# Similarity Estimation Techniques from Rounding Algorithms

Moses Charikar Princeton University

Compact sketches for estimating similarity Collection of objects, e.g. mathematical representation of documents, images. Implicit similarity/distance function. Want to estimate similarity without looking at entire objects. Compute compact sketches of objects so that similarity/distance can be estimated from them.

# Similarity Preserving Hashing

 Similarity function sim(x,y)
 Family of hash functions F with probability distribution such that
 Pr<sub>h∈F</sub>[h(x) = h(y)] = sim(x, y)

# Applications

Compact representation scheme for estimating similarity  $x \rightarrow (h_1(x), h_2(x), \dots, h_k(x))$  $y \rightarrow (h_1(y), h_2(y), \dots, h_k(y))$ Approximate nearest neighbor search [Indyk,Motwani] [Kushilevitz,Ostrovsky,Rabani]

Estimating Set Similarity [Broder,Manasse,Glassman,Zweig] [Broder,C,Frieze,Mitzenmacher] Collection of subsets

 $S_1$ 

 $S_2$ 

# Minwise Independent Permutations



 $\operatorname{prob}(\min(\sigma(S_1) = \min(\sigma(S_2)) = \frac{|S_1 \cap S_2|}{|S_1 \cup S_2|}$ 

 $S_2$ 

# Related Work

Streaming algorithms Compute f(data) in one pass using small space. Implicitly construct sketch of data seen so far. Synopsis data structures [Gibbons, Matias] Compact distance oracles, distance labels. Hash functions with similar properties: [Linial, Sassoon] [Indyk,Motwani,Raghavan,Vempala] [Feige, Krauthgamer]

### Results

Necessary conditions for existence of similarity preserving hashing (SPH).

SPH schemes from rounding algorithms
 Hash function for vectors based on random hyperplane rounding.

 Hash function for estimating Earth Mover Distance based on rounding schemes for classification with pairwise relationships.

#### Existence of SPH schemes

• sim(x,y) admits an SPH scheme if  $\exists$  family of hash functions F such that  $\Pr_{h\in F}[h(x) = h(y)] = sim(x,y)$  Theorem: If *sim(x,y)* admits an SPH scheme then 1 - sim(x, y) satisfies triangle inequality. Proof:  $1 - sim(x, y) = \Pr_{h \in F}(h(x) \neq h(y))$  $\Delta_h(x, y)$ : indicator variable for  $h(x) \neq h(y)$  $\Delta_h(x, y) + \Delta_h(y, z) \geq \Delta_h(x, z)$  $1 - sim(x, y) = \mathbb{E}_{h \in F}[\Delta_{h}(x, y)]$ 

#### Stronger Condition

Theorem: If *sim(x,y)* admits an SPH scheme then (1+sim(x,y))/2 has an SPH scheme with hash functions mapping objects to {0,1}.

Theorem: If *sim(x,y)* admits an SPH scheme then *1-sim(x,y)* is isometrically embeddable in the Hamming cube.

# Random Hyperplane Rounding based SPH

Collection of vectors  $sim(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) = 1 - \frac{\measuredangle(\vec{u}, \vec{v})}{-}$ Pick random hyperplane through origin (normal  $\vec{r}$  )  $h_{\vec{r}}(\vec{u}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \vec{r} \cdot \vec{u} \ge 0\\ 0 & \text{if } \vec{r} \cdot \vec{u} < 0 \end{cases}$ [Goemans, Williamson]



# Earth Mover Distance (EMD)

Q

P



#### Earth Mover Distance

Set of points L={|1,|2,...|} Distance function d(i,j) (assume metric) Distribution P(L): non-negative weights  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ . Earth Mover Distance (EMD): distance between distributions P and Q. Proposed as metric in graphics and vision for distance between images. [Rubner, Tomasi, Guibas]

$$\min \sum_{i,j} f_{i,j} \cdot d(i,j)$$
  
$$\forall i \quad \sum_{j} f_{i,j} = p_i$$
  
$$\forall j \quad \sum_{i} f_{i,j} = q_j$$
  
$$\forall i, j \quad f_{i,j} \ge 0$$

#### Relaxation of SPH

Estimate distance measure, not similarity measure in [0,1].

 Allow hash functions to map objects to points in metric space and measure
 E[d(h(P),h(Q)].
 (SPH: d(x,y) = 1 if x =y)

Estimator will approximate EMD.

# Classification with pairwise relationships [Kleinberg, Tardos]

We

Assignment cost

separation cost

# Classification with pairwise relationships

Collection of objects // Labels L={/1,/2,..../n} • Assignment of labels  $h: V \rightarrow L$ Cost of assigning label to u: c(u,h(u)) Graph of related objects; for edge e=(u,v), cost paid:  $w_e$ . d(h(u),h(v))Find assignment of labels to minimize cost.

# LP Relaxation and Rounding [Kleinberg, Tardos] [Chekuri, Khanna, Naor, Zosin] P

Separation cost measured by EMD(P,Q)Rounding algorithm guarantees  $Pr[h(P)=I_i] = p_i$  $E[d(h(P),h(Q)] \leq O(\log n \log \log n) EMD(P,Q)$ 

# Rounding details

Probabilistically approximate metric on L by tree metric (HST) Expected distortion O(log n log log n) EMD on tree metric has nice form: **T**: subtree P(T): sum of probabilities for leaves in T  $\blacksquare$   $|_{T}$ : length of edge leading up from T  $\blacksquare EMD(P,Q) = \sum |_{T} |P(T)-Q(T)|$ 

Theorem: The rounding scheme gives a hashing scheme such that  $EMD(P,Q) \leq E[d(h(P),h(Q)]$  $\leq O(\log n \log \log n) EMD(P,Q)$ **Proof:**  $y_{i,i}$ : Probability that  $h(P) = l_i, h(Q) = l_i$  $y_{i,i}$  give feasible solution to LP for EMD Cost of this solution = E[d(h(P), h(Q))]Hence  $EMD(P,Q) \leq E[d(h(P),h(Q))]$ 

# SPH for weighted sets

• Weighted Set:  $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ , weights in [0,1]

Kleinberg-Tardos rounding scheme for uniform metric can be thought of as a hashing scheme for weighted sets with

 $sim(P,Q) = \frac{\sum \min(p_i, q_i)}{\sum \max(p_i, q_i)}$ 

 Generalization of minwise independent permutations

# Conclusions and Future Work

Interesting connection between rounding procedures for approximation algorithms and hash functions for estimating similarity.

Better estimators for Earth Mover Distance

Ignored variance of estimators: related to dimensionality reduction in L<sub>1</sub>

Study compact representation schemes in general