  $W_3$  equal to the reversal of  $W_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \pi_{x,H}^{-1}\pi_{y,H} \sum_{\substack{W_1 \in \mathcal{W}(w, x, \tau_0) \\ W_3 \in \mathcal{W}(y, x, \tau_0)}} \frac{\Pr(W_1)\Pr(W_3)}{\Pr(\mathcal{W}(w, y, 2\tau_0))} \\ &= \frac{\pi_{x,H}^{-1}\pi_{y,H}}{\Pr(\mathcal{W}(w, y, 2\tau_0))} \Pr(\mathcal{W}(w, x, \tau_0))\Pr(\mathcal{W}(y, x, \tau_0)) \\ &= \frac{\pi_{x,H}^{-1}\pi_{y,H}}{\Pr(\mathcal{W}(w, y, 2\tau_0))} (\pi_{x,H} - O(n^{-10}))^2 \\ &= \pi_{x,H} - O(n^{-9} \log n). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the variation distance between  $X_{w,H}(\tau_0)$  and a vertex chosen from the steady state distribution  $\pi_H$  is  $O(n^{-8} \log n)$ . Now given  $x = X_{w,H}(\tau_0)$ ,  $W_{w,H}(\tau_0)$  is a random walk of length  $\tau_0$  from  $w$  to  $x$  and  $W_2 = (x = X_{w,H}(\tau_0), X_{w,H}(\tau_0 + 1), \dots, y = X_{w,H}(2\tau_0))$  is a random walk of length  $\tau_0$  from  $x$  to  $y$ . For  $W \in \bigcup_{\xi} \mathcal{W}(\xi, y, \tau_0)$  let  $\mathbf{Q}(W)$  be the probability that  $(y, X_{w,H}(2\tau_0 - 1), \dots, X_{w,H}(\tau_0)) = W$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q}(W) &= (1 + O(n^{-8} \log n)) \frac{\pi_{x,H}\Pr(W^{reverse})}{\Pr(\mathcal{W}(x, y, \tau_0))} \\ &= (1 + O(n^{-8} \log n)) \frac{\pi_{y,H}\Pr(W)}{\Pr(\mathcal{W}(x, y, \tau_0))} \\ &= (1 + O(n^{-8} \log n)) \frac{\pi_{y,H}\pi_{x,H}\Pr(W)}{\pi_{x,H}\Pr(\mathcal{W}(x, y, \tau_0))} \\ &= (1 + O(n^{-8} \log n)) \frac{\pi_{y,H}\pi_{x,H}\Pr(W)}{\pi_{y,H}\Pr(\mathcal{W}(y, x, \tau_0))} \end{aligned}$$

Thus if  $W = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{\tau_0})$  then

$$\mathbf{Q}(W \mid X_{w,H}(\tau_0) = w_1) = (1 + O(n^{-8} \log n)) \frac{\mathbf{Pr}(W)}{\mathbf{Pr}(\mathcal{W}(y, x, \tau_0))}$$

and so the distribution of  $W_2^{reverse}$  is within variation distance  $O(n^{-8} \log n)$  of that of a random walk of length  $\tau_0$  from  $y$  to a vertex  $x$  chosen with distribution  $\pi_H$ .

Thus the distribution of a random walk of length  $2\tau_0$  from  $w$  to  $y$  and that of  $W_1, W_3^{reversed}$  is  $O(n^{-8} \log n)$  where  $W_1, W_3$  are obtained by (i) choosing  $x$  from the steady state distribution and then (ii) choosing a random walk  $W_1$  from  $w$  to  $x$  and a random walk  $W_3$  from  $y$  to  $x$ . Furthermore, the variation distance between the distribution of  $W_1$  and a random walk of length  $\tau_0$  from  $w$  is  $O(n^{-9})$ . Similarly, the variation distance between distribution of  $W_3$  and a random walk of length  $\tau_0$  from  $y$  is  $O(n^{-9})$ .

Now consider  $W_1$  and let  $Z_t$  be the distance of  $X_{w,H}(t)$  from  $v$ . We observe from **P2** and **P7** that except for at most one value  $\bar{a} \in J = [1, \frac{\log n}{2(\log \log n)^2}]$  we have

$$\mathbf{Pr}(Z_{t+1} = a + 1 \mid Z_t = a) \geq 1 - \frac{20}{\log n}, \quad a \in I \setminus \bar{a}.$$

and this will enable us to prove

$$\mathbf{Pr}(W_1 \text{ or } W_3 \text{ make a return to } N_H(v)) = O(1/\log n) \quad (6)$$

and this implies (5). (Note that a move from  $N_H(v)$  to  $N_H(v)$  has to be counted as a return here.)

