

## 1 Definitions

1. Conditional Probability:  $P(A | B) =$

$$P(A | B) = \frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)}$$

2. Product Rule:  $P(A, B) =$

$$P(A, B) = P(A|B)P(B)$$

3. Bayes' Theorem:  $P(A | B) =$

$$P(A | B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

4. Normalization:  $P(A | B) =$

$$P(A | B) = \frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)} = \frac{P(A, B)}{\sum_a P(a, B)}$$

5. Chain Rule:  $P(A, B, C) =$

$$P(A, B, C) = P(A | B, C)P(B | C)P(C)$$

6. Law of Total Probability: [using only  $P(B)$  and  $P(A | B)$ ]  $P(A) =$

$$P(A) = \sum_{b \in B} P(A | b)P(b)$$

For binary  $B$ :

$$P(A) = P(A | b_1)P(b_1) + P(A | b_2)P(b_2)$$

7. Independence:  $A, B$  independent,  $P(A, B) =$

$$\text{If } A \text{ and } B \text{ are independent, then } P(A, B) = P(A)P(B)$$

8. Conditional Independence: If  $A$  and  $B$  are conditionally independent given  $C$ , then  $P(A, B | C) =$

$$\text{If } A \text{ and } B \text{ are conditionally independent given } C, \text{ then } P(A, B | C) = P(A | C)P(B | C)$$

## 2 Warm Up

- (a) State the two ways to write the chain rule (conditional probability decomposition) for  $P(A, B)$

$$P(A)P(B | A) = P(B)P(A | B)$$

- (b) Rearrange the above equation to find  $P(A | B)$

$$P(A | B) = \frac{P(A)P(B|A)}{P(B)}$$

- (c) Find  $P(a)$  in terms of the joint  $P(a, b)$  for any  $a \in A, b \in B$  (Hint: these are specific values, answer should include a sum)

$$P(a) = \sum_{b \in B} P(a, b)$$

- (d) Find  $P(b | a)$  in terms of the joint  $P(a, b)$  for any  $a \in A, b \in B$

$$P(b | a) = \frac{P(a, b)}{\sum_{b' \in B} P(a, b')}$$

- (e) Find  $P(b | a)$  in terms of the distributions  $P(b)$ ,  $P(a | b)$ , for any  $a \in A, b \in B$

$$P(b | a) = \frac{P(a|b)P(b)}{\sum_{b' \in B} P(a|b')P(b')}$$

- (f) Assume we had some fixed  $a$  and wanted to find each element of  $P(b | a)$  (i.e. wanted to find  $P(B | a)$ ). Would the numerator of the fraction in the previous question change for each value of  $b$ ? What about the denominator? How could you use this to do the calculation with less steps?

The numerator changes because the value of  $b$  changes. The denominator is constant because  $P(a)$  will be the same for every value of  $b$  that we change. We can calculate all the numerators first, then normalize/equivalently compute the denominator at the end.

- (g) Assume  $A$  is a random variable that can take 3 values,  $B$  is a random variable that can take 2 values, and  $C$  is a random variable that can take 1 value. What do the following probability tables sum to?

- (a)  $P(A | b)$
- (b)  $P(A | C)$
- (c)  $P(C | B)$
- (d)  $P(B | a)$
- (e)  $P(B | A)$

$P(A | b), P(A | C)$ , and  $P(B | a)$  sum to 1.  $P(C | B)$  sums to 2 because  $B$  can take 2 values ( $b_1$  and  $b_2$ ).  $P(C | b_1)$  and  $P(C | b_2)$  each sum to 1, so if we add them, we get 2.  $P(B | A)$  sums to 3 because  $A$  can take 3 values ( $a_1, a_2$ , and  $a_3$ ). Each of  $P(B | a_1), P(B | a_2)$ , and  $P(B | a_3)$  sum to 1, so the total sums to 3.

### 3 Cake



Consider the above cake with 12 slices. Let  $s_1$  indicate a slice with no sprinkles and  $s_2$  be a slice with sprinkles. Let  $c_1$  indicate a slice with no candles and  $c_2$  be a slice with candles. Let  $S$  be a random variable indicating sprinkles and  $C$  be a random variable indicating candles. Calculate the following probabilities.

1.  $P(C = c_1)$

By counting the number of slices that don't have candles, we can see that the probability of getting a slice with no candles is  $4/12$ .

2.  $P(S = s_1, C = c_2)$

By counting the number of slices that don't have sprinkles but have candles, we can see that the probability of getting a slice with candles and no sprinkles is  $4/12$ .

3.  $P(C = c_2 | S = s_1)$

We first constrain our world to only include the slices that contain no sprinkles which is 6 slices. 4 of those slices contain candles, so this probability becomes  $4/6$ . Another way to calculate this probability is by using the definition of conditional probability,  $P(C = c_2 | S = s_1) = \frac{P(C=c_2, S=s_1)}{P(S=s_1)} = \frac{4/12}{6/12} = \frac{4}{6}$

4.  $\sum_{s \in \{s_1, s_2\}} \sum_{c \in \{c_1, c_2\}} P(s, c)$

$P(s_1, c_1) + P(s_1, c_2) + P(s_2, c_1) + P(s_2, c_2) = 1$ . Because we are summing up all possible disjoint combinations of the given sample space, the answer is 1.

5.  $\sum_{c \in \{c_1, c_2\}} \sum_{s \in \{s_1, s_2\}} P(s | c)$

$P(s_1 | c_1) + P(s_2 | c_1) + P(s_1 | c_2) + P(s_2 | c_2) = 2/4 + 2/4 + 4/8 + 4/8 = 2$ . Intuitively, we are summing up two different complete probability distributions,  $P(S | c_1)$  and  $P(S | c_2)$ : one world where there are no candles, and another world where there are definitely candles.

