

Assignment 5: Termination
15-414/15-424 Bug Catching: Automated Program Verification

Due: **11:59pm**, Sunday 10/7/18

Total Points: 30

1. **Find the variant (5 points)** In Homework 3, you developed a loop invariant for the `gcd` program.

$$0 < a \wedge 0 < b \rightarrow [c := a; d := b; \text{while}(c \neq d) \{ \text{if}(c > d) c := c - d \text{ else } d := d - c \}] (c = \text{gcd}(a, b))$$

Now give a variant term that is sufficient to prove the corresponding total correctness formula.

$$0 < a \wedge 0 < b \rightarrow \langle c := a; d := b; \text{while}(c \neq d) \{ \text{if}(c > d) c := c - d \text{ else } d := d - c \} \rangle (c = \text{gcd}(a, b))$$

For now, you do not need to give a proof, but do concisely explain why your variant term is sufficient. You may refer to your invariant from homework 3 when justifying the variant.

2. **Inductive premise (10 points)** Now prove the inductive premise of the (var) rule for the `gcd` program using your variant from problem 1 and your invariant from Homework 3.

$$J, Q, \varphi = n \vdash \langle \text{if}(c > d) c := c - d \text{ else } d := d - c \rangle (J \wedge \varphi < n)$$

You are free to assume in your proof that the inductive premise of the (while) rule for your invariant J has already been proven.

3. **More variants and invariants (5 points)** Consider the following program α , which finds the index of the maximum element of an array a of length n .

```

i := 0;
j := n-1;
while(i ≠ j) {
  if(a(i) ≤ a(j))
    i := i + 1;
  else
    j := j - 1;
}

```

Find a loop invariant and variant term sufficient to prove the validity of the formula:

$$0 < n \rightarrow \langle \alpha \rangle (0 \leq i < n \wedge \forall k. 0 \leq k < n \rightarrow a(k) \leq a(i))$$

You do not need to show a full proof, but justify the correctness of your variant and invariant.

4. **Soundness of $K_{\langle \cdot \rangle}$ (10 points)** We discussed the modal modus ponens axiom $K_{\langle \cdot \rangle}$:

$$(K_{\langle \cdot \rangle}) \quad [\alpha](P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow \langle \alpha \rangle P \rightarrow \langle \alpha \rangle Q$$

We used this to derive the `inv2var` rule, which is immensely useful as it allows us to use a previously-proved invariant property in our diamond proofs for convergence. Prove the soundness of $K_{\langle \cdot \rangle}$.