To prove (6), let  $t_0$  be the first time that  $W_1$  visits  $N_H(v)$ . We have to estimate the probability that  $W_1$  returns to  $N_H(v)$  later on and so we can assume w.l.o.g. that  $w \in N_H(v)$  i.e.  $Z_0 = 1$ .

It follows from **P2** and **P7** that

$$\mathbf{Pr}(Z_i = i + 1, i = 1, \dots, 6 \mid Z_0 = 1) \geq \left(1 - \frac{40}{\log n}\right)^6. \quad (7)$$

To check this consider two possibilities:

- (a) There is no small vertex in the  $\leq 7$  neighbourhood  $N_7$  of  $v$ . Since there is at most one edge joining two vertices in  $N_7$ , we see that  $\mathbf{Pr}(Z_{i+1} > Z_i) = 1 - \frac{40}{\log n}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 6$  and (7) follows.
- (b) On the other hand, if there is a small vertex  $x$  in  $N_7$  then with probability  $\geq 1 - \frac{20}{\log n}$  the first move from  $w$  takes us further away from  $x$  and (7) follows as before.

If  $Z_3 = 4$  and there is a return to  $N_H(v)$  then there exists  $\tau \leq \tau_0$  such that  $Z_\tau = 4, Z_{\tau+1} = 3$  and  $Z_{\tau+2} \leq 3$ . If there is no small vertex within distance 4 of  $v$  then **P2** and **P7** imply

$$\mathbf{Pr}(\exists \tau \leq \tau_0 : Z_\tau = 4, Z_{\tau+1} = 3, Z_{\tau+2} \leq 3) = O\left(\frac{\tau_0}{(\log n)^2}\right). \quad (8)$$



If there is a *unique* small vertex within distance 4 of  $v$  and  $Z_6 = 7$  and there is a return to  $N_H(v)$  then there exists  $\tau \leq \tau_0$  such that  $Z_\tau = 7, Z_{\tau+1} = 6$  and  $Z_{\tau+2} = 5$  (no small cycles close to  $v$  now). We can then argue as in (8) that the probability of this is  $O\left(\frac{\tau_0}{(\log n)^2}\right)$ . This completes the proof of part (a) of the lemma.

(b) We simply run through the proof as in (a), replacing  $v$  by  $v, v'$ :  $H = H(v, v') = G - \{v, v'\}$ ,  $N_H(v, v') = N_G(v) \cup N_G(v')$ . The proof of (5) remains valid because  $v, v'$  are far apart.  $\square$

### 3.1 The upper bound on cover time

From here on,  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  are a sequence of unspecified positive constants.

Let  $t_0 = \lceil 2m \log \frac{c}{c-1} \rceil$ . We now prove for typical graphs, that for any vertex  $u \in V$

$$C_u \leq t_0 + o(m). \quad (9)$$

Let  $T_G(u)$  be the time taken to visit every vertex of  $G$  by the random walk  $W_u$ . Let  $U_t$  be the number of vertices of  $G$  which have not been visited by  $W_u$  at step  $t$ . We note the following:

$$\Pr(T_G(u) > t) = \Pr(U_t > 0) \leq \min\{1, \mathbf{E} U_t\}, \quad (10)$$

$$C_u = \mathbf{E} T_G(u) = \sum_{t>0} \Pr(T_G(u) > t) \quad (11)$$

It follows from (10,11) that for all  $t$

$$C_u \leq t + \sum_{s>t} \mathbf{E} U_s = t + \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{s>t} \Pr(\mathcal{A}_s(v)). \quad (12)$$

Now, by Lemma 3, for  $s > 2\tau_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\mathcal{A}_s(v)) &\leq \left(1 - \left(\left(\frac{\delta_v - 1}{\delta_v}\right)^2 - \frac{A_1}{\log n}\right) \frac{d(v)}{2m}\right)^{s-2\tau_0} \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{2\tau_0}(v)) \\ &\leq \exp\left(-\frac{sd(v)}{2m} \left(1 - \frac{A_2}{\log n}\right)\right), \quad \text{if } \delta_v \geq \alpha \log n \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha$  is as in **P1**.