6.  $\sum_{s \in \{s_1, s_2\}} \sum_{c \in \{c_1, c_2\}} P(c | s)$

$P(c_1 | s_1) + P(c_2 | s_1) + P(c_1 | s_2) + P(c_2 | s_2) = 2/6 + 4/6 + 2/6 + 4/6 = 2$ . Intuitively, we are summing up two different complete probability distributions,  $P(C | s_1)$  and  $P(C | s_2)$ : one world where there is no sprinkles, and another world where there is definitely sprinkles.

## 4 Queries on a Large Joint Distribution

Consider binary (two outcomes) random variables  $A, B, C, D$ , and the following joint distribution table of all four variables.

$A$	$B$	$C$	$D$	$P(A, B, C, D)$
$+a$	$+b$	$+c$	$+d$	$12/64$
$+a$	$+b$	$+c$	$-d$	$4/64$
$+a$	$+b$	$-c$	$+d$	$2/64$
$+a$	$+b$	$-c$	$-d$	$2/64$
$+a$	$-b$	$+c$	$+d$	$8/64$
$+a$	$-b$	$+c$	$-d$	$4/64$
$+a$	$-b$	$-c$	$+d$	$2/64$
$+a$	$-b$	$-c$	$-d$	$4/64$
$-a$	$+b$	$+c$	$+d$	$6/64$
$-a$	$+b$	$+c$	$-d$	$3/64$
$-a$	$+b$	$-c$	$+d$	$4/64$
$-a$	$+b$	$-c$	$-d$	$6/64$
$-a$	$-b$	$+c$	$+d$	$2/64$
$-a$	$-b$	$+c$	$-d$	$1/64$
$-a$	$-b$	$-c$	$+d$	$3/64$
$-a$	$-b$	$-c$	$-d$	$1/64$

1. Calculate the following probabilities:

(a)  $P(+c)$

Sum all the entries that contain  $+c$  to get:  $P(+c) = \sum_a \sum_b \sum_d P(a, b, +c, d) = 40/64$

(b)  $P(+a, -b)$

Sum all the entries that contain both  $+a$  and  $-b$  to get:  $P(+a, -b) = \sum_c \sum_d P(+a, -b, c, d) = 18/64$

(c)  $P(-b \mid +a)$

1) Sum all entries with both  $+a$  and  $-b$  to get:  $P(+a, -b) = \sum_c \sum_d P(+a, -b, c, d) = 18/64$

2) Sum all entries with  $+a$  to get:  $P(+a) = \sum_b \sum_c \sum_d P(+a, b, c, d) = 38/64$

3) Use definition of conditional probability to compute:  $P(-b \mid +a) = \frac{P(+a, -b)}{P(+a)} = 18/38$

(d)  $P(-a, +b, -d)$

Sum all entries with  $-a, +b$ , and  $-d$  to get:  $P(-a, +b, -d) = \sum_c P(-a, +b, c, -d) = P(-a, +b, +c, -d) + P(-a, +b, -c, -d) = 9/64$

(e)  $P(+c \mid -a, +b, -d)$

1) Find entry with  $-a, +b, +c, -d$  to get:  $P(-a, +b, +c, -d) = 3/64$

2) Sum all entries with  $-a, +b, -d$  to get:  $P(-a, +b, -d) = \sum_c P(-a, +b, c, -d) = 9/64$

3) Use definition of conditional probability to compute:  $P(+c \mid -a, +b, -d) = \frac{P(-a, +b, +c, -d)}{P(-a, +b, -d)} = 3/9$

(f)  $P(+c, +d \mid +a, +b)$

1) Find entry with  $+a, +b, +c, +d$  to get:  $P(+a, +b, +c, +d) = 12/64$

2) Sum all entries with  $+a, +b$  to get:  $P(+a, +b) = \sum_c \sum_d P(+a, +b, c, d) = 20/64$

3) Use definition of conditional probability to compute:  $P(+c, +d \mid +a, +b) = \frac{P(+a, +b, +c, +d)}{P(+a, +b)} = 12/20$

2. What value do the following probability tables sum to?

(a)  $P(B)$

The short answer is that we are considering the entries for all the possible values of  $B$ , so this should sum to 1. You could calculate both entries in this table to convince yourself,  $P(+b)$  and  $P(-b)$ .

(b)  $P(+b \mid C, +d)$

Sadly, there is no shortcut here. The two entries in this table come from two different worlds that are unrelated: one world where  $+c$  and  $+d$  are given; and another world where  $-c$  and  $+d$  are given.

Important note: There is no real reason to add these numbers together in this strange probability table. This is primarily a counterexample to show that these do \*not\* sum to one.

We compute these two values similar to the methods in the previous questions, and then add them together.

$$P(+b \mid +c, +d) = \frac{P(+b, +c, +d)}{P(+c, +d)} = 9/14$$

$$P(+b \mid -c, +d) = \frac{P(+b, -c, +d)}{P(-c, +d)} = 6/11$$

When we add these two values together, we get 1.1883.

(c)  $P(C, D \mid +a, +b)$

The short answer is that we are considering all possible entries for a single world where  $+a$  and  $+b$  are given, so this should sum to 1. You could calculate all four entries in this table to convince yourself,  $P(+c, +d \mid +a, +b)$ ,  $P(+c, -d \mid +a, +b)$ ,  $P(-c, +d \mid +a, +b)$ ,  $P(-c, -d \mid +a, +b)$ .