Game Theory

15-451/651 10/12/15

- Zero-sum games
- Minimax theorem
- Connection to maxflow-mincut
- General-sum games

Game Theory

(and its connections to Algorithm Analysis and Computer Science)

Plan for Today

- 2-Player Zero-Sum Games (matrix games)
 - Minimax optimal strategies
 - Connection to randomized algorithms
 - Minimax theorem
 - Connection to max-flow / min-cut
- General-Sum Games (bimatrix games)
 notion of Nash Equilibrium
- Proof of existence of Nash Equilibria
 - using Brouwer's fixed-point theorem

<u>Game theory</u>

- Field developed by economists to study social & economic interactions.
- Wanted to understand why people behave the way they do in different economic situations. Effects of incentives. Rational explanation of behavior.
- "Game" = interaction between parties with their own interests. Could be called "interaction theory".
- Big in CS for understanding large systems: - Internet routing, social networks
 - Problems like spam etc.
- And for thinking about algorithms!

<u>Setting</u>

- Have a collection of participants, or *players*.
- Each has a set of choices, or *strategies* for how to play/behave.
- Combined behavior results in *payoffs* (satisfaction level) for each player.





All my examples will involve just 2 players (which will make them easier to picture, as will become clear in a moment...)

2-player zero-sum games (aka matrix games)

Consider the following scenario...

- Shooter has a penalty shot. Can choose to shoot left or shoot right.
- Goalie can choose to dive left or dive right.
- If goalie guesses correctly, (s)he saves the day. If not, it's a gooooaaaaaall!
- Vice-versa for shooter.

<u>2-Player Zero-Sum games</u>

- Two players R and C. Zero-sum means that what's good for one is bad for the other.
- Game defined by matrix with a row for each of R's options and a column for each of C's options. Matrix tells who wins how much.
 - an entry (x,y) means: x = payoff to row player, y = payoff to column player. "Zero sum" means that y = -x.



Game Theory terminolgy

- Rows and columns are called pure strategies.
- Randomized algs called <u>mixed strategies</u>.
- "Zero sum" means that game is purely competitive. (x,y) satisfies x+y=0. (Game doesn't have to be fair).



Game Theory terminolgy • Usually describe in terms of 2 matrices R and C, where for zero-sum games we have C = -R. (I am putting them into a single matrix where each entry is a pair, because it is easier visually). Left Right goalie Left Right goalie Left Right goalie No goal

Minimax-optimal strategies

- Minimax optimal strategy is a (randomized) strategy that has the best guarantee on its expected gain, over choices of the opponent. [maximizes the minimum]
- I.e., the thing to play if your opponent knows you well.



<u>Minimax-optimal strategies</u>

• What are the minimax optimal strategies for this game?

Minimax optimal strategy for both players is 50/50. Gives expected gain of $\frac{1}{2}$ for shooter ($-\frac{1}{2}$ for goalie). Any other is worse.



Minimax-optimal strategies

 How about penalty shot with goalie who's weaker on the left?

Minimax optimal for shooter is (2/3,1/3). Guarantees expected gain at least 2/3. Minimax optimal for goalie is also (2/3,1/3). Guarantees expected loss at most 2/3.



Minimax-optimal strategies

How about if shooter is less accurate on the left too?

Minimax optimal for shooter is (4/5.1/5). Guarantees expected gain at least 3/5. Minimax optimal for goalie is (3/5,2/5). Guarantees expected loss at most 3/5.



Minimax-optimal strategies

- In small games we can solve by considering a few cases
- Later, we will see how to solve for minimax optimal in NxN games using Linear Programming.
 - poly time in size of matrix if use poly-time LP alg.

Minimax Theorem (von Neumann 1928)

- Every 2-player zero-sum game has a unique value V.
- Minimax optimal strategy for **R** guarantees R's expected gain at least V.
- Minimax optimal strategy for C guarantees C's expected loss at most V.

Counterintuitive: Means it doesn't hurt to publish your strategy if both players are optimal. (Borel had proved for symmetric 5x5 but thought was false for larger games)

We will see one proof in a bit...



E.g., hashing

Alg player

Adversary

•Rows are different hash functions. •Cols are different sets of n items to hash.

•M(i,j) = #collisions incurred by alg i on set j.

We saw:

•For any row, can reverse-engineer a bad column (if universe of keys is large enough).

Universal hashing: a randomized strategy for row player that has good behavior for every column.
For any sequence of operations, if you randomly construct hash function in this way, you won't get many collisions in expectation.

Matrix games and Algs Adversary

Alg player

•What is a deterministic alg with a good worst-case guarantee?

A row that does well against all columns.
What is a lower bound for deterministic algorithms?

Showing that for each row i there exists a column j such that the cost M(i,j) is high.

·How to give lower bound for randomized alas?

Give randomized strategy (ideally minimax optimal) for adversary that is bad for all i. Must also be bad for all distributions over i. Sometimes called **Yao's principle**.





Interesting game

"Smuggler vs border guard"

- Graph G, source s, sink t. Smuggler chooses path. Border guard chooses edge to watch.
- If edge is in path, guard wins, else smuggler wins.



What are the minimax optimal strategies?

Interesting game

- "Smuggler vs border guard"
- Border guard: find min cut, pick random edge in it.
- Smuggler: find max flow, scale to unit flow, induces prob dist on paths.

S Theorem ↔ Maxflow-mincut theorem

General-Sum Games

- Zero-sum games are good formalism for design/analysis of algorithms.
- General-sum games are good models for systems with many participants whose behavior affects each other's interests
 - E.g., routing on the internet
 - E.g., online auctions

General-sum games

- In general-sum games, can get win-win and lose-lose situations.
- E.g., "what side of sidewalk to walk on?":







Existence of NE

- Proof will be non-constructive.
- Unlike case of zero-sum games, we do not know any polynomial-time algorithm for finding Nash Equilibria in n × n general-sum games. [known to be "PPAD-hard"]
- Notation:
 - Assume an nxn matrix.
 - Use (p₁,...,p_n) to denote mixed strategy for row player, and (q₁,...,q_n) to denote mixed strategy for column player.

Proof

- We'll start with Brouwer's fixed point theorem.
 - Let S be a compact convex region in R^n and let $f{:}S \to S$ be a continuous function.
 - Then there must exist x ∈ S such that f(x)=x.
 x is called a "fixed point" of f.
- Simple case: S is the interval [0,1].
- We will care about:
 - S = {(p,q): p,q are legal probability distributions on 1,...,n}. I.e., S = simplex_n x simplex_n

Proof (cont)

- S = {(p,q): p,q are mixed strategies}.
- Want to define f(p,q) = (p',q') such that:
 - f is continuous. This means that changing p or q a little bit shouldn't cause p' or q' to change a lot.
 - Any fixed point of f is a Nash Equilibrium.
- · Then Brouwer will imply existence of NE.

<u>Try #1</u>

- What about f(p,q) = (p',q') where p' is best response to q, and q' is best response to p?
- Problem: not necessarily well-defined:
 - E.g., penalty shot: if p = (0.5,0.5) then q' could be anything.

	Lett	RIGNT
Left	(0,0)	(1,-1)
Right	(1,-1)	(0,0)







Instead we will use...

- f(p,q) = (p',q') such that:
 - q' maximizes [(expected gain wrt p) $||q-q'||^2$]
 - p' maximizes [(expected gain wrt q) ||p-p'||²]
- f is well-defined and continuous since quadratic has unique maximum and small change to p,q only moves this a little.
- Also fixed point = NE. (even if tiny incentive to move, will move little bit)
- So, apply Brower and that's it!