Then from **P4**,

$$\mathbf{E} U_s \leq T_3(s) + T_1(s) + T_2(s) + T_X(s) \quad (13)$$

where

$$T_3(s) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} n \binom{n-1}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-1-k} e^{-\frac{sk}{2m} \left(1 - \frac{A_2}{\log n}\right)},$$

$$T_i(s) = \sum_{k \in K_i} D(k) e^{-\frac{sk}{2m} \left(1 - \frac{A_2}{\log n}\right)}, \quad i = 1, 2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_X(s) &= \sum_{v \in X} \left( 1 - \left( \left( \frac{\delta_v - 1}{\delta_v} \right)^2 - O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right) \right) \frac{d(v)}{2m} \right)^{s-2\tau_0} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{v \in X} \exp \left\{ - \left( \left( \frac{\delta_v - 1}{\delta_v} \right)^2 - \frac{A_3}{\log n} \right) \frac{sd(v)}{2m} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now for  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{s=t_0+1}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma s} \leq \gamma^{-1} e^{-\gamma t_0}. \quad (14)$$

Let  $\lambda = \frac{t_0}{2m} \left(1 - \frac{A_2}{\log n}\right)$ . Applying (14) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=t_0+1}^{\infty} T_3(s) &\leq 3m \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n}{k} \binom{n-1}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k-1} e^{-k\lambda} \\ &\leq 6 \frac{m}{p} e^\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k+1} p^{k+1} (1-p)^{n-k-1} e^{-(k+1)\lambda} \\ &< 7 \frac{m}{p} \frac{c}{c-1} (1-p + pe^{-\lambda})^n \\ &\leq 7 \frac{mn}{(c-1) \log n} e^{-np + npe^{-\lambda}} \\ &\leq 8 \frac{me^{2A_2}}{(c-1) \log n} \\ &= o(m). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We have used the estimation,

$$\begin{aligned} npe^{-\lambda} &\leq (c \log n) \left( \frac{c-1}{c} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{1}{c-1} \right)^{A_2/\log n} \\ &\leq (1 + O(n^{-1})) ((c-1) \log n) \left( 1 + \frac{2A_2}{(c-1) \log n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have used  $(c-1) \log n \rightarrow \infty$  to get the second line.

Continuing we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=t_0+1}^{\infty} T_1(s) &\leq A_4 m \sum_{k \in K_1} \frac{(\log \log n)^2}{k} e^{-k\lambda} \\ &= o(m) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

since either (i)  $\omega \geq (\log n)^{2/3}$  and  $K_1 = \emptyset$  or (ii)  $\omega < (\log n)^{2/3}$  and  $e^\lambda \geq (1 - o(1))(\log n)^{1/3}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=t_0+1}^{\infty} T_2(s) &\leq A_5 m \sum_{k \in K_2} \frac{(\log n)^4}{k} e^{-k\lambda} \\ &= o(m) \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

since either (i)  $\omega \geq (\log n)^{2/3}$  and  $\min\{k \in K_2\} \geq (\log n)^{1/2}$  and  $|K_2| = O(\log \log n)$  or (ii)  $\omega < (\log n)^{2/3}$  and  $e^\lambda \geq (1 - o(1))(\log n)^{1/3}$ .

Note now that  $\delta_v \geq 2$  and if  $v \in X$  (see **P6**) then from **P2**  $d(v) \geq \log n/20$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=t_0+1}^{\infty} T_X(s) &\leq \sum_{s=t_0+1}^{\infty} \sum_{v \in X} \exp \left\{ -\frac{sd(v)}{10m} \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in X} \frac{10m}{d(v)} \exp \left\{ -\frac{t_0 d(v)}{10m} \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in X} \frac{200m}{\log n} \exp \left\{ -\frac{t_0 \log n}{200m} \right\} && \text{by P2} \\ &\leq \sum_{v \in X} \frac{200m}{\log n} \left( \frac{c-1}{c} \right)^{\log n/201} \\ &= o(m) \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

since either (i)  $c \geq 1 + e^{-500}$  and  $X = \emptyset$  or (ii)  $c < 1 + e^{-500}$ , in which case we use  $(c-1)/c \leq e^{-500}$ .

