Assignment 6: Decision Procedures, Temporal Properties 15-414/15-424 Bug Catching: Automated Program Verification

Due: **11:59pm**, Sunday 12/2/18 Total Points: 50

- 1. **Pigeonhole SAT (10 points)** The pigeonhole problem asks us to find a one-to-one mapping between n pigeons and m holes. Obviously, this isn't possible when n > m. Consider an encoding of this problem as SAT for n pigeons and n 1 holes, where we have the following CNF clauses and propositional variables p_{ij} which assert that pigeon i is placed in hole j.
 - Pigeon clauses: For each pigeon $1 \le i \le n$, assert that it is placed in some hole.

$$p_{i,1} \vee \ldots \vee p_{i,n-1}$$

- *Hole clauses*: For each hole $1 \le j < n$ and each pair of pigeons $1 \le i < k \le n$, these two pigeons aren't placed in the same hole:
 - $\neg p_{i,j} \lor \neg p_{k,j}$

First, write down a CNF for the pigeonhole problem for n = 3. Then, apply the DPLL algorithm with clause learning to the formula. You should write down the steps of your evaluation in the following form:

- (1) Decide p
- (2) Unit propagate q from clause C_2
- (3) Decide $\neg r$
- (4) Unit propagate s from clause C_1
- (5) Conflicted clause C_1
- (6) Learn conflict clause $\neg p \lor r$
- (7) ...

You are free to generate conflict clauses using any of the methods described in Lecture 13⁻¹, but you may want to look at the next problem before choosing one.

2. Resolving conflict (10 points) Use the resolution rule to derive a proof that one of your conflict clauses from question 1 is entailed by the original formula.

(res)
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash P, \Delta \quad \Gamma \vdash \neg P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}$$

As the formula is quite long, you may use the symbol F to denote the formula in your premises, so if your conflict clause is C, then you are to use the res rule to prove $F \vdash C$.

How many applications of res were necessary in your proof? Do you think that it is possible to find a shorter one? Explain your answer.

¹Available at https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~15414/lectures/13-dpll.pdf

3. Computation tree semantics (5 points). Consider the computation structure K below, and the CTL formula:

$$\mathbf{A}(p_0\mathbf{U}p_1) \vee \mathbf{EX}(\mathbf{AG}p_1)$$

For each state in the computation structure, write down the subformulas of the above CTL satisfied by the state. Then, say whether the structure satisfies the formula, i.e. $K \models \mathbf{A}(p_0 \mathbf{U} p_1) \lor \mathbf{EX}(\mathbf{AG} p_1)$.



4. **Temporal distinctions (10 points).** Show that the following pair of CTL and LTL formulas are not equivalent:

$$\mathbf{AF}(a \wedge \mathbf{AX}a) \qquad \Diamond (a \wedge \circ a)$$

To do so, write down a computation structure that satisfies one but not the other. Show that this is the case by providing a counterexample path for the non-statisfied formula, and explaining why the other is modeled by your system.

5. Distributing correctly (15 points). Consider the following LTL equivalences that characterize distributive properties of temporal operators:

$$\begin{split} &\langle (P \lor Q) \leftrightarrow \Diamond P \lor \Diamond Q \\ &\Diamond (P \land Q) \leftrightarrow \Diamond P \land \Diamond Q \\ &\Box (P \lor Q) \leftrightarrow \Box P \lor \Box Q \\ &\Box (P \land Q) \leftrightarrow \Box P \land \Box Q \end{split}$$

First, identify which of those equivalences are correct and which are not. Then use the semantics of LTL given in lecture 16 2 to justify your answer with a proof. For the formulas that are not correct, describe an infinite trace that satisfies one side of the equivalence but not the other, i.e., provide a counterexample.

²Available at https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~15414/lectures/16-temporal.pdf