As  $C_G = \max_{u \in V} C_u$ , the upper bound on  $C_G$  now follows from (9), (13), (15), (16), (17), (18) and (12) with  $t = t_0$ .  $\square$

### 3.2 The lower bound on cover time

For any vertex  $u$ , we can find a set of vertices  $S$  such that at time  $t_1 = t_0(1 - \epsilon)$ ,  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the probability the set  $S$  is covered by the walk  $W_u$  tends to zero. Hence  $T_G(u) > t_1$  **whp** which implies that  $C_G \geq (1 - o(1))t_0$ .

We construct  $S$  as follows. Let  $k^*, V^*, B^*$  be as defined in Property **P6**.

Let  $S^* = V^* \setminus (B^* \cup X)$  and let

$$\epsilon = \frac{10}{(c-1) \log c / (c-1)} \frac{\log \log n}{\log n} = o(1) \text{ and } \delta = \frac{(\log n)^3}{|S^*|}.$$

Note that

$$\bar{D}(k^*) = \Omega \left( \frac{n^{(c-1) \ln(c/(c-1))}}{\sqrt{(c-1) \log n}} \right) = \Omega((\log n)^a) \tag{19}$$

for any constant  $a > 0$ . Then **P6** implies that  $|S^*| = \Omega((\log n)^a)$  for any constant  $a > 0$ .

Now for  $v, w \neq u$  let  $\mathcal{A}_t(v, w)$  be the event that  $W$  has not visited  $v$  or  $w$  by step  $t$ .

Let  $Q \subseteq S^*$  be given by

$$Q = \{v \in S^* : \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{2\tau_0}(v)) < 1 - \delta, \text{ or } \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{2\tau_0}(v, w)) < (1 - \delta)^2, \text{ for some } w \in S^*\}.$$

Now in time  $2\tau_0$ ,  $W$  can visit at most  $2\tau_0 + 1$  vertices and so

$$\sum_{v \in V} \Pr(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{2\tau_0}(v)) \leq 2\tau_0 + 1 \text{ and } \sum_{v, w \in V} \Pr(\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{2\tau_0}(v, w)) \leq \binom{2\tau_0 + 1}{2}.$$

Thus

$$|Q| \leq \frac{2\tau_0 + 1}{\delta} + \frac{2\tau_0(2\tau_0 + 1)}{2(1 - (1 - \delta)^2)} = o(|S^*|).$$

Therefore, if  $S = S^* \setminus Q$ ,

$$|S| \geq \frac{\bar{D}(k^*)}{3}.$$

Let  $S(t)$  denote the subset of  $S$  which has not been visited by  $W$  by time  $t$ . Now

$$\mathbf{E} |S(t)| \geq \sum_{v \in S} \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{A_6}{\log n}\right) \frac{k^*}{2m}\right)^{t-2\tau_0} \Pr(\mathcal{A}_{2\tau_0}(v)).$$

Setting  $t = t_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} |S(t_1)| &= \Omega\left(\frac{n^{(c-1)\log c/(c-1)}}{\sqrt{(c-1)\log n}} \exp\left(-\frac{k^*}{2m}t_1\right)\right) \\ &= \Omega\left(\frac{n^{\epsilon(c-1)\log c/(c-1)}}{\sqrt{(c-1)\log n}}\right) \\ &= \Omega((\log n)^9). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Let  $Y_{v,t}$  be the indicator for the event that  $W_u(t)$  has not visited vertex  $v$  at time  $t$ . As  $v, w \in S$  are not adjacent, and have no common neighbours, when we delete  $v, w$  the total degree of  $H(v, w)$  is  $2m - 2d(v) - 2d(w)$ , and  $d(v) = d(w) = k^*$ . It follows from Lemma 3(b) that for  $v, w \in S$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} (Y_{v,t_1} Y_{w,t_1}) &\leq \left(1 - \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right)\right) \frac{k^*}{m}\right)^{t_1-2\tau_0} \\ &\leq (1 + o(1)) \mathbf{E} Y_{v,t_1} \mathbf{E} Y_{w,t_1}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

It follows therefore that

$$\Pr(S(t_1) \neq \emptyset) \geq \frac{(\mathbf{E} |S(t_1)|)^2}{\mathbf{E} |S(t_1)|^2} = \frac{1}{\frac{\mathbf{E}|S_{t_1}|(|S_{t_1}|-1)}{(\mathbf{E}|S(t_1)|)^2} + (\mathbf{E} |S_{t_1}|)^{-1}} = 1 - o(1)$$

from (20) and (21).  $\square$

**Acknowledgement** We thank Johan Jonasson for pointing out a significant error in earlier draft.

## References

- [1] R. Aleliunas, R.M. Karp, R.J. Lipton, L. Lovász and C. Rackoff, Random Walks, Universal Traversal Sequences, and the Complexity of Maze Problems. *Proceedings of the 20th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science* (1979) 218-223.
- [2] B.Bollobás, *Random graphs* (Second edition), Cambridge University Press (2001).
- [3] B.Bollobás, T.Fenner and A.M.Frieze, An algorithm for finding Hamilton paths and cycles in random graphs, *Combinatorica* 7 (1987) 327-341.
- [4] C. Cooper and A.M. Frieze, Crawling on web graphs, to appear in *Proceedings of STOC 2002*.
- [5] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, On random graphs I, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 6 (1959) 290-297.
- [6] U. Feige, A tight upper bound for the cover time of random walks on graphs, *Random Structures and Algorithms* 6 (1995) 51-54.
- [7] U. Feige, A tight lower bound for the cover time of random walks on graphs, *Random Structures and Algorithms* 6 (1995) 433-438.
- [8] S.Janson, T.Łuczak and A.Ruciński, *Random graphs*, Wiley (2000).
- [9] M. Jerrum and A. Sinclair. The Markov chain Monte Carlo method: an approach to approximate counting and integration. In *Approximation Algorithms for NP-hard Problems*. (D. Hochbaum ed.) PWS (1996) 482-520
- [10] J. Jonasson, On the cover time of random walks on random graphs, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 7 (1998), 265-279.

## 4 Appendix: Typical graph properties

A proof of **P0,P1** can be found in Bollobás [2] or Janson, Łuczak and Ruciński [8].

A proof of **P2** can be found in [3].

**P3: Case of**  $1 \leq s = |S| \leq n/(c \log n)$ .

We first prove that **whp**  $e_G(S, S) \leq s \log \log n$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr(\exists S : e_G(S, S) \geq s \log \log n) \\
& \leq \binom{n}{s} \binom{\binom{s}{2}}{s \log \log n} p^{s \log \log n} \leq \left(\frac{ne}{s}\right)^s \left(\frac{spe}{2 \log \log n}\right)^{s \log \log n} \\
& \leq \exp\left(-s \left(\log \log n \cdot \log\left(\frac{2n \log \log n}{cse \log n}\right) - \log \frac{ne}{s}\right)\right) \\
& = o(n^{-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

By property **P0** and the definition of  $H$ , both  $G$  and  $H$  contain no isolated vertices and hence  $d(S) > 0$ . We write  $e(S, \bar{S})/d(S) = 1 - 2e(S, S)/d(S)$ . Partition  $S$  into sets  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , where  $S_1$  are the vertices of  $S$  of degree at most  $(\log n)/10$ . Let  $T_1$  be the neighbour set of  $S_1$  in  $S_2$  and let  $T_2$  be the neighbour set of  $S_1$  in  $\bar{S}$ . By property **P1** the set  $S_1$  induces no edges, and the neighbours of vertices of  $S_1$  are distinct. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2e_H(S, S)}{d_H(S)} & \leq \frac{2(|T_1| + |S_2| \log \log n)}{2|T_1| + |T_2| + |S_2|((\log n)/10 - |L|)} \\
& \leq \frac{2 + \log \log n}{(\log n)/10} = o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Now use

$$d_H(S) = e_H(S, \bar{S}) + 2e_H(S, S).$$

**Case of**  $n/(c \log n) \leq s \leq n/2$ .

The expected value of  $e_H(S, \bar{S})$  is at least  $\mu = s(n - s - 4)p$ . Thus from Chernoff bounds, for fixed  $s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr(\exists S : e_H(S, \bar{S}) \leq \mu/2) & \leq \binom{n}{s} e^{-\frac{c}{9} \frac{s(n-s-4)}{n} \log n} \\
& \leq \exp\left(-s \left(\frac{c}{18} \log n - \log \frac{ne}{s}\right)\right) \\
& = o(n^{-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

We note that  $\mathbf{E} d_H(S) = 2\binom{s}{2}p + s(n - s - |L|)p$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pr(\exists S : d_H(S) \geq \frac{3}{2} \mathbf{E} d_H(S)) & \leq \binom{n}{s} e^{-\frac{c}{20} s \log n} \\
& \leq \exp\left(-s \left(\frac{c}{20} \log n - \log \frac{ne}{s}\right)\right) \\
& = o(n^{-2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{e_H(S, \bar{S})}{d_H(S)} \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2}s(n - s - 4)p}{\frac{3}{2}(2\binom{s}{2}p + s(n - s)p)} \geq \frac{1}{6}.$$

**P4a:** First observe that

$$\Pr(\exists k \in K_0 : D(k) > 0) \leq \sum_{k \in K_0} \bar{D}(k) = \frac{|K_0|}{(\log n)^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right).$$

Then

$$\Pr(\exists k \in K_1 : D(k) > (\log \log n)^2) \leq \sum_{k \in K_1} \frac{\bar{D}(k)}{(\log \log n)^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{\log \log n}\right).$$

Similarly,

$$\Pr(\exists k \in K_2 : D(k) > (\log n)^4) \leq \sum_{k \in K_2} \frac{\bar{D}(k)}{(\log n)^4} = O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right).$$

A simple calculation gives that for our range of values of  $p$

$$\mathbf{E}(D(k)(D(k) - 1)) = \bar{D}(k)^2 \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)\right).$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{Var}D(k) = \bar{D}(k) \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\bar{D}(k) \log n}{n}\right)\right).$$

Applying the Chebychef inequality we see that

$$\Pr(D(k) \leq \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}(k) \text{ or } D(k) \geq 2\bar{D}(k)) \leq \frac{4\mathbf{Var}D(k)}{\bar{D}(k)^2} = \frac{4}{\bar{D}(k)} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)\right).$$

So, as  $|K_3| = O(\log n)$ ,

$$\Pr(\exists k \in K_3 : D(k) \leq \frac{1}{2}\bar{D}(k) \text{ or } D(k) \geq 2\bar{D}(k)) = O\left(\frac{1}{\log n}\right).$$

**P4b:** The sequence  $(\bar{D}(k), k \geq 0)$  is unimodal and

$$\frac{\bar{D}(k+1)}{\bar{D}(k)} \sim \frac{c \log n}{k+1} \quad \text{when } k = O(\log n). \quad (22)$$

Moreover, for  $k \leq \Delta_0$  there is a positive constant  $A = A(k)$  such that

$$\bar{D}(k) \sim An^{1-c} \left(\frac{ce \log n}{k}\right)^k \frac{1}{k^{1/2}}. \quad (23)$$

Suppose first that there exists  $k \in K_1 \cup K_2$  such that  $k < (\log n)^{1/2}$ . It follows from (23) that  $c - 1 < (\log n)^{-1/3}$ , for if  $c - 1 \geq (\log n)^{-1/3}$  and  $k < (\log n)^{1/2}$  then  $\bar{D}(k) = o((\log n)^{-2})$ .

Now suppose that  $k \in K_2$  implies  $k \geq (\log n)^{1/2}$ . Observe from (23) that both  $\bar{D}(\lfloor (c - c^{1/3}) \log n \rfloor)$  and  $\bar{D}(\lfloor (c + c^{1/3}) \log n \rfloor)$  are much greater than  $(\log n)^2$ . Thus either  $k \leq$

$(c - c^{1/3}) \log n$  or  $k \geq (c + c^{1/3}) \log n$ . In either case, we see from iterating (22) that  $|K_2| = O(\log \log n)$ .

**P5:** This follows immediately from Chernoff bounds.

**P6:** From (19) we see that  $\lceil (c - 1) \log n \rceil \in K_3$  for  $c$  constant. That  $|V^*| \geq \frac{1}{2} \overline{D}(k^*)$  now follows from **P3**. Now  $|B^*| \leq |\{(v, w) \in (V^*)^2 : \text{dist}(v, w) \leq d = \frac{10 \log n}{(\log \log n)^2}\}|$ . Therefore

$$\mathbf{E} |B^*| \leq \overline{D}(k^*)^2 \sum_{k=1}^d n^k p^{k+1} = o(\overline{D}(k^*)),$$

and the second part of **P6** follows from the Markov inequality. The third part is a similar first moment calculation.

**P7:** A proof of similar results can be found in [3